

Jeff Jarvis/WO/BLM/DOI
03/14/2008 05:50 PM

To: Janet Bedrosian/CASO/CA/BLM/DOI@BLM
cc
bcc
Subject: Re: CDCA [1]

[1] know we discussed this but now reading it I think part of the statement is a problem. The part about reviewing history and language should be removed. [1]

Janet Bedrosian

----- Original Message -----

From: Janet Bedrosian
Sent: 03/14/2008 02:37 PM
To: Joseph Fontana; Stephen Razo; John Scull;
David Christy/CASO/CA/BLM/DOI@BLM
Cc: Jeff Jarvis; Paul Brink
Subject: CDCA

re CDCA in NLCS

here is what we sent officially to WO

"In response to your inquiry regarding whether or not the language in S. 1139 and HR 2016 automatically includes the California Desert Conservation Area, BLM's response is:

No, the CDCA would not be included in the NLCS under the current pending legislation.

BLM has reviewed the legislative history and language of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act that designated the CDCA and found it to be inconclusive in regard to this question."

As for good idea/bad idea to include/exclude, we have no opinion, that is up to Congress.
It is important to emphasize that the Administration has testified in favor of both bills as written.

0 12