Accountability Report Card Summary 2022 <u>Maryland</u>

Maryland has a good state whistleblower law:

- Scoring 74 out of a possible 100 points; and
- Ranking 5th out of 51 (50 states and the District of Columbia).

Maryland's statute has fairly broad coverage (20 out of 33 possible points) with a very good degree of usability (29 out of 33) and average remedies (24 out of 33), plus the one bonus point awarded for employee notification of rights.

Maryland's full Whistleblower Report Card	page 2
Narrative summary of Maryland's law	page 5

Maryland Accountability Index Report card

Coverage, Usability & Strength — Rating on a 100 Point Scale Md. Code Ann., State Fin. & Proc. § 11-301 to -306 (2004) (whistleblower protection for state contractors); Md. Code Ann., State Pers. & Pens. § 5-301 to -311 (1996) (Whistleblower Law in the Executive Branch of State Government)

A. Breadth of Coverage (33 points possible from 10 factors).

Does the statute cover disclosures of -

Factor	Maximum Points	Awarded Points
1. Violation of state or federal	6 points	6 points ¹
law, rules or regulations		
2. Gross mismanagement	3 points	3 points
3. Abuse of authority (including	3 points	3 points
violations of agency policy)		
4. Waste of public funds or	3 points	3 points
resources		
5. Danger to health and/or public	5 points	5 points
safety and/or environment		
6. Communication of scientific	5 points	0 points
opinion or alteration of technical		
findings		
7. Breaches of professional ethical	5 points	0 points
canons		

Does the statute provide -

8. Employee may refuse to carry out illegal or improper orders	1 point	0 points
9. Prohibition on "gag orders" to prevent employee disclosures	1 point	0 points
10. Whistleblower protection does not preclude collective bargaining or other rights	1 point	0 points
	Maximum Score 33 points	Awarded Score 20 points

B. Usability: Scope of Protection (33 points possible from 10 factors)

¹ § 5-305. A supervisor, appointing authority, or the head of a principal unit of state government may not take or refuse to take any personnel action as a reprisal against an employee who (1) discloses information that the employee reasonably evidences (i) an abuse of authority, gross mismanagement, or gross waste of money; (ii) a substantial or specific danger to public health and safety; (iii) a violation of law; or (2) following a disclosure under either (i), (ii), or (iii) seeks a remedy provided under the statute, or any other law or policy governing the employee's unit.

Do the laws protect disclosures made to -

Factor Max	imum Points	Awarded P	oints
1. Any person or organization,	24 points		24 points ²
including public media			

Or does the statute protect disclosures made to –

Of does the statute protect disclosures		
2. Any state executive or legislative	4 points	0 points
body or person employed by such		
entities		
3. Testimony in any official	4 points	0 points
proceeding		
4. Any state or federal law	4 points	0 points
enforcement or investigative body		
or entity or its employees		
5. Any federal or non-state	3 points	0 points
governmental entity		
6. Co-workers or supervisors within	3 points	0 points
the scope of duty		
7. Anyone as provided in	3 points	0 points
paragraphs 2 thru 6 (above) without		
prior disclosure to another state		
official or supervisor		

Does the state law –

8. Require an investigation by state auditor or other investigative entity of whistleblower disclosures	1 point	0 points
 9. Have a statute of limitations of one year or longer for filing complaints 10.Allow qui tam or false claim actions for recovery of "bounty" in cases of fraud against the state 	3 points (2 points if 6 months or longer and 1 point if 60 days or longer) 5 points (2 points if a qui tam statute of limited scope)	3 points ³ 2 points ⁴
	Maximum Score 33 points	Awarded Score 29 points

² The statute does not provide that disclosures are made only to certain person, officials or organizations. The only restriction on disclosure of information is: a disclosure that is specifically prohibited by law can only be made exclusively to the Maryland Attorney General, who will designate an assistant Attorney General, who can investigate to see whether their has been an illegality or impropriety.

³ § 5-309. A complaint must be filed within 6 months after the complainant first knew or reasonably should have known of the violation of the prohibition against reprisals. §11-30 the action shall be brought within 1 year after the alleged violation of § 11-303

⁴ Maryland False Health Claims Act § 2-601 et. seq. (2012).

C. Strength: Remedies against retaliation (33 points possible from 11 factors)

Does the statute provide for –

Factor Maximu	im Points	Awarded Points
1. Prohibition on retaliatory actions	4 points	4 points
affecting a state employee's terms		
and conditions of employment		
2. Opportunity for administrative	4 points	4 points
challenge		
3. Opportunities for court challenge	4 points	4 points ⁵
4. Trial by jury	3 points	0 points
5. Burden shifting upon prima facie	1 point	0 points
showing.		
6. Make whole remedies (court	3 points	3 points
costs, attorney fees, back pay;		
restoration of benefits, etc.)		
7. Actual/compensatory damages	3 points	3 points ⁶
8. Interim relief, injunction or stay	3 points	3 points^7
of personnel actions		
9. Transfer preference for prevailing	3 points	0 points
whistleblower or ban on		
blackballing		
10. Punitive damages or other fines	2 points	0 points
and penalties		
11. Personnel actions against	3 points	3 points ⁸
managers found to have retaliated		
	Maximum S	
	<u>33 points</u>	24 points

Bonus Point (1 point): Posting or employee notice of whistleblower rights required.

Factor	Maximum Score	Awarded Score
Posting	1 point	1 point ⁹
Totals	<u>100 points</u>	<u>74 points</u>

⁵ § 5-310(e). Judicial review - a complainant or appointing authority may appeal the decision issued under subsection (c) of this section.

⁶ § 11-305(5) require compensation for lost wages, benefits, and other remuneration

 $^{^{7}}$ § 11-305(1) In any action brought under this subtitle, a court may: (1) issue an injunction to restrain continued violation of § 11-303 of this subtitle;

 $^{^8}$ § 5-309(e)(2)(iv). Appropriate disciplinary may be taken against the person responsible for the reprisal action

⁹ § 5-304

State Legislation Protecting State Employee Whistleblowers (updated July 2022)

State: Maryland

Statute: Maryland Whistleblower Law in the Executive Branch of State Government-Md. Code Ann., State Pers. & Pens. § 5-301 to -311 (2004); Maryland State Contractor Employees' Whistleblower Protection, Md. Code Ann., State Fin. & Proc. § 11-301 to -306 (1996)

Provisions: A supervisor, appointing authority, or the head of a principal unit of state government may not take or refuse to take any personnel action as a reprisal against an employee who (1) discloses information that the employee reasonably believes evidences (i) an abuse of authority, gross mismanagement, or gross waste of money; (ii) a substantial or specific danger to public health and safety; (iii) a violation of law; or (2) following a disclosure under (1) seeks a remedy provided under the statute, or any other law or policy governing the employee's unit. This protection applies to a disclosure that is specifically prohibited by law only if that disclosure is made exclusively to the Attorney General in the manner allowed in § 5-313 of the Maryland Whistleblower Law.

An employee who seeks relief for a violation of the statute may elect to file with the Secretary of a state department a complaint under the statute or a grievance under another provision of Maryland statutes. A complaint under the statute must be filed within 6 months after the complainant first knew or reasonably should have known of the violation. If the Secretary or his designee determines that a violation has occurred, he/she shall take appropriate remedial action. Such action may include removal of any detrimental information from the employee's personnel file, require the head of the principal unit to reinstate the employee, award back pay, and take appropriate disciplinary action against the individual who caused the violation. The employee may appeal a decision under the statute to the Office of Administrative Hearings. It may award costs of the litigation and attorney's fees. While the decision of the Office of Administrative Hearings is considered the final decision of the agency, the statute does provides for court review of its decision. The statute does not preclude an action for defamation or invasion of privacy. Information obtained as part of an investigation conducted under this subtitle is confidential.

Statute: Maryland State Contractor Employees' Whistleblower Protection §11-301 to - 306.

Provisions: An employer may not take or refuse to take any personnel action as a reprisal against an employee because the employee discloses information that the employee reasonably believes evidences an abuse of authority, gross mismanagement, or gross waste of money; a substantial and specific danger to public health or safety; or a violation of law; objects to or refuses to participate in any activity, policy, or practice in violation of law; or following a disclosure under item (1) of this section, seeks a remedy provided under this subtitle.

Any employee who is subject to a personnel action in violation of § 11-303 of this subtitle may institute a civil action in the county where the alleged violation occurred; the employee resides; or the employer maintains its principal office in the State.

The action shall be brought within 1 year after the alleged violation of § 11-303 of this subtitle occurred or within 1 year after the employee first became aware of the alleged violation of § 11-303 of this subtitle.