## Effect On Puget Sound Chinook Salmon Of NPDES Authorized Toxic Discharges As Permitted by Washington Department of Ecology

**Combined Report by** 

David LaLiberte, P.E., M.S.C.E Liberte Environmental Associates Wilsonville, OR 97070

#### &

Richard D. Ewing, Ph. D. Biotech Research and Consulting, Inc. Corvallis, OR 97333

April 17, 2006

## **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	i
List of Figures	iii
List of Tables	iii
Purpose and Introduction	1
Qualifications and Experience of David LaLiberte, MSCE, Environmental Engineer	1
Qualifications and Experience of Dick Ewing, Ph. D., Biologist	1
Combined Report Summary	2
Combined Report Findings	
FIGURES	6
TABLES	13
I NPDES Authorized Toxic Discharges into Puget Sound Permitted by Washington Ecology Rend	ort
Section by David LaLiberte MSCE Environmental Engineer	32
L1 Review of NPDES Permits	32
I 1 1 Permit Review	32
I 1.2 Puget Sound and Receiving Waters	32
I.1.2. Tuget bound and receiving waters	
1.1.5. Toxic Discharges into Toxicarly impanet Receiving Waters	33
I.2.1 Descenable Detential Analysis	55
1.2.1. Reasonable Folential Analysis	34
1.2.2. Molitoring requirements	33
Sediment Montoffig	33
1.2.5. Sensitivity of whole Effluent foxicity festing	30
1.2.4 Effect of Mixing Zone Dilution Allowances	37
1.3 Puget Sound Hydrodynamic Conditions	38
1.3.1. Surface versus Bottom Layer Flows	39
1.3.2. Puget Sound Residence Times	40
Upwelling Times	40
1.3.3. Puget Sound Hydrodynamics Effects on Outfall Mixing Zones	41
Effluent Tidal Return Conditions	41
Ambient Velocity Conditions	41
I.4 Effluent Chemical Types	41
I.4.1. Total Suspended Solids	41
I.4.2. Oil and Grease	42
I.4.3. Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)	43
I.4.4. Polychlorinated Hydrocarbons (PCHs)	43
I.4.5. Phenolic Compounds	44
I.4.6. Phthalates	44
I.4.7. Heavy Metals	44
I.4.8. Ammonia	45
I.4.9. Hydrogen Sulfide	45
I.4.10. Cyanide	45
I.4.11. DO Depleting Compounds – BOD, nutrients	45
I.5 Wastewater Effluent Types	46
I.5.1. Major Industrial Dischargers	46
Petroleum Refining	46
Pulp and Paper Mill	47
Other Significant Dischargers	47
I.5.2. Additional Industrial Dischargers	47
I.5.3. General Industrial Permits	47
Boatyard General Permit	48
Drinking Water Treatment Plant General Permit	49
I.5.4. Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) Permits	49
I.5.5. Stormwater Related Discharges	49
I.6. Toxic Discharges Summary	50

I.7. References
II. Effects of Toxic Pollution on Puget Sound Chinook Salmon Report Section by Dick Ewing, Ph.
D., Biologist
II.1. Introduction
II.2. Review of Toxic Effects on Salmon
II.2.1. Sublethal Impacts of Pollutants
II.2.2. Pathways for Toxic Pollutants to Impact Salmonids
II.2.3. Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification
II.2.4. Relationship of Tissue Concentrations to Specific Toxic Effects
II.3. Problem Levels of Toxicants
II.3.1. Toxic Chemical Parameters
II.3.2. Locations of Concern
II.3.3. Heavy Metals
Copper
Cadmium
Chromium
Mercury
Zinc
II.3.4. Other Inorganic Toxicants74
II.3.5. Organic Compounds75
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)77
Phthalates79
Polychlorinated Hydrocarbons (PCHs) 80
II.4. Summary of Toxic Effects on Chinook Salmon
II.5. Common and Scientific Names for Fish Described Above
II.6. References

### Appendices

Appendix A- Curriculum Vitae
A.1. For Dave LaLiberte, MSCE, Environmental Engineer
A.2. For Dick Ewing, Ph. D., Biologist
Appendix B- Ecology NPDES Permitted Facilities in the Puget Sound Region

Appendix C- Summary Waterbody Assessment Criteria

Appendix D- Puget Sound Waterbody Assessment

Appendix E- EIM Sediment Monitoring Data - Examples

### **List of Figures**

Figure 1. Map of Puget Sound region with reaches shown in bold outline.

- Figure 2. Sites of current measurements in the Puget Sound region.
- Figure 3. Plan view of net circulation in the upper layer (30m) of the inner Strait of Juan de Fuca.
- Figure 4. Plan view of net circulation in Admiralty Inlet and Whidbey Basin.
- Figure 5. Plan view of net circulation in Main Basin.

Figure 6 Plan view of net circulation in a portion of the Southern Basin.

### **List of Tables**

- Table 1. Number of NPDES Permits Authorized by Ecology as of January 2006 To Discharge Toxic

   Pollutants into the Puget Sound Region Identified in this Study
- Table 2. Ecology Identified Water Resource Inventory Areas (WRIAs) In the Puget Sound RegionHighlighting Key WRIAs Identified in this Study
- Table 3. Comparison of Puget Sound Area TMDLs for Toxic Contaminants
- Table 4. Residence Time Comparisons for the Puget Sound Southern Basin
- Table 5. Upwelling Time Scales in Puget Sound Basins
- Table 6. List of Important Toxic Compounds Authorized for Discharge or Affected by Ecology's NPDES Program
- Table 7. Oil Refining Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 8. Pulp & Paper Mill Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 9. Other Major and Additional Individual Industrial Dischargers Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 10. Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant Wastewater Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 11. General Stormwater for Industrial, Construction and Municipal Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 12. Comparison of Ecology Authorized NPDES Permits With Puget Sound Toxic Contamination and Locations

## **Purpose and Introduction**

This report evaluates the effects on chinook salmon of National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) authorized toxic discharges into Puget Sound as permitted by Washington Department of Ecology. Two key components are brought together in this report. These are: 1) the environmental impact of toxic discharges into Puget Sound, and 2) the biological effects of toxic chemicals on chinook salmon in the Puget Sound. David LaLiberte is a professional engineer with Liberte Environmental Associates. In this report (Section I) he investigates the environmental impact of sources and toxic discharges into Puget Sound. Mr. LaLiberte's qualifications and experience are discussed below. Dick Ewing is a biologist with Biotech Research and Consulting. In this report (Section II) he addresses the biological effects of toxic chemicals on chinook salmon in the Puget Sound. Dr. Ewing's qualifications and experience are discussed below.

**Qualifications and Experience of David LaLiberte, MSCE, Environmental Engineer** Mr. LaLiberte is a registered Professional Engineer in Civil and Environmental Engineering (Oregon), and holds a Master of Science degree in Civil Engineering from Portland State University. He is currently employed as the Principal Engineer of Liberte Environmental Associates ("LEA"), a private consulting company. Prior to LEA, he worked as a professional engineer at two large environmental engineering firms, Montgomery Watson Americas and Brown & Caldwell, for a combined total of eight years. In addition, he rose to the position of research assistant over the course of three years at Portland State University. He has over eighteen years of experience in: surface water quality analysis and evaluation (including extensive experience with wastewater characterization and dilution modeling); environmental quality control; pollution abatement, including analysis and evaluation of effluent treatment alternative and discharge requirements of NPDES permits; and environmental design. Clients have included the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, numerous municipalities, several public utilities, industrial dischargers and non-governmental organizations. Mr. LaLiberte's curriculum vitae are attached as Appendix A.1.

### Qualifications and Experience of Dick Ewing, Ph. D., Biologist

Dr. Ewing received his Ph. D. in cell and molecular biology from University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida in 1968. After receiving his degree, he was a postdoctoral fellow in Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee and the Marine Biological Laboratory in Wood's Hole, Massachusetts where he worked on developmental biology and physiology of invertebrates. In 1971, he came to Oregon State University as a research associate and spent one year as a temporary assistant professor in zoology. In 1975, he joined the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and spent the next 17 years as a fish physiologist and hatchery specialist. In 1992, he left Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and started his own business, Biotech Research and Consulting, Inc., where he specializes in performing enzyme and hormone analysis, food analysis, and water quality analysis for fisheries agencies. In 1998, he began performing enzyme immunoassays of pesticides for different organizations. Past contracts involving pollutants are those from Boise, Inc., Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, Devils Lake Watershed Enhancement Committee, Portland Department of Parks and Recreation, and Northwest Coalition for Alternatives to Pesticides. Dr. Ewing's curriculum vitae are attached as Appendix A.2.

## **Combined Report Summary**

This combined report finds that toxic contamination of water, sediments and organisms in the Puget Sound region caused in substantial part by National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitted discharges is widespread and likely to be harmful to chinook salmon. Figures and tables presented in this report are at the end of this summary. Figure 1 shows the Puget Sound region of interest with Figures 2 through 6 showing circulation patterns in the sound. The Strait of Georgia and northern bays in the region, up to Canada, are also considered in this report because of their close proximity and the shared water quality concerns, which cross the international border.

At present, Puget Sound contains three species of federally listed salmonids, chinook salmon, chum salmon and bull trout. Chinook salmon in the Puget Sound area were listed as threatened in 1999.

Sources of toxic chemicals in Puget Sound are linked, in Section I, to State of Washington Department of Ecology (Ecology) authorized NPDES permits. These permits comprise 16 major industrial facilities, 56 additional industrial dischargers, 86 sewage treatment plants, 98 general industrial dischargers, and 1593 general stormwater dischargers. Table 1 lists the number and types of dischargers in the Puget Sound area of concern. Table 2 identifies the specific Ecology Water Resource Inventory Areas (WRIAs) comprising the Puget Sound area of concern.

Toxic contamination has been measured throughout the Puget Sound region by a number of state, federal, tribal, and local governments. Major waterbodies in the Puget Sound region experiencing impairment because of toxic contamination include Bellingham Bay, Fidalgo Bay, Skagit River, Snohomish River, Everett Harbor/Port Gardner, main basin of Puget Sound, Lake Union/Lake Washington Ship Canal, Duwamish River, Elliott Bay, East Passage, Dalco Passage, Poverty Bay, Puyallup River, Inner and Outer Commencement Bay, Squaxin Passages, Peale Passage, Pickering Passage, Carr Inlet, Eagle Harbor, Hale Passage, Case Inlet, Dana Passage, and additional locations.

Ecology is required, under the federal Clean Water Act, to conduct Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) assessments for waterbodies on the 303(d) list. Based on assessment in the Puget Sound region, Ecology has developed, or is developing, TMDLS for 12 waterbodies, originally contained in the state's 1998 and earlier 303(d) lists, and impaired for a range of toxic contaminants (Table 3). At least an additional 46 waterbodies are listed in the 2004 303(d) for impairment because of a range of toxic contaminants. This is a total of 58 impaired waterbodies when TMDLs and 303(d) listings for toxic contamination are added together. This is also a conservative number of toxic chemical impaired waters due to gaps in Ecology's ambient water quality data collection and a significant lack of identification of toxic chemicals discharged from NPDES permitted sources. Gaps also include unregulated discharges. For example, stormwater discharges from urbanized areas with less than 100,000 people are not currently regulated under Ecology's NPDES stormwater program. All of these gaps indicates that the number of Puget Sound waterbodies toxically impaired is much greater

than the 58 presently indicated by Ecology through the TMDL and 303(d) listing processes.

Toxic contaminants in Puget Sound waters, sediments and animals include heavy metals, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated hydrocarbons (PCHs), phthalates, phenols, other organics, ammonia, cyanide and hydrogen sulfide (see Tables 6 through 11 for types of sources).

Many of the toxic chemicals evaluated in this report are persistent (do not break down easily) and bioaccumulate at levels harmful. These persistent bioaccumulating toxins (PBTs) include; heavy metals, PAHs, phthalates and PCHs discharged from Ecology authorized NPDES permits. PBTs released at any concentration level are very probably harmful to chinook salmon, and other organisms, because of their of persistent and bioaccumulating characteristics. In the Puget Sound region PBTs have been found in mussels, sole, rockfish, salmon, chinook salmon, seals, and killer whales.

Many toxic contaminants discharged into Puget Sound concentrate in sediments and bioaccumulate in the tissues of organisms. Widespread toxic contamination in animals of the Puget Sound region is documented in Ecology's 303(d) listings for impaired waters due to contaminated organisms (see Table 12). Major waterbodies in the Puget Sound region experiencing impairment because of toxic contamination in organisms overlap with the waterbodies already listed above. Bioaccumulation of toxic contaminants occurs at levels harmful to animals including, among other organisms, mountain whitefish, bridgelip sucker, mussels, English sole, rockfish, chinook salmon and other salmonids, seals, and killer whales.

Ecology has issued NDPES permits that include limitations for conventional pollutants such as biological oxygen demand (BOD), temperature, total suspended solids (TSS), and oil and grease (O&G) and pH as well as metals and organic chemicals. In many NPDES permits, total suspended solids (TSS), and oil and grease (O&G) for which effluent limitations are established are in effect "catch-all" compounds that incorporate unquantified and unidentified toxic contaminants. Metals, PAHs, phthalates and PCHs can be attached to permitted levels of effluent suspended solids in proportions about 33,000 to 6,250,000 times greater than safe levels for organisms. Additionally, PAHs, phthalates and PCHs may also be contained in authorized oil and grease discharges at levels about 400 to 670,000 times greater than presumably safe levels.

Puget Sound net flows and circulation are such that persistent toxic contaminants can remain in the system for long periods of time. The association of toxic contaminants with effluent suspended solids, combined with extended residence times in sound waters, allows contaminants to settle into sediments where organisms are exposed. Animals that rely on organisms associated with sediments are at risk of toxic contamination through this process.

Dissolved oxygen depletion in Puget Sound waters is an additional problem often occurring at the same time as toxic contamination. Discharges of oxygen demanding substances into Puget Sound include biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, ammonia, and indirectly through algal dynamics, the nutrients phosphorus and nitrogen. Many areas of Puget Sound including Hood Canal, Budd Inlet, Deschutes River, Carr Inlet, Commencement Bay, Green River, Duwamish River, Everett Harbor, Snohomish River, Ebey Slough, Clover Creek, and others have periods of depleted oxygen, demonstrating water quality impairment, because of introduced oxygen demanding substances from NPDES permitted facilities as well as stormwater runoff, improperly operating on-site septic systems and ocean nutrients.

Generally, Ecology has not connected sources of toxic contamination with polluted waterbodies. This can be explained by inadequacies of Ecology's NPDES permit process that: 1) neglect or inaccurately performs the reasonable potential analyses meant to identify likely effluent concentrations; 2) overestimate natural instream dilution by neglecting tidal return of previously discharged effluent; 3) overestimate receiving water available for effluent dilution; 4) overestimate outfall mixing energy by inflating outfall port velocities; 5) permit Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing on animals less sensitive than many Puget Sound animals including chinook salmon; 6) permit Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing using inflated dilutions from inaccurate analyses identified in 2) through 4) above; 7) fail to account for additive and synergistic toxic effects of chemicals.

Chinook and other salmon use the Puget Sound as a waterway to and from the rivers and streams used for spawning. Chinook salmon adults feed extensively on the herrings and small fish that inhabit Puget Sound. In turn, sea lions and killer whales feed on the congregated salmon. As the salmon are urged on by hormones, they leave the estuary and travel up the rivers and streams to the spawning areas where they were born.

From evaluation of data of toxic contaminants in water, sediments, and tissues of chinook salmon from the Puget Sound, there is ample evidence of pollutant concentrations that may initiate many of the deleterious effects on salmon populations described in Section II by Ewing. The sublethal concentrations of these pollutants impair physiological functions at every stage in the life history of the salmon:

1) Interfering with the biochemical machinery of the cells.

2) Showing various neurotoxic effects that interfere with normal behavior.

3) Inhibiting the olfactory system in such a way to interfere with homing, predator avoidance, and spawning.

4) Interfering with the immune system, leading to increased mortality from diseases.

5) Increase the incidence of carcinogenesis through oxidized metabolites, DNA adducts and interference with DNA repair mechanisms.

6) Interfering with developmental processes, leading to reduced fertility, increased mortality of the young, and teratogenesis.

7) Act as endocrine disruptors, causing interference with the intricate balance of hormones needed for reproduction, osmoregulation, and homeostasis.

8) PBTs released at any concentration level are very probably harmful to chinook salmon, and other organisms, because of their persistent and bioaccumulating characteristics.

## **Combined Report Findings**

- Toxic contamination is widespread in the Puget Sound region based on a review of water quality, sediment and organism tissue data.
- Ecology's own TMDL and 303(d) List assessments for waterbodies show toxic contamination in the Puget Sound is extensive. These assessments are limited by data gaps and much more contamination is possible.
- Toxic contamination in Puget Sound matches the types of chemicals that Ecology NPDES permits authorize for industrial, municipal, and stormwater discharges.
- Ecology authorized NPDES discharges are major sources of toxic chemicals on an ongoing basis.
- Ecology routinely overestimates effluent dilution in their NPDES permit evaluations resulting in the release of harmful concentrations of toxic contaminants.
- Ecology's existing NPDES process fails to identify many sources, and the magnitudes, of toxic contaminants they authorize for release.
- Ecology's NPDES Whole Effluent Toxicity testing on organisms fails to capture the synergistic effects of effluent toxic chemicals acting together, and does not characterize bioaccumulative effects of toxic contaminants.
- Total suspended solids (TSS) and oil and grease (O&G) allowances in effectively all Ecology NPDES permits very probably contain un-quantified toxic chemicals.
- Sublethal concentrations of toxic pollutants impair physiological functions of chinook and other salmon.
- Toxic chemicals, such as PAHs, PCHs and heavy metals, are persistent bioaccumulating toxins (PBTs) that are authorized for discharge by Ecology.
- PBTs released at any concentration level are very probably harmful to chinook salmon, and other organisms, because of their persistent and bioaccumulating characteristics.
- Toxic contamination adversely affects chinook salmon, other salmonids, whitefish, sucker, mussels, sole, rockfish, seals, killer whales and other organisms in the Puget Sound region.

### FIGURES

- Figure 1. Map of Puget Sound region with reaches shown in bold outline.
- Figure 2. Sites of current measurements in the Puget Sound region.
- Figure 3. Plan view of net circulation in the upper layer (30m) of the inner Strait of Juan de Fuca.
- Figure 4. Plan view of net circulation in Admiralty Inlet and Whidbey Basin.
- Figure 5. Plan view of net circulation in Main Basin.
- Figure 6. Plan view of net circulation in a portion of the Southern Basin.



Figure 1. Map of Puget Sound region with reaches shown in bold outline.

NOAA study region identifying basin mixing zones, channel cross-sections, current observations and salinity stations. [From NOAA, 1990]



Figure 2. Sites of current measurements in the Puget Sound region.

NOAA study region showing Whidbey Basin, Main Basin, Southern Basin, Hood Canal Basin and Admiralty Inlet. [From NOAA Vol. 1, 1984a]



### Figure 3. Plan view of net circulation in the upper layer (30m) Of the inner Strait of Juan de Fuca.

Current measurement and direction stations are shown by dot&line symbol. Numbers denote approximate current speed (centimeter per second, cm/s). The arrows represent the flow pattern based on observations. Hatched areas show where single layer net flow prevails. [From NOAA Vol. 3, 1984c, pg. 29]



Figure 4. Plan view of net circulation in Admiralty Inlet and Whidbey Basin.

Current measurement and direction stations in the upper layer (30m) are shown by dot&line symbol. Numbers denote approximate current speed (centimeter per second, cm/s). The solid arrows represent the flow pattern in the upper layer based on observations. The dashed arrows represent the flow in the lower layer. Hatched areas show where single layer net flow prevails. [From NOAA Vol. 3, 1984c, pg33]



Figure 5. Plan view of net circulation in Main Basin.

Current measurement and direction stations in the upper layer are shown by dot&line symbol. Numbers denote approximate current speed (centimeter per second, cm/s). The solid arrows represent the flow pattern in the upper layer based on observations. The dashed arrows represent the flow in the lower layer. Hatched areas show where single layer net flow prevails. [From NOAA Vol. 3, 1984c, pg34]



### Figure 6. Plan view of net circulation in a portion of the Southern Basin.

Current measurement and direction stations in the upper layer are shown by dot&line symbol. Numbers denote approximate current speed (centimeter per second, cm/s). The solid arrows represent the flow pattern in the upper layer based on observations. The dashed arrows represent the flow in the lower layer. Hatched areas show where single layer net flow prevails. [From ref. NOAA Vol. 3, 1984c, pg34]

#### **TABLES**

- Table 1. Number of NPDES Permits Authorized by Ecology as of January 2006 To Discharge Toxic

   Pollutants into the Puget Sound Region Identified in this Study
- Table 2. Ecology Identified Water Resource Inventory Areas (WRIAs) In the Puget Sound Region

   Highlighting Key WRIAs Identified in this Study
- Table 3. Comparison of Puget Sound Area TMDLs for Toxic Contaminants
- Table 4. Residence Time Comparisons for the Puget Sound Southern Basin
- Table 5. Upwelling Time Scales in Puget Sound Basins
- Table 6. List of Important Toxic Compounds Authorized for Discharge or Affected by Ecology's NPDES Program
- Table 7. Oil Refining Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 8. Pulp & Paper Mill Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 9. Other Major and Additional Individual Industrial Dischargers Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 10. Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant Wastewater Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 11. General Stormwater for Industrial, Construction and Municipal Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters
- Table 12. Comparison of Ecology Authorized NPDES Permits With Puget Sound Toxic Contamination and Locations

## Table 1. Number of NPDES Permits Authorized by Ecology as of January 2006 To Discharge Toxic Pollutants into the Puget Sound Region Identified in this Study

Ecology Authorized NPDES Wastewater Permits <sup>A</sup>		
Major Individual Industrial <sup>B</sup>	16	
Additional Individual Industrial <sup>C</sup>	56	
Individual Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) <sup>D</sup>	86	
General Industrial <sup>E</sup>	98	
General Stormwater <sup>F</sup>	1593	

<sup>A</sup> Facility data summarized here was developed from the Ecology database, <u>Information</u> <u>on Ecology's Water Quality Permit Life Cycle System</u>. [Ecology WPLCS, 2004b] Compiled information for these summarized permits is detailed in Appendix B.

<sup>B</sup> The 16 Major Individual Industrial discharger permits and fact sheets were obtained from Ecology through, <u>Information on Ecology's Water Quality Permit Life Cycle</u> <u>System</u>. [Ecology WPLCS, 2004b] These dischargers are listed by receiving water (i.e., by WRIA) in Table 12. The evaluated Major Industrial Permits typically contained provisions for discharge of industrial stormwater into the process wastewater outfall and/or to additional outfalls.

<sup>C</sup> Permits include operations such as cement and asphalt manufacturing, chemical manufacturing, petroleum storage, shipyard, solid waste sites, transportation facilities, wood preserving and others. All the evaluated Individual Industrial Permits contained provisions for discharge of industrial stormwater into the process wastewater outfall and/or to additional outfalls.

<sup>D</sup> The sewage treatment plant (i.e., municipal STP) permits that were compiled contained provisions for discharge of facility stormwater, including combined storm and sewage discharge (i.e., CSOs), into the process wastewater outfall and/or to additional permitted outfalls.

<sup>E</sup> General Industrial Permits compiled include 90 for Boatyards, and 8 for Drinking Water Treatment Plants.

<sup>F</sup> See Appendix B for a listing of General Stormwater for 11 Municipal (MS4 Phase I, comprised by 11 unique permits), 788 Industrial, and 794 Construction Permits. The General Stormwater Permit for Municipal is comprised of 11 unique permits in Ecology's facilities database and appear to constitute MS4 Phase I permitting. The General Stormwater Permit for Industrial includes discharges from 12 landfills. The General Stormwater Permit for Construction includes discharges from 2 landfills.

WRIA No.	WRIA Name By River Basin	Additional Important Waterbodies in WRIA
01	Nooksack	Bellingham Bay; Strait of Georgia
03	Lower Skagit-Samish	Fidalgo and Padilla Bays; Guemes Channel; Samish Bay
05	Stillaguamish	Port Susan
06	Island (County)	Holmes Harbor; Penn Cove; Saratoga Passage
07	Snohomish	Everett Harbor; Port Gardiner
08	Cedar-Sammamish	Elliott Bay; Lake Washington Ship Canal; Puget Sound (North- Central)
09	Duwamish-Green	Elliott Bay; Puget Sound (South-Central)
10	Puyallup-WhiteCommencement Bay; East Pass Sound (South-Central)	
11	Nisqually	Nisqually Reach; Puget Sound (South)
12	Chambers-Clover	Leach Creek
13	Deschutes	Budd Inlet; Squaxin, Peale & Pickering Passages
14	Kennedy-Goldsborough	Oakland Bay; Hammersley Inlet; Squaxin, Peale & Pickering Passages; Case Inlet & Dana Passage
15	Kitsap (county & nearby areas)	Case Inlet & Dana Passage; Hood Canal; Carr Inlet; Eagle Harbor; Hale Passage; Puget Sound (South); Tacoma Narrows
Less Urbanize	d Basins Draining to Puget Sound	and Surrounding Straits
02	San Juan	Juan de Fuca Strait & Strait of Georgia
04	Upper Skagit	Lower Skagit
16	Skokomish-Dosewallips	Hood Canal; The Great Bend; Annas Bay
17	Quilcene-Snow	Admiralty Inlet,
18	Elwha-Dungeness	Juan de Fuca Strait
19	Lyre-Hoko	Juan de Fuca Strait

## Table 2. Ecology Identified Water Resource Inventory Areas (WRIAs)In the Puget Sound Region Highlighting Key WRIAs Identified in this Study

See Appendix D for water quality assessment for each WRIA.

Waterbody	Location	Toxic Pollutant Parameter <sup>A</sup>
Bellingham Bay	WRIA01	Sediment bioassay; Metals: Arsenic, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Zinc; Phenol, PCBs; & Wood waste
Sumas River	WRIA01	Ammonia –N Chlorine
Stillaguamish River	WRIA05	Mercury Arsenic
Snohomish River	WRIA07	Dioxin; Metals: Arsenic, Mercury
Snoqualmie River	WRIA07	Ammonia –N
Duwamish River & Lower Green River	WRIA09	Ammonia –N
Green River	WRIA09	Ammonia –N (under development) Metals (under development)
Puyallup River	WRIA10	Ammonia –N
Commencement Bay	WRIA10	Dioxin
Chambers Creek & Steilacoom Lake	WRIA12	Copper
Budd Inlet	WRIA13	PCB (under development)
Other Nearby Waterbodies:		
Strait of Juan de Fuca	WRIA18	Dioxin

 Table 3. Comparison of Puget Sound Area TMDLs for Toxic Contaminants

<sup>A</sup> Source: Ecology 2005a, <u>Washington State Ecology - Approved TMDLs</u>; and Ecology, 2005b, <u>Washington State Ecology – TMDLs Under Development</u>.

	Budd Inlet	Eld Inlet	Totten Inlet	Hammersley Inlet	Puget Sound Southern Basin
Waterbody Volume (V, 10 <sup>8</sup> m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>B</sup>	2.52	1.59	2.13	1.48	158
<b>Residence Time</b> (day)					
Salt/mass balance	0.9-12	N/A	N/A	N/A	28-174
Transport model <sup>C</sup>	6.5	8.4	4.0	46.3	124
Mass Transport (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	450	220	620	37	1480

#### Table 4. Residence Time Comparisons for the Puget Sound Southern Basin

<sup>A</sup> Residence times as evaluated in "Investigation of the Mean Flow in a Complex Multi-Connected Estuary: South Puget Sound", Albertson, S. L, Newton, J. and Reynolds, R., and Ebbesmeyer, C., Puget Sound Research 2001 Proceedings, see Puget Sound Action Team (PSAT) website.

<sup>B</sup> Water surface elevation at mean high water (MHW)

<sup>C</sup> Residence times as determined by Albertson, et al., from application of the mass transport model, SPASM.

N/A - values not available.

	Upwelling		Upwelling
Puget Sound Region	Time Scale <sup>A</sup>	Volume	Transport
(See Figure 2 for Basin Locations)	(T, days)	$(V, 10^9 m^3)$	$(Q, m^3 / s)$
Puget Sound- Southern Basin	10	16	20,000
Main Basin	60	77	15,000
Whidbey Basin	110	29	3,000
Hood Canal	120	25	2500

Table 5.	Unwelling	Time	Scales in	Puget	Sound Basins
Table 5.	opwennig	Inne	Scales III	I ugu	Sound Dasins

<sup>A</sup> Sources: Ebbesmeyer, et al., 1998; and NOAA, 1990.

	PAHs		Metals	Additional
Aromatic Hydrocarbons Benzene Ethylbenzene Toluene Xylenes (m,p,o) Phenolic Compounds 2-Methyl Phenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol 3/4 -Methylphenol Phthalates Di-n-butyl phthalate Benzyl butyl phthalate (Pesticide, other) Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (Pesticide, other) Pesticides Dieldrin Chlordane Lindane Alpha-hexachlorocyclohexane Alpha-endosulfane	LPAHs Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Fluorene Phenanthrene Naphthalene HPAHs Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Pyrene PAH compounds 2-Methylnaphthalene 3-Methylcholanthrene 5-Methylchysene 1-Nitropyrene 7H-Dibenzo(e,g)carbazole 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Adsorbable Organic Halides (AOX) (Contains toxic contaminants - chlorinated organics) Dioxins 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-heptachlorodib	Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Copper Lead Mercury Nickel Selenium Zinc	Ammonia Chlorine Hydrogen Sulfide Cyanide

# Table 6. List of Important Toxic CompoundsAuthorized for Discharge or Affected by Ecology's NPDES Program

	PAHs	Metals	Additional	
<b>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</b> (With toxic contaminants attached)	LPAHs Ars Acenaphthene Ch Acenaphthylene Ch Anthracene Lee Fluorene Me	Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Copper Lead Mercury	Arsenic Ammonia Cadmium Chlorine Chromium Hydrogen S Copper Cyanide Lead Mercury	Ammonia Chlorine Hydrogen Sulfide Cyanide
(Containing toxic contaminants)	Phenanthrene Naphthalene	Nickel Selenium		
Aromatic Hydrocarbons Benzene	2-Methylnaphthalene (PAH compound)	Zinc		
Ethylbenzene Toluene Xylenes (m,p,o)	<i>HPAHs</i> Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene			
<b>Phenolic Compounds</b> 2-Methyl Phenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol 3/4 -Methylphenol	Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene Benzo(j)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenzo(a b)anthracene			
<b>Phthalates</b> Di-n-butyl phthalate Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Fluoranthene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Pyrene			
<i>Polychlorinated</i> <i>Hydrocarbons</i> Chlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene				
1,4-Dichlorobenzene Dibenzofuran				

# Table 7. Oil RefiningEffluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters

	PAHs and Compounds		Metals	Additional
Total Suspended Solids (TSS) (With toxic contaminants attached) Oil and Grease (O&G) (Containing toxic contaminants) Phthalates Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate [defoamer] Phenolic Compounds 2-Methyl Phenol 2,4-Dimethylphenol 3/4 –Methylphenol 3/4 –Methylphenol Menzene Ethylbenzene Toluene Xylenes (m,p,o)	Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)phenanthrene (chrysene) Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(t)fluoranthene Benzo(t)fluoranthene Benzo(t,st)pentaphene Dibenzo(a,h)acridine Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene Dibenzo(a,e)pyrene Dibenzo(a,l)pyrene Naphthalene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene 3-Methylcholanthrene 5-Methylcholyzene 1-Nitropyrene7H- Dibenzo(e,g)carbazole 7,12-Dimethylbenz(a)anthracene	Adsorbable Organic Halides (AOX) (Contains toxic contaminants - chlorinated organics) Dioxins 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-hexachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8-pentachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran 1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octachlorodibenzofuran	Arsenic Copper Mercury Vanadium	Ammonia Chlorine Hydrogen Sulfide

# Table 8. Pulp & Paper MillEffluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters

Discharge <sup>A</sup>	PAHs	Metals	Additional
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)         (With toxic contaminants attached)         Oil and Grease (O&G)         (Containing toxic contaminants)         Aromatic Hydrocarbons         Benzene         Ethylbenzene         Toluene         Xylenes (m,p,o)         Phenolic Compounds         2-Methyl Phenol         2,4-Dimethylphenol         Di-n-butyl phthalate         Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate         Benzyl butyl phthalate	LPAHs Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Fluorene Phenanthrene Naphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene (PAH compound) HPAHs Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Pyrene	Arsenic Cadmium Chromium Copper Lead Mercury Nickel Selenium Zinc	Ammonia Chlorine Hydrogen Sulfide Cyanide
Chlorinated Phenolic Compounds Pentachlorophenol Polychlorinated Hydrocarbons Chlorobenzene 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 1,4-Dichlorobenzene Dibenzofuran Chloroform			

# Table 9. Other Major and Additional Individual Industrial DischargersEffluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters

<sup>A</sup> Other major dischargers include aluminum smelters, power cogeneration facilities and chemical manufacturing. Additional industrial dischargers include operations such as cement and asphalt manufacturing, chemical manufacturing, petroleum storage, shipyard, solid waste sites, transportation facilities, wood preserving and others.

Table 10.	Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant Wastewater
Efflu	ent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters

Discharge <sup>A</sup>	PAHs	Metals	Additional
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	LPAHs	Arsenic	Ammonia
(With toxic contaminants	Acenaphthene	Cadmium	Chlorine Hudrogen Sulfide
attached)	Acenaphthylene	Copper	Trydrogen Sunde
	Anthracene	Lead	
Oil and Crease (O&C)	Fluorene	Mercury	
Ou una Greuse (O&G)	Phenanthrene	Nickel	
(Containing toxic contaminants)	Naphthalene	Selenium	
	2-Methylnaphthalene	Zinc	
Aromatic Hydrocarbons	(PAH compound)		
Benzene			
Ethylbenzene	HPAHs		
Toluene Vedence (m. n. e)	Benzo(a)anthracene		
Aylenes (m,p,o)	Benzo(a)pyrene		
Phanalia Compounds	Benzo(b)fluoranthene		
2 Mathyl Phonol	Benzo(ghi)perylene		
2 4-Dimethylphenol	Benzo(j)fluoranthene		
2, i Dimetry phonor	Benzo(k)fluorantnene		
Phthalates	Dibenzo(a b)anthracene		
Di-n-butyl phthalate	Fluoranthene		
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene		
Benzyl butyl phthalate	Pyrene		
Chlorinated Phenolic			
Compounds			
Pentachlorophenol (Pesticide)			
r ( , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Polychlorinated			
Hydrocarbons			
Chlorobenzene			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene			
Dibenzofuran			
Chloroform			

<sup>A</sup> These permits include discharges to STP systems for operations such as landfills, metal finishing, printing, shipyards, petroleum storage, seafood processing, wood treating, and others. This Municipal STP category includes combined sewer overflows (CSOs).

	PAHs	Metals	Additional
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)         (With toxic contaminants attached)         Oil and Grease (O&G)         (Containing toxic contaminants)         Aromatic Hydrocarbons         Benzene         Ethylbenzene         Toluene         Xylenes (m,p,o)         Phthalates         Benzyl butyl phthalate (Pesticide, other)         Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	LPAHs Acenaphthene Acenaphthylene Anthracene Fluorene Phenanthrene Naphthalene 2-Methylnaphthalene (PAH compound) HPAHs Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(c)jfluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Fluoranthene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Pyrene	Antimony Arsenic Beryllium Cadmium Chromium Copper Lead Mercury Nickel Selenium Silver Thallium Zinc	Ammonia Chlorine Hydrogen Sulfide Cyanide Phenolic Compounds Other Volatile Organics

## Table 11. General Stormwater for Industrial, Construction and Municipal Effluent Chemicals Discharged to Natural Waters

				Receiving Waterbody	Waterboo	ly Status - Ecology 303(d)	Category 5 Listings
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)
WRIA 01	Intalco Works, Ferndale (near Cherry Point) [WA-000295-0]	Aluminum Smelter	Total Suspended Solids; Fluoride; Aluminum; Antimony; Arsenic; Cadmium; Copper; Nickel; Zinc; Cyanide, free; Benzo(a)pyrene (i.e., PAHs); Oil & Grease; Chlorine; Total PCBs (found and allowed at Kaiser Aluminum Tacoma).	Strait of Georgia	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	PAHss, as follows: Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene; (other compounds): 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene
WRIA 01	ConocoPhillips Refinery, Ferndale (also known as TOSCO) [WA-000298-4]	Oil Refinery	Phenolic Compounds, Total Ammonia, Sulfide, Total Chromium, Hexavalent Chromium, Cyanide, BOD5, COD, O&G, TSS, Solvents, Phthalates, PAHs, Other Metals.	Strait of Georgia & Trib. to Lummi Bay			
WRIA 01	Tenaska, Ferndale [WA-003129-1]	Cogeneration Power	Total Suspended Solids; Oil & Grease; Total Chromium; Total Zinc; Total Residual Chlorine; Priority Pollutant Organics, Other Metals.	Strait of Georgia (via TOSCO's outfall)			[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
WRIA 01	BP Cherry Point Refinery, Blaine [WA-002290-0]	Oil Refinery	Phenolic Compounds, Total Ammonia, Sulfide, Total Chromium, Hexavalent Chromium, Chlorine, BOD5, COD, O&G, TSS, Solvents, Phthalates, PAHs, Other Metals.	Strait of Georgia			
WRIA 01	Georgia Pacific West, Inc.; and Econgen cogeneration plant; Bellingham [WA-000109-1]	Pulp and Paper Mill	Mercury; BOD5, TSS Other likely contaminants allowed by Ecology are: ammonia, landfill leachate, phenols, PCH including pentachlorophenol, sulfides, surfactants, phtahaltes, and additional metals including chromium, copper, nickel and zinc. Contaminants from Econgen	Bellingham Bay Baker Creek	Pentachlorophenol.		Bellingham Bay TMDLs because imparied based on sediments for: Sediment bioassay; Metals: Arsenic, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Zinc; Phenol, PCBs, & Wood waste
			are also effectively non- monitored.	Fever Creek	Zinc Pentachlorophenol,		
				Squalicum Creek	Copper, Zinc Pentachlorophenol,		Sediment Bioassay
				Toad Lake Creek	Zinc Pentachlorophenol,		
		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.		Zinc		
WRIA 03	Tesoro, Anacortes [WA-000076-1 ]	Oil Refinery	Phenolic Compounds, Total Ammonia, Sulfide, Total Chromium, Hexavalent Chromium, Chlorine, BOD5, COD, O&G, TSS, Solvents, Phthalates, PAHs, Other Metals.	Fidalgo Bay	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	PAHss, as follows: Benzo(A)anthracene; Chrysene (Littleneck Clam) [Johnson, 2000]A	Hexachlorobutadiene; 4-Methylphenol; 2-Methylphenol; Phenol; Pentachlorophenol; Benzyl alcohol; Benzoic acid; 2,4- Dimethylphenol;
	Shell Oil Products US, Anacortes (also known as, Equilon) [WA- 000294-1	Oil Refinery	Phenolic Compounds, Total Ammonia, Sulfide, Total Chromium, Hexavalent Chromium, Chlorine, BOD5, COD, O&G, TSS, Solvents, Phthalates, PAHs, Other Metals.	Fidalgo Bay		Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene
WRIA 03				Samish Bay			2,4- Dimethylphenol; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene

				Receiving Waterbody	Waterbod	y Status - Ecology 303(d) (	Category 5 Listings
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)
WRIA 03 WRIA 03		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional	Skagit River Swinomish Channel		Total PCBs (Mountain whitefish & bridgelip sucker) [Hopkins, et al., 1985]; PAHss, as follows: Benzo(A)anthracene; Chrysene (Littleneck Clam) [Johnson, 2000]	[Śediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
		dischargers (See Appendix B).	industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.				
WRIA 06				Holmes Harbor	No apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water.	No apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue.	Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobutadiene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; Benzyl alcohol; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene
WRIA 06		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Annendix B)	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municinal STP dischargers	Saratoga Passage			2-Methylphenol; 2,4-Methylphenol; Methylphenol; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; Benzoic Acid; Pentachlorophenol; Benzyl alcohol SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
WRIA 07 WRIA 07	Kimberly-Clark, Everett Harbor [WA- 000062-1]	Pulp and Paper Mill	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin (TCDD); 2,3,7,8- tetrachlorodibenzofuran (TCDF); Tetrachloroguaiacol; Trichloroguaiacol; 4,5,6-trichloroguaiacol; 3,4,5-trichlorocatechol; 3,4,5-trichloroguaiacol; 2,3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol; 3,4,6-trichloroguaiacol; Pentachlorophenol; 2,4,6-trichlorophenol; 2,4,6-trichlorophenol; 2,4,5-trichlorophenol; 2,4,5-trichlorophenol; 2,4,5-trichlorophenol; 2,4,5-trichlorophenol; 2,4,5-trichlorophenol; Chloroform (not monitored in permit); AOX; BOD5, COD, 0&G, TSS, other Phenols, Phthalates, Metals.	Everett Harbor & Port Gardner (also East Waterway) Snohomish River	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	2-Methylphenol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate; Phenol; Pentachlorophenol; 4-Methylphenol; Benzoic acid; Benzyl alcohol Sediment Bioassay [Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.] 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; 4- Hexachlorobenzene
				Allen Greek	Ammonia N		1,2,4- I richlorobenzene; 4- Hexachlorobenzene
WRIA U/				Swan Trail Slough	Animonia-N		

				Receiving Waterbody	Waterbod	y Status - Ecology 303(d) (	Category 5 Listings
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)
		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.				
WRIA 08				Puget Sound (N- Central) & Useless Bay	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	2,4-Dimethylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; N-nitrosodiphenylamine; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate
WRIA 08				Puget Sound	Ammonia-N	Dialdrin (diagontinued	
WRIA 08				Puget Sound (Central)	Ammonia-N	pesticide)	
WRIA 08		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.	Elliott Bay	No apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water.	No apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue.	PAHss, as follows: Acenaphthalene; Benzo(a)anthracene; Phenanthrene; Fluorene; Naphthalene; 2-methylnaphthalene; LPAHs; (other compounds): Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Dibensofuran; 2.4-Dimethylphenol; 2.4-Dimethylphenol; 2.4-Dimethylphenol; 1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene; Benzoic acid; Benzyl alcohol; Mercury; Silver; Sediment Bioassay  [Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
WRIA 09				Elliott Bay	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	PAHss, as follows: Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (other compounds): Pentachlorophenol; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene; 1,4-Trichlorobenzene; Butylbenzyl phthalate; Dimethyl phthalate; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate; 2.Methylphenol; 4-Methylphenol; 4-Methylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Total PCBs [Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]

			Frank we have been a	Receiving Waterbody	Waterboo	Waterbody Status - Ecology 303(d) Category 5 Listings		
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)	
WRIA 09				Puget Sound (Central)		Total Dioxins (Seals) [Transboundary Georgia Basin-Puget Sound Working Group on Environmental Indicators, 2002]; Total Dioxins (Killer Whales, i.e., Orcinus orca) [Ross, et al. 2000]; Total Furans (Seals) [TGB- PS WGEI, 2002]; Total PCBs (Seals) [TGB- PS WGEI, 2002]		
WRIA 09				Duwamish River & Water Way		PAHss (Sole) [Myers, et al., 1998]; 4,4'-DDD and 4,4'-DDE and Alpha-BHC (Mountain whitefish & bridgelip sucker) [Hopkins et al. 1985]; Total PCBs (Mussel) [Johnson & Davis, 1996]; Total PCBs (Eng. Sole) Total PCBs (coho salmon) [WA Dept. Fish & Wildlife PSAMP, 1992-2000]	PAHss, as follows: Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene; Fluoranthene; (other compounds): 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Butylbenzyl phthalate; 4-Methylphenol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; Phenol; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobutadiene; N-nitrosodiphenylamine; Pentachlorophenol; Total PCBs; Sediment Bioassay	
WRIA 09		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.	Puget Sound (South, S-Central, East Passage)		Total PCBs (Seals) [Simms, et al., 2000]	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Dichlorobenzene (all South). Benzyl alcohol; 2-Methylphenol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol (all South Central and East Passage)	
WRIA 10	Kaiser Aluminum, Tacoma (Port of Tacoma) [WA-000093-1]	Aluminum Smelter	Total Suspended Solids; Fluoride; Aluminum; Antimony; Arsenic; Cadmium; Copper; Nickel; Zinc; Cyanide, free; Benzo(a)pyrene (i.e., PAHs); Oil & Grease; Total PCBs.	Inner Bay - Commencement -Hylebos WW -Blair Waterway	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Dieldrin, Total PCBs (Mussel) [Johnson and Davis, 1996]	Sediment Bioassay (Hylebos); Copper (Inner); N-nitrosodiphenylamine; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobutadiene; Benzoic acid (all Inner)	
WRIA 10	Pacific Functional Fluids, LLC, Tacoma (formerly know as Lilyblad Petroleum) [WA-003867-9]	Chemical	Copper, Lead, Zinc, pentachlorophenol, O&G, acetone, Methylene Chloride, arsenic, other metals.	Inner Bay - Commencement -Blair Waterway (via Lincoln Avenue Ditch)		Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]	
WRIA 10	US Oil & Refining, Tacoma [ WA-000178-3 ]	Oil Refinery	Phenolic Compounds, Total Ammonia, Sulfide, Total Chromium, Hexavalent Chromium, Chlorine (not monitored in this permit), BOD5, COD, O&G, TSS, Solvents, Phthalates, PAHs, Other Metals.	Inner Bay - Commencement -Blair Waterway				
WRIA 10	Sound Refining, Tacoma [WA-000320-4 ]	Oil Refinery	Phenolic Compounds, Total Ammonia, Sulfide, Total Chromium, Hexavalent Chromium, Chlorine, BOD5, COD, O&G, TSS, Solvents, Phthalates, PAHs, Other Metals.	Inner Bay - Commencement Hylebos Waterway				

				Receiving Waterbody	Waterbod	ly Status - Ecology 303(d) (	Category 5 Listings
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)
	Simpson Kraft Co., Tacoma [WA-000085-0]	Pulp and Paper Mill	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p- dioxin (TCDD); 2,3,7,8- tetrachlorodibenzofuran (TCDF); Tetrachlorocatechol; Tetrachloroguaiacol; Trichlorosyringol; 4,5,6- trichlorocatechol; 3,4,5-trichlorocatechol; 3,4,5-trichlorocatechol; 3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol; 3,4,6-tetrachlorophenol; 3,4,6-trichloroguaiacol; Pentachlorophenol; 2,4,6- trichlorophenol; 2,4,6- trichlorophenol; Chloroform; AOX; BOD5, COD, O&G, TSS, other Phenols, Phthalates, Metals.	Inner (Hylebos Waterway)		Dieldrin, Total PCBs (Mussel) [Johnson and Davis, 1996]	
WRIA 10				Outer Commencement Bay		Total PCBs and Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (sole) [1993 and 1995, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife PSAMP database]	Total PCBs; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate
WRIA 10	Sonoco, Sumner [WA-000088-4]	Pulp and Paper Mill	Ammonia; BOD5, TSS Other likely contaminants allowed by Ecology are: ammonia, phenols, PCH including pentachlorophenol, sulfides, surfactants, phthalates, and additional metals including mercury, chromium, copper, nickel and zinc.	White River			
WRIA 10				Puyallup River	Mercury		
WRIA 10		For this WPIA there are	Also, for this WPIA, the toria	Dalco Passage & Poverty Bay		Total PCBs and Bis(2- ethylhexyl)phthalate (sole, mussels, rockfish) [1993 and 1995, (1992- 1995,1996 for rockfish) Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife PSAMP database]	Total PCBs; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate
		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WKIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.				
WRIA 12	Abitibi Consolidated, Steilacoom [WA-000104-0]	Pulp and Paper Mill	TSS, BOD5 Other likely contaminants allowed by Ecology are: ammonia, phenols, PCH including pentachlorophenol, surfactants, phthalates, and additional metals including mercury, chromium, copper, nickel and zinc.	Puget Sound & Chambers Creek	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	2,4-Dimethylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; N-nitrosodiphenylamine; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate

				Receiving Waterbody	Waterbod	ly Status - Ecology 303(d) (	Category 5 Listings
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)
WRIA12 WRIA12		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.	Leach Creek Puget Sound (South)	Mercury [Ecology EIM database, 1992- 1993]	Total PCBs (Copper Rock Fish) [WDFW PSAMP, 1992-1993]	[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
WRIA13 WRIA13		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.	Budd Inlet Squaxin & Dana Passages	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D). PAHss (as follows, for Shellfish) [Norton, et al., 1986] Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Total PCBs (English Sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993&1996]	[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
WRIA14					Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
WRIA14				Case Inlet & Dana Passage		Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (English sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993]	
WRIA14		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.	Squaxin, Peale and Pickering Passages		Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (English sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993] Total PCBs (English Sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993&1996]	
WRIA15				Carr Inlet		Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (English sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993] Total PCBs (English Sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993&1996]	[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]
WRIA15				Clear Creek		Total PCBs (Oncorhyncus clarkii) [Davis, et al., 1998]	1

				Receiving Waterbody Waterbody Status - E		y Status - Ecology 303(d)	s - Ecology 303(d) Category 5 Listings	
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)	
WRIA15				Dyes Inlet &Port Washington Narrows		Mercury (Crab) [EA Engineering, 1995]	Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene (other compounds): 2-Methylphenol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; N-nitrosodiphenylamine; Pentachlorophenol	
WRIA15				Eagle Harbor		PAHss (as follows, for Shellfish) [Yake, et al., 1984] Benzo(a)anthracene Benzo(a)pyrene Benzo(b)fluoranthene Benzo(k)fluoranthene Chrysene Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Also, Total PCBs	[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]	
WRIA15				Hale Passage (South)		Total PCBs (English Sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993&1996]		
WRIA15				Puget Sound (South)		Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (English sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993] Total PCBs (English Sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993- 1997]		
WRIA15				Case Inlet & Dana Passage		Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (English sole) [WDFW PSAMP, 1993]		
WRIA15		For this WRIA there are additional industrial, general stormwater and municipal STP dischargers (See Appendix B).	Also, for this WRIA, the toxic contaminants in Tables 9 through 11 are likely to be discharged from additional industrial, stormwater and municipal STP dischargers.	Sinclair Inlet			2-Methylphenol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Benzyl Alcohol; Benzoic Acid; Hexachlorobutadiene; Hexachlorobenzene; N-nitrosodiphenylamine; Pentachlorophenol Mercury	
WRIA 17	Port Townsend Paper, Port Townsend [WA-000092-2]	Pulp and Paper Mill	BOD5, TSS, Total chlorine residual Other likely contaminants allowed by Ecology are: ammonia, phenols, PCH including pentachlorophenol, sulfides, surfactants, phthalates, and additional metals including mercury, chromium, copper, nickel and zinc.	Port Townsend Bay (Glen Cove)	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	[Sediment data from Ecology's SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]	
WRIA 18				Admiralty Inlet	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in water (see Appendix D).	Except where indicated for this WRIA, no apparent assessment by Ecology for likely toxic chemicals in tissue (see Appendix D).	1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; SEDQUAL summary in 303(d) listings does not indicate what other likely contaminants were monitored or assessed.]	

				Receiving Waterbody	Waterbod	y Status - Ecology 303(d) (	Category 5 Listings
WRIA No.	Facilities Description	Discharger Type	Ecology Authorized Discharge Chemicals	Direct Discharge	WQ	Tissue <sup>A</sup>	Sediment (from SEDQUAL)

<sup>A</sup> **References** for contamination of organism tissue related to ambient WQ concentrations, as cited by Washington Ecology (based on National Toxics Rule):

Johnson, A., 2000, *Results of a Screening Analysis for Metals and Organic Compounds in Shellfish from Padilla Bay and Vicinity*, by Art Johnson, Washington Ecology Publication No. 00-03-008, May 2000.

Hopkins, B. S., D. K. Clark, M. Schlender, and M. Stinson, 1985, *Basic Water Monitoring Program: Fish Tissue and Sediment Sampling for 1984*, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA. Pub. No. 85-7.

TGB-PS WGEI, 2002, Transboundary Georgia Basin – Puget Sound Working Group on Environmental Indicators, *Georgia Basin-Puget Sound Ecosystem Indicators Report Technical Backgrounders Contaminants in Harbour Seals*, Spring 2002.

Ross, et al., 2000, *High PCB Concentrations in Free-Ranging Pacific Killer Whales, Orcinus orca: Effects of Age, Sex and Dietary Preference*, by P. S. Ross, G. M. Ellis, M. G. Ikonomou, L. G. Barrett-Lennard and R. F. Addison, Marine Pollution

Myers, et al., 1998a, Toxicopathic hepatic lesions as biomarkers of chemical contaminant exposure and effects in marine bottomfish species from the Northeast and Pacific Coasts, USA, by Myers, M. S., L. L. Johnson, O. P. Olson, C. M. Stehr, B. H.

Myers, 1998b, Toxicopathic hepatic lesions in subadult English sole (Pleuronectes vetulus) from Puget Sound, Washington, USA: Relationships with other biomarkers of contaminant exposure, Marine Environmental Research, by Myers, M. S., L. L. Johnson,

Johnson, A., and Davis, D., 1996. Washington State Pesticide Monitoring Program: Pesticides and PCBs in Marine Mussels, 1995. Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA., Pub. No. 96-303.

Simms, et al., 2000, Contaminant-related disruption of vitamin A dynamics in free-ranging harbor seal (Phoca vitulina) pups from British Columbia, Canada and Washington State, USA, by Simms, W., S. Jeffries, M. Ikonomou, and P. S. Ross, Environmental

Norton, D., 1986, Results of Priority Pollutant Analyses on Water, Sediment, and Clam Samples in Lower Budd Inlet near McFarland/Cascade, Washington Dept. of Ecology, Olympia, WA.

Yake, et al., 1984, Chemical Contaminants in Clams and Crabs from Eagle Harbor, Washington State, with Emphasis on Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons, by Yake, B., J. Joy, and A. Johnson, Washington State Dept. Ecology, Olympia, WA,

EA Engineering, 1995, Draft Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study: Jackson Park Housing Complex/Naval Hospital Operable Unit 2 - Marine Areas. Prepared for Engineering Field Activity, Northwest Naval Facilities Engineering Command. December

## I. NPDES Authorized Toxic Discharges into Puget Sound Permitted by Washington Ecology

### **Report Section by David LaLiberte, MSCE, Environmental Engineer**

### I.1. Review of NPDES Permits

This is a review of records concerning Ecology issued NPDES permits likely to result in discharge of toxic chemicals into Puget Sound waters. In Section II of this report by Ewing, the effects of toxic chemicals on chinook salmon are discussed. Section II discussions are referenced where appropriate, throughout this section.

### I.1.1. Permit Review

The number of discharge permits by type is listed in Table 1 for major industrial, additional industrial, sewage treatment plants (STPs), general industrial, and general stormwater. The number of permits by type is based on available information developed through <u>Information on Ecology's Water Quality Permit Life Cycle System</u>. [Ecology WPLCS, 2006i] These permitted dischargers are listed by receiving water basin (i.e., by WRIA) in Table 12. Discharger facility data is detailed and summarized in Appendix B.

The universe of Ecology-issued NPDES permits in the Puget Sound basin comprises 16 major industrial facilities such as petroleum refining, pulp and paper mills, aluminum smelting, chemical manufacturing and power cogeneration; 56 additional individual industrial dischargers including cement and asphalt manufacturing, chemical manufacturing, petroleum storage, shipyard, solid waste sites, transportation facilities, wood preserving and others; 86 sewage treatment plants (STPs) from municipal dischargers; 98 general industrial dischargers made up of boatyard and water treatment facilities; and 1593 general stormwater dischargers from industrial, commercial, transportation, construction and municipal sources. [Ecology NPDES permits, 2006a-e]

### I.1.2. Puget Sound and Receiving Waters

Ecology has organized monitoring data into Water Resource Inventory Areas (WRIA) to support its 303(d) List requirements. [Ecology 303(d) list, 2004a] The areas evaluated are listed in Table 2 and comprise the Puget Sound region of interest for this report. In the 303(d) assessment process, Ecology does not take into account the total effect of all the impaired WRIAs that comprise Puget Sound. This has resulted in a lack of consideration of Puget Sound as a whole, or even consideration of reaches within Puget Sound itself. Appendix C summarizes criteria used by Ecology in assessing the impairment status of waterbodies within the Puget Sound region based on water quality, sediment and organism. [Ecology, 2006f-g; EPA, 2002b] Detailed assessments for Puget Sound region waterbodies, for the range of chemical parameters examined by Ecology, is presented in Appendix D. [Ecology Assessment, 2004b]

Available waterbody monitoring and impairment data were obtained from the 2004 *Washington State's Water Quality Assessment 303(d) List*, for water quality, tissue and
sediments. [Ecology 303(d) List, 2004a] Ecology lists criteria for determining impairment in *Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington*, WAC Chapter 173-201a; and in *Sediment Management Standards*, WAC Chapter 173-204. [Ecology, 2006f-g.] Ecology's assessment procedures are described in *Water Quality Program Policy- Assessment of Water Quality for the Section 303(d) List*. [Ecology Assessment, 2002] Ecology also uses the EPA's National Toxics Rule, for organisms exposed to toxic chemicals in ambient water and reflecting human health risk because of bioconcentration of toxic chemicals in fish tissue. [EPA, 2002b]

Ecology has also developed and maintains the Environmental Information Management System (EIM) database website. [Ecology, 2006h] The EIM is intended to contain environmental data from Ecology and other verified contributors for Washington's air, water, soil, aquatic animals, and plants. In addition EIM provides links to detailed studies that include monitoring location information and monitoring results. WRIA monitoring data was obtained from EIM for a number of basins in the Puget Sound area. Examples of sediment data obtained from EIM are detailed and summarized in Appendix E. This report represents an extensive examination of toxic contamination in the Puget Sound study area based on these data. However, the database was not comprehensive, particularly as it related to monitoring data for sediments. This is especially apparent in sediment study data conducted as a requirement of NPDES discharge and never updated to EIM.

#### I.1.3. Toxic Discharges into Toxically Impaired Receiving Waters

Total maximum daily loads (TMDL) documents developed by Ecology for the Puget Sound area are evaluated. [Ecology, 2005a-b] Table 3 summarizes waterbodies having established TMDLs for toxic contaminants including metals, phenols, ammonia, Dioxins and PCBs. Ecology continues to allow discharges of toxic substances into at least 12 Puget Sound area TMDL basins, impaired for toxic contaminants, at concentrations harmful to organisms, specifically, chinook salmon (see Section II by Ewing, subsections II.3.3 through II.3.5, for chemical specific discussion of harm to chinook salmon). In addition, an even greater number of toxically impaired water bodies, i.e., there are at least 46 listed (in the 2004 303(d)) in the Puget Sound region, also receive toxic discharges. This is a total of 58 impaired waterbodies when TMDLs and 303(d) listings for toxic contamination are added together.

# I.2. Ecology's NPDES Permit Requirements

Approximately 20 NPDES permits were reviewed, with associated permit evaluations, to assess the extent of toxic contaminants continuing to be discharged into Puget Sound. Ecology purports to have performed "reasonable potential" analyses for all these permits to demonstrate that discharge of individual specific pollutants in compliance with permit conditions will not result in exceedances of water quality standards. [Ecology, 2006f-g] Effluent characterization and monitoring requirements in the permits also omit obvious pollutants such as PAH and phthalates, which show widespread contamination in Puget Sound area waters. Mixing zone dilutions in the permit are also routinely over-estimated in Ecology's evaluations and are not representative of toxic discharges that cause water

quality violations in Puget Sound area waters. These over-estimated dilutions are used as the basis for WET testing; therefore the results of such testing lead to under-protective conclusions.

#### I.2.1. Reasonable Potential Analysis

The majority of Ecology's NPDES permit evaluations include reasonable potential analysis (RPA) to determine whether a discharge will violate water quality or sediment criteria. However, review of the details of these permit evaluations indicates that no RPA was performed for key toxic chemicals known to be in the effluent, as would be required for consistency with EPA guidance [EPA TSD, 1991] and Ecology's own guidance. [Ecology Permit Manual, 2004d] NPDES permit evaluations did not include toxic pollutants across a range of categories including oil refineries, pulp and paper mills, industrial and municipal stormwater dischargers, additional industrial dischargers and STP dischargers. Key toxic chemicals not receiving reasonable potential analysis were PAHs, PCHs, phthalates, and metals particularly lead, mercury, and copper.

NPDES permits for the 6 oil refineries, all major facilities, did not include limitations for polynuclear hydrocarbons (PAHs) and phthalates. The uncertainty introduced by infrequent effluent monitoring, usually once per permit cycle (i.e., every 5 years) as part of an EPA Priority Pollutant Scan, is not reflected in Ecology's reasonable potential analysis and is not consistent with EPA guidance.

Similarly, NPDES permits for the 6 pulp and paper mills, did not include limitations for polynuclear hydrocarbons (PAHs) and phthalates. The uncertainty introduced by infrequent effluent monitoring, in many instances once per permit cycle (i.e., once every 5 years) as part of an EPA Priority Pollutant Scan, is not reflected in Ecology's reasonable potential analysis and is not consistent with EPA guidance. [EPA TSD, 1991]

A review of 6 of the 56 "additional industrial" Puget Sound NPDES permits, showed that effluent limitations were not included for polynuclear hydrocarbons (PAHs) and phthalates although the compounds are likely present in the permitted effluent. The uncertainty introduced by infrequent effluent monitoring, usually once per permit cycle (i.e., every 5 years) as part of an EPA Priority Pollutant Scan, is not reflected in Ecology's reasonable potential analysis and is not consistent with EPA guidance. [EPA TSD, 1991]

A review of 6 of the 86 Puget Sound sewage treatment plants discharging showed that effluent limitations were not included for polynuclear hydrocarbons (PAHs) and phthalates although likely in the permitted effluent. The uncertainty introduced by infrequent effluent monitoring, usually once per permit cycle (i.e., every 5 years) as part of an EPA Priority Pollutant Scan, is not reflected in Ecology's reasonable potential analysis and is not consistent with EPA guidance. [EPA TSD, 1991]

For the 1593 general stormwater permits in the Puget Sound region, which include industrial, construction and municipal facilities, no monitoring of toxic chemicals such as PAHs, phthalates, PCHs or heavy metals (except zinc) is typically required.

#### I.2.2. Monitoring requirements

The more infrequent the monitoring required by NPDES permit, the larger the uncertainty associated with adverse effects on aquatic biota. The EPA recognized this, in the *Technical Support Document for Water Quality-Based Toxics Control* [EPA TSD, 1991], indicating a multiplier should be used to be more representative of actual chemical concentrations being discharged.

This review of selected NPDES permits in the Puget Sound region reveals that monitoring and attainment of numeric effluent limitations are not required for specific discharge toxicants that are likely to be present in the authorized discharges. For example, PAHs contained in effluent are consistently neglected in Ecology's NPDES permit development although several EPA investigations show PAHs are present in industrial, municipal and stormwater discharges. [EPA 2005a; EPA 2005b; EPA 1983]

#### Sediment Monitoring

While Ecology's standard language related to reasonable potential analysis, presented in permit evaluation reports, states that the Department relies on sediment monitoring for assessing waterbody conditions, significant omissions exist in Ecology's reporting of toxic contaminants in their 303(d) assessment compared to the supporting EIM database. [Ecology 303(d) List, 2004a; Ecology, 2006h]

Ecology staff state in the permit Fact Sheets that they have done reasonable potential analysis on sediments but this does not seem to be the case. Of the 20 NPDES permits and Fact Sheets reviewed, all refer to sediment monitoring that was performed but none of this data appears in the 303(d) List database. Sediment data from outfall vicinities affect RPA but was not considered and does not appear in Ecology's WQ assessment databases, i.e., EIM and SEDQUAL.

Appendix E presents example sediment database information from Ecology's EIM for Fidalgo Bay and Elliott Bay. While the SEDQUAL database reports some contamination in each of the of the bays in the 2004 303(d) List for sediments, the EIM database demonstrates that contamination is more extensive than indicated. For example, the 303(d) List based on SEDQUAL for Elliott Bay omits reporting standards violations for the metals chromium, copper, lead, and zinc; and the PAHs chrysene, fluoranthene, dibenzo(a,h)anthracene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene and HPAHs. An additional example is Fidalgo Bay where the 303(d) List based on SEDQUAL omits reporting standards violations for the PAHs phenanthrene, chrysene and fluoranthene. Lastly, while the EIM and SEDQUAL databases for sediments are used in Ecology's assessments and 303(d) listings, it does not include data for toxic contaminated sediment that is developed from NPDES required outfall monitoring. This pattern of omission of known data is repeated in additional Puget Sound WRIAs. Of the 20 permits and Fact Sheets evaluated, all omitted updating the results of sediment monitoring into the EIM database used for assessment of waterbody impairment for 303(d) List determinations. Individual oil refinery industrial permits, and fact sheets, acknowledge contaminated outfall sediments for mercury, cadmium and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate in toxic amounts. However, a detailed review of Ecology's 303(d) assessment and listings do not include this monitoring data in the EIM or SEDQUAL databases. Accordingly, despite known discharges associated with contaminated sediments, no 303(d) listings exist for oil refinery generated mercury, cadmium, and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate.

Sediment contamination is widespread in areas affected by industrial, CSO and stormwater discharges that have been authorized, or left un-quantified, by Ecology's NPDES permits. [EPA LDW, 2001, 2003-05; EPA Commencement Bay; Ecology, Bellingham Bay, 1998, 2001, 2006] These discharges have historically resulted in environmental contamination and many continue to be authorized through current permits. Contaminated water and sediments in Bellingham Bay, Fidalgo Bay, Everett Harbor, Elliott Bay, and Commencement Bay have received and continue to receive effluent discharges from permits allowing discharges of inadequately treated wastewater from industry and municipalities. For example, Elliott Bay has historic contamination requiring cleanup [King County CSO, 2006]. However, ongoing discharges into Elliott Bay, and the Duwamish River upstream, continue from at least 7 industrial dischargers with individual permits for: bulk petroleum storage and distribution; cement manufacturing; ship building, repair and conversions; steel manufacturing; and steam heating utility (see Appendix B). Additionally, about 93 industrial stormwater general permits authorized by Ecology allow continued discharges of toxic contaminants into Elliott Bay, and the Duwamish and Green Rivers upstream (see Appendix B). Lastly, urban stormwater runoff authorized under Ecology's NPDES permit for the Seattle municipal separate stormwater sewer system (MS4 Phase I) discharges contribute still more toxic contaminants.

## I.2.3. Sensitivity of Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing

The combined, or synergistic, effect of a number of chemicals acting together often causes significantly greater harm than individual chemicals acting separately. [EPA TSD, 1991] Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) testing required in Ecology's NPDES permits, and EPA guidance, is intended to capture this combined chemical condition. [EPA TSD, 1991; Ecology Permit Manual, 2004d] However, the reasonable potential analyses employed by Ecology for WET testing shows combined effluent effects will not cause harm to less sensitive species, such as rainbow trout, than chinook salmon (see Section II.2. by Ewing). [Also EPA TSD 1991] The WET testing approved by Ecology also routinely allows unrepresentative, minimal effluent concentrations to be used when evaluating an organism's exposure to total effluent effects. Minimal effluent concentrations are derived based on inflated dilutions resulting from inaccurate mixing zone analyses as described in the next subsection. WET testing is routinely conducted for a maximum of seven days, and therefore fails to account for longer-term effects, such as bioaccumulation, exhibited by many of the toxic chemicals discussed in this report. [Ecology WET, 1995, also know as the "Canary Book"; Ecology NPDES Permits, 2006a-e1

#### I.2.4 Effect of Mixing Zone Dilution Allowances

A review of a sample of Ecology NPDES permits, and fact sheets, shows that outfall mixing zone dilutions are routinely over-estimated for a significant number of permits. One example of a systematic error in Ecology permit evaluations is the omission of accounting for tidal conditions that return previously discharged effluent into the outfall mixing zone area. This tidal return rate has the effect of raising background concentrations of effluent pollutants and significantly reduces dilution. Additionally, ambient velocities in the Puget Sound are typically overestimated in Ecology's NPDES permit evaluations resulting in inflated dilution. [Ecology Permits, 2006a-e; NOAA, 1990; NOAA 1984a-c; Cannon; 1990; Albertson, 2001; Fischer, et al., 1979; White, 1986; Baumgartner, et al., 1993] This leads to Ecology's conclusion, in many instances, that there are no undesirable effects from discharge of toxic chemicals and other contaminants. However, this is despite known adverse effects of human caused, and widespread, toxic contamination in Puget Sound waters, sediments, and organisms. Over-estimated Puget Sound dilutions also result in less monitoring, fewer effluent limitations and less wastewater treatment being required in the permits. Inaccurate and underestimation of effluent dilution factors create harm to Puget Sound organisms by distorting the results of the reasonable potential analysis as performed under EPA and NPDES permit requirements and guidance. [EPA TSD, 1991; Baumgartner, 1993; Ecology NPDES Permits 2006a-e]

Effective acute toxicity assessment for organisms is substantially neglected by Ecology, and was not performed consistent with EPA guidance for mixing zones. This is because determination of acute toxicity based on discharge length scale was typically not conducted by Ecology. The discharge length scale is crucial to mixing zone analyses because it relates outfall physical conditions to the extent of acute toxicity in the zone around the outfall. Inaccuracies in acute toxicity extent, coupled with the routine tendency of mixing zone analysts to overestimate available dilution water and outfall port velocities, causes significant under-estimation of chronic toxicity at the mixing zone boundary. This under-estimation of toxicity is a factor in Ecology's failure to explain the connection of known source discharges with impaired waterbodies.

Many instances exist where Ecology allows the discharge of persistent toxic chemicals, such as PAHs, some metals (such as mercury) and phthalates [Ecology Permits 2006a-e] known to bioaccumulate in organisms such as chinook salmon (see Sections II.2.2, II.2.3, and II.3.3-Mercury by Ewing). [Also EPA Criteria, 1986] For example, the discharge of metals, such as mercury, are typically justified based on meeting short-term mixing zone criteria in the water column near the outfall or, as in the case of stormwater, based on unquantified metals discharges from storm piping and runoff. However, metals are persistent and do not breakdown beyond the mixing zone. Importantly, metals are typically associated with suspended solids and settle out of the water column in, and beyond, the permitted mixing zone. Accumulation of metals, PAH and PCHs in sediments occurs in outfall mixing zone vicinities, and these toxic contaminants remain perennially available to organisms where they bioaccumulate.

The six-mile long Lower Duwamish Waterway superfund site regrettably demonstrates the fate of PCBs, PAHs, phthalates, metals (mercury and arsenic), and other organic contaminants, which have accumulated in estuary sediments. The current and historic sources of these contaminants are industrial, combined sewer overflows, and urban stormwater.EPA LDW, 2001; EPA LDW, 2003-05] Additional examples are: the Commencement Bay - Nearshore Tideflats Superfund site [EPA Commencement Bay], and Bellingham Bay sediment contamination. [Ecology, Bellingham Bay, 1998, 2001 and 2006]

The present tendency of dischargers is to obtain approval from Ecology for expanded mixing zones, and hence increased total toxic pollutant load, through engineered changes to effluent outfalls (i.e., lengthening of their discharge outfall by adding diffuser ports). Engineered changes are arbitrarily limited to effluent outfalls only, and do not extend to wastewater treatment levels commensurate with state-of-the-art outfall design. For example, while the addition of outfall diffusers may improve some of the short-term effects of toxic discharges on the aquatic ecosystem, resulting increased discharges of persistent toxic pollutants including metals, PAH and PCHs is a worsened condition. This problem is routinely not addressed in NPDES permits issued by Ecology. Wastewater treatment design levels, commensurate with the advanced outfall designs now typically implemented, are not evaluated in Ecology's NPDES process. However, these technologies would reduce exposure of Puget Sound organisms to lower and presumably safe levels of persistent, bioaccumulative toxic contaminants.

The present trend is to move outfalls, and their NPDES permitted mixing zones, into areas of Puget Sound not as intensively contaminated with toxic chemicals. This has occurred in the bays of the Puget Sound region including Bellingham Bay, Fidalgo Bay, Everett Harbor, Commencement Bay and others. Toxic contaminants presently allowed for discharge under Ecology's NPDES permits would result in expanded contamination into new areas of Puget Sound bays and estuaries. This will cause increased harm to dependent organisms such as mussels, sole, salmon, seals, and killer (Orcas) whales as already documented in Ecology's 303(d) listings for animal tissue for existing conditions, and harm as discussed in Section II.2 by Ewing. Combined with the shortcomings of Ecology's reasonable potential analysis (RPA) for determining harm to organisms, expanding the area of toxic chemicals in the sediment is highly threatening to salmon, specifically chinook salmon.

# I.3 Puget Sound Hydrodynamic Conditions

This section examines scientific reports associated with Puget Sound bathymetry, water velocities, tidal conditions and flow circulation. [NOAA, 1990; NOAA 1984a-c; Cannon; 1990; Albertson, 2001; USGS Hood Canal, 2004; USGS Hood Canal, 2005] The primary exchange of waters between the Strait of Juan de Fuca (i.e., Pacific Ocean saltwater) and Puget Sound occurs through Admiralty Inlet (see Figure 3). The prevailing annual net circulation through Admiralty Inlet into the sound is dominated by two-layer flow (see Figures 2-6). The net annual transport of heavier density seawater into the sound occurs via the bottom layer. Whereas, the net annual transport of lighter density seawater out of the sound occurs via the upper layer. Admiralty Inlet bathymetry affects

the depth of the surface layer, with the approximately 200-foot sill depth controlling the surface layer depth.

Based on review of the scientific studies of circulation in the Puget Sound, it is apparent that contaminants discharged into the sound can remain for extended periods. Discharges into the sound are generally laden with total suspended solids (TSS) that have toxic contaminants attached, or contain toxic chemicals in oil and grease (O&G). [Ecology NPDES Permits, 2006a-e]

In the Strait of Juan de Fuca the annual transport flow average is about 100,000 m<sup>3</sup>/s in each layer off Pillar Point, and it decreases to about 40,000 m<sup>3</sup>/s at the entrance to Puget Sound off New Dungeness. In the Main Basin of Puget Sound, transport flow decreases to about 14,000 m<sup>3</sup>/s off Point Jefferson but then rises to 32,000 m<sup>3</sup>/s in East and Colvos Passages before decreasing again to 15,000 m<sup>3</sup>/s off Gordon Point and to 5000 m<sup>3</sup>/s of Devils Head.

Transport flow in the Hood Canal and Saratoga Pass side channels of Puget Sound are small, being less than 7,000 m<sup>3</sup>/s. [NOAA, 1990] USGS evaluations of transport flows in Hood Canal have shown weak mixing and sluggish circulation. [USGS Hood Canal, 2004; USGS Hood Canal, 2005]

As contaminants adsorb onto particles, and settle, they can travel further into the side channels of the Puget Sound including the Southern, Whidbey and Hood Canal Basins (see Figures 2-6). In these side channels, discharged particles have ample time to be deposited into Puget Sound sediments. For example, Puget Sound circulation patterns cause discharged effluent to remain in the Southern Basin of Puget Sound for extended periods, on the order of 100 days, considerable time for particle settlement. Extended periods allow mixed effluent from industrial, municipal and storm facilities, to settle out suspended solids such that sediment contamination is widespread in Puget Sound. [Ecology 303(d) List – Sediments, 2004a; Ecology SEDQUAL, 2004c]

## I.3.1. Surface versus Bottom Layer Flows

Currents in Puget Sound are tidally mixed with estuarine circulation as two-layered over the entrance sill at Admiralty Inlet, and in the main basin from Seattle northward. Observations [Cannon, et al., 1990] show the onset of saltwater intrusions is associated with the neap tides and horizontal density gradient across the sill. These bottom layer intrusions are one of the major circulation features of Puget Sound. However, tidal mixing, particularly during spring tides, may impede or stop density currents in the bottom layer. These effects cause a net flow into Puget Sound in the lower layer, and a net flow out of the sound through the surface layer over the sill. [Cannon, et al., 1990]

Cokelet, et al. [NOAA, 1990] used fresh water and salt as tracers to deduce the annual mean volume flow in 18 reaches of the Puget Sound region. This application of the conservation of mass used Knudsen's equations based on freshwater runoff and flux-weighted salinities. Computed fresh water runoff was related to river inflows into the

Puget Sound area. Recorded estuarine currents and salinity were evaluated in the NOAA study to calculate the transport flow rates in cubic meters per second  $(m^3/s)$ .

#### I.3.2. Puget Sound Residence Times

Albertson, et al., has determined residence times in various waterbodies of the Southern Basin of Puget Sound 2001. These times are summarized in Table 4 based on waterbody volume and mass transport out of the various waterbodies. As can be seen, residence times are extended allowing pollutants to settle and interact in the Southern Basin, which is 124 days based on transport modeling.

Puget Sound residence times for pollutants are extended because of flow circulation conditions, described above, that carry toxic discharge contaminants further into the sound rather than out of it. This is particularly true for suspended solids that have the tendency to settle out when given sufficient residence time as is found in the side channels of the Puget Sound. This is a trend causing worsening water quality and sediment conditions in Puget Sound. This tendency is also inherent in the historic distribution of PCBs showing the fate of all persistent bioaccumulating toxins is to remain in the Sound and thereby find their way into organisms.

The greater the amount of time the mixed effluent spends in the sound, the more opportunities the pollutants have to interact with sound sediments, plants and animals. The problem is particularly acute for persistent toxic chemicals like heavy metals and organic compounds, which stay in the sound and do not breakdown, breakdown very slowly, or convert into other harmful chemicals. The extended residence times cause toxic pollutants to be persistently recycled through water, sediments and biota.

#### Upwelling Times

Effluent and settling particles from dischargers, do not flow immediately out of the system because only the upper layer has a net flow out of the system. In general, discharge outfalls are located on the floor of the sound. For example, the Westpoint Outfall, one of the largest sewage treatment discharges into the Puget Sound, is located at a depth of 244 feet. Because of the two-layer flow conditions that exist in Puget Sound, mixed effluent and settling particles in the bottom layer must upwell into the surface layer before they can leave the sound. The upwelling process is incorporated in the increased residence times of pollutants in the sound. [NOAA, 1990]

Upwelling times in Puget Sound are summarized in Table 5 based on waterbody volume and mass transport out the system. Upwelling times are: 10 days in the Southern Basin, 60 days in the Main Basin, 110 days in Whidbey Basin, and 120 days Hood Canal.

Upwelling times in Whidbey and Hood Canal are considerable and indicate the potential from toxic chemicals accumulation in these side channels of Puget Sound.

## I.3.3. Puget Sound Hydrodynamics Effects on Outfall Mixing Zones

#### Effluent Tidal Return Conditions

For a range of permit types that Ecology has issued, a review of Fact Sheets reveals that mixing zone evaluations did not include an assessment of conditions causing background accumulation of pollutants in the water column. Specifically, the return of previously discharged effluent into the outfall-mixing zone, i.e., the tidal reflux or return rate, was not typically considered when assessing the effect of toxic chemicals in meeting criteria, particularly for chinook salmon. EPA and Ecology guidance both indicate that a tidal return rate of 0.5 should be considered the default value and effectively reduces stated dilution by 50 percent. This is because when previously discharged effluent is returned to the outfall mixing zone when the tide reverses, the background concentration of corresponding effluent constituents is significantly increased and reduces receiving water dilution. [EPA Estuaries, 1992; EPA TSD, 1991; Ecology Permit Manual, 2004d]

#### Ambient Velocity Conditions

Ambient velocities, and the unsteady flow characteristics inherent in tidal conditions, play a large role in determining the amount of available dilution in the Puget Sound. Ecology routinely assumes larger ambient velocity magnitudes than is warranted by outfall conditions, and overestimates mixing zone dilutions. [Ecology Permits, 2006a-e; NOAA, 1990; NOAA 1984a-c; Cannon; 1990; Albertson, 2001] Ecology also typically uses a uniform velocity profile with depth, which further over-estimates effluent dilution. The normal instream flow condition is significantly affected by channel bottom friction, which causes lower velocities, and lower dilution, in the bottom layer where outfalls are located. [Fischer, et al., 1979; White, 1986; Baumgartner, et al., 1993]

# I.4 Effluent Chemical Types

## I.4.1. Total Suspended Solids

Major industrial dischargers, additional industrial facilities, sewage treatment plants, and industrial and municipal stormwater dischargers all release measurable amounts of total suspended solids (TSS). Even though settling and other treatment methods remove a large proportion of the suspended solids, a certain amount does pass through the facilities and is allowed in the effluent under permit limitations. Effluent toxicants routinely adsorb onto suspended particles and are incorporated into the discharge under typical conditions. Many of the toxic chemicals evaluated in this report sequester into sediments, are persistent (do not break down easily), and bioaccumulate at levels harmful to mussels, sole, rockfish, salmon, chinook salmon (see Section II by Ewing subsections II.2.2 and II.2.3), seals, and killer whales. [Thomann and Mueller, 1986; Bowman and Sans, 1983; Miller, et al., 1985; Veith, et al. 1979; EPA Criteria, 1986; ATSDR, 2006; Ecology 303d list, 2004a]

Metals, PAHs, phthalates and PCHs have the strong tendency to adsorb onto suspended solids typically released in the effluent of NPDES permitted discharges. These toxic contaminants can be attached to suspended solids in proportions about 333,000 to 62,500,000 times greater than theoretically safe criteria for organisms for human consumption. These proportions were determined by dividing the permitted total

suspended solids concentration, by the EPA's National Toxic Rule criteria values for presumably safe levels of toxic contaminants. For example, Ecology-issued NPDES permits routinely allow total suspended solids discharges of in excess of 50,000  $\mu$ g/l. For mercury, the EPA ambient water quality criterion for safe fish consumption is 0.15  $\mu$ g/l resulting in a multiplier of 333,000 from a proportion of 50,000/ 0.15. For higher toxicity chemicals, such as hexachlorobenzene with a very low ambient concentration criterion at 0.0008  $\mu$ g/l, a higher range multiplier of 62,500,000 results from the proportion 50,000/ 0.0008. [Ecology Permits, 2006a-e; EPA National Toxics Rule, 2002]

The calculations above assume that all the effluent TSS is in a toxic form, which is not usually the case. This suggests that the given multipliers are lower than the ones caluculated above. However, even if only 10% of the TSS is associated with toxic chemicals the multipliers only drop to 33,000 to 6,250,000 times greater than theoretically safe criteria for organisms for human consumption. In addition, effluent TSS concentrations greater than 50,000  $\mu$ g/l are allowed by Ecology, tending to increase the multiplication factors presented above. Also, there are many other chemicals more toxic than hexachlorobenzene, and have an even lower ambient water quality criterion for fish for human consumption. This would tend again to increase multiplication factors when the TSS is divided by these lower criterion values. Lastly, not all the toxic contamination would result from one chemical and the additive effect of numerous toxic chemicals would also tend to increase the multiplication factors. Accordingly, the multipliers in the range of 33,000 to 6,250,000 are considered reflective of the potential adverse conditions related to toxic contamination associated with suspended solids.

## I.4.2. Oil and Grease

Major industrial dischargers, additional industrial facilities, sewage treatment plants, industrial and municipal stormwater facilities all discharge measurable amounts of oil and grease. PAHS, phthalates and PCHs may also be contained in oil and grease discharges at levels about 4,000 to 6,700,000 times greater than theoretically safe criteria for organisms for human consumption. These proportions were determined by dividing the permitted oil and grease (O&G) concentration, by the EPA's National Toxic Rule criteria values for presumably safe levels of toxic contaminants. For example, Ecology-issued NPDES permits routinely allows O&G discharges in excess of 20,000  $\mu$ g/l. For phthalate, bis(2-ethylhexyl) the EPA ambient water quality criterion for safe fish consumption is 5.0  $\mu$ g/l resulting in a multiplier of 4,000 from a proportion of 20,000/ 5.0. For higher toxicity chemicals, such as benzo(a)anthracene with a very low ambient concentration criterion at 0.003  $\mu$ g/l, a higher range multiplier of 6,700,000 results from the proportion 20,000/ 0.003. [Ecology Permits, 2006a-e; EPA National Toxics Rule, 2002]

The calculations above assume that all the effluent O&G is in a toxic form, which is not usually the case. This suggests that the given multipliers are lower than the ones calculated above. However, even if only 10% of the O&G is associated with toxic chemicals the multipliers only drop to 400 to 670,000 times greater than theoretically safe criteria for organisms for human consumption. In addition, effluent O&G concentrations greater than 20,000  $\mu$ g/l are allowed by Ecology, tending to increase the multiplication

factors presented above. Also, there are many other chemicals more toxic than benzo(a)anthracene, and have an even lower ambient water quality criterion for fish for human consumption. This would tend again to increase multiplication factors when the O&G is divided by these lower criterion values. Lastly, not all the toxic contamination would result from one chemical and the additive effect of numerous toxic chemicals would also tend to increase the multiplication factors. Accordingly, the multipliers in the range of 400 to 670,000 are considered reflective of the potential adverse conditions related to toxic contamination associated with oil and grease.

## I.4.3. Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Ecology authorizes significant discharges of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons throughout the Puget Sound area. PAHs are a class of organic compounds consisting of two or more bound aromatic benzene rings. PAHs are toxic to numerous organisms including fish, specifically, chinook salmon (see Section II.3.5 by Ewing). [EPA Criteria, 1986; EPA, 2002; Ecology 2006f-g] Some investigators also refer to PAH as polynuclear aromatic compounds (PAC), which are PAH compounds. [EPA P&P Mills, 2005]

Washington State Ecology sediment standards [Ecology, 2006g] are based on total organic carbon (TOC) percentages because PAHs, and chlorinated aromatic hydrocarbons, will readily adsorb onto carbonaceous substances. Bioavailable PAHs will find their way into the tissue of organisms through food pathways and through the water column (see Section II by Ewing). [WDFW Toxic Contaminants, 2001; WDFW, 1992-2000]

In addition to individual sediment standards for PAHs, low molecular weight polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (LPAHs) are totaled for comparison to sediment quality standards contained in WAC 173-204. High molecular weight polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (HPAHs) are also totaled for comparison to sediment quality standards contained in WAC 173-204. Table 12 shows that PAH contamination of sediments and organisms was found in 5 of the 13 WRIA comprising the most industrialized and urbanized Puget Sound areas. [Ecology 303(d) Assessment, 2004b]

#### I.4.4. Polychlorinated Hydrocarbons (PCHs)

Sediment and animal tissue contamination by polychlorinated hydrocarbons (PCHs) is widespread in the Puget Sound region with waterbody impairment associated with NPDES authorized toxic chemicals discharges including dichlorobenzene, trichlorobenzene, and hexachlorobenzene. Section II.3.5 on PCHs by Ewing discusses the effects of PCHs on organisms, particularly, chinook salmon. Table 12 shows that PCHs contamination of sediments is found in 10 of the 13 WRIA comprising the most industrialized and urbanized Puget Sound areas, for PCHs currently authorized for discharge. [Ecology 303(d) Assessment, 2004b; Ecology Permits, 2006a-e]

Historically, PCBs, Dioxins, certain Pesticides (e.g., DDT, Dieldrin) have widely contaminated Puget Sound. However, more recent and on-going discharge contamination is also shown in a review of 303(d) listings for year 2004. Historical contamination shows that PCB distribution in the Puget Sound is widespread. PCBs are concentrated

above sediment standards in urban and industrialized areas such as Bellingham Bay, Duwamish River, Elliott Bay, Dalco Passage, Skagit River, East Passage, Inner and Outer Commencement Bay, Poverty Bay, Squaxin Passages, Peale Passage, Pickering Passage, Carr Inlet, Eagle Harbor, Hale Passage, Case Inlet, Dana Passage. Puget Sound organisms with known PCB contamination are coho salmon, English sole, mussels, mountain whitefish, bridgelip sucker, copper rockfish, and seals (see Table 12).

### I.4.5. Phenolic Compounds

Phenolic compounds such as isomers of methylphenol and pentachlorophenol are toxic and harmful to aquatic organism. [EPA Criteria, 1986; EPA, 2002a; Ecology Standards, 2006f-g] Industrial dischargers including major industrial dischargers in the oil refining and P&P mill industries are authorized to discharge large quantities of phenolic compounds into Puget Sound waters. Additional industrial dischargers, stormwater dischargers and municipal sewage treatment plants also discharge phenolic compounds (see Tables 7 through 11). Table 12 shows that phenolic compounds contaminate sediments in 10 of the 13 WRIA comprising the most industrialized and urbanized Puget Sound areas, for phenolic compounds currently authorized for discharge. [Ecology 303(d) Assessment, 2004b; Ecology Permits, 2006a-e]

## I.4.6. Phthalates

Phthalate esters such bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate are toxic and harmful to aquatic organisms. [EPA Criteria, 1986; EPA, 2002a; Ecology Standards, 2006f-g] Industrial dischargers including major industrial dischargers in the oil refining and pulp and paper mill industries are authorized to discharge quantities of phthalates into Puget Sound waters. Additional industrial dischargers, stormwater dischargers and municipal STP are also known to discharge phthalates (see Tables 7 through 11). Table 12 shows that phthalates contaminate sediments and organisms in 8 of the 13 WRIA comprising the most industrialized and urbanized Puget Sound areas. [Ecology 303(d) Assessment, 2004b; Ecology Permits, 2006a-e]

## I.4.7. Heavy Metals

Many Puget Sound facilities discharge heavy metals as shown in Tables 7 through 11. Toxic contamination by metals (see Section II.3.3 by Ewing) in the Puget Sound region is extensive. TMDLs for heavy metals are established or under development in 5 of 13 waterbodies in Puget Sound area waters (see Table 3). Other waterbodies have metals contamination but have no TMDL in place or under development. These waterbodies, presented in Table 12, include Elliott Bay, tributaries discharging to Bellingham Bay, Dyes Inlet, Sinclair Inlet and potentially others. [Ecology 303(d) list, 2004a; Ecology Assessment, 2004b]

Two deficiencies in Ecology's management of metal contamination are a lack of metals monitoring and an omission in the 2004 303(d) List and assessment of impairments due to metals in shellfish and fish tissue. Ecology includes only one case (mercury in crab in Dyes Inlet) in the 2004 303(d) List, acknowledging metals contamination of fish at harmful levels. However, Washington Fish and Wildlife reports metals contaminated fish in several locations. Sinclair Inlet and Elliott Bay rockfish (mercury); English sole in

Dyes Inlet, Port Orchard and Liberty Bay (lead); Sinclair Inlet, English sole in Dyes Inlet, Port Orchard and Liberty Bay (arsenic and copper). [WDFW Toxic Contaminants, 2001]

## I.4.8. Ammonia

Ammonia dissolves in water and may directly exert a toxic effect on organisms in Puget Sound waters (see Section II.3.4. by Ewing). [also EPA Criteria, 1986] While many permits allow the discharge of ammonia, ineffective characterization of outfall mixing zone dilutions has resulted in inaccurate assessment of ammonia effects on fish throughout the Puget Sound, specifically chinook salmon. Toxic contamination by ammonia in the Puget Sound region is extensive with TMDLs being established or under development in 5 of 13 waterbodies in Puget Sound area waters (see Table 3).

## I.4.9. Hydrogen Sulfide

Hydrogen sulfide dissolves in water and may directly exert a toxic effect on organisms, in Puget Sound waters (see Section II.3.4. by Ewing). [also EPA Criteria, 1986] Many of the industrial NPDES permits evaluated in this report have allowed permit limitations for hydrogen sulfide. Where anaerobic conditions occur near bottom sediments and in bottom layer waters, hydrogen sulfide concentrations may persist even after the effluent discharge enters sound area waters. This is because no oxygen exists in anaerobic conditions to allow conversion of toxic hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) to relatively harmless sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub>). Additionally, where long outfalls are in operation hydrogen sulfide can be generated in the outfall pipeline because of anaerobic conditions. [Hvitved-Jacobsen, 2002] As in the case of ammonia, ineffective implementation of outfall mixing zone dilutions has resulted in inaccurate assessment of hydrogen sulfide effects throughout the Puget Sound.

## I.4.10. Cyanide

Toxic concentrations of cyanide [EPA Criteria, 1986] are also authorized for discharge from at least some major industries in the Puget Sound Area as listed in Tables 7, 9, 11 and 12 (see Section II.3.4. by Ewing). These include oil refining and aluminum smelting operations. NPDES permits in these instances do not account for the actual toxic effect of cyanide because of ineffective characterization of outfall mixing zone dilutions resulting in inaccurate assessment of cyanide effects on fish.

## I.4.11. DO Depleting Compounds – BOD, nutrients

In addition to toxic contamination, low dissolved oxygen problems affect Puget Sound aquatic biota. Sufficient dissolved oxygen is required for maintenance of aquatic life in Puget Sound waters (see Section II.3.4. by Ewing). [also EPA Criteria, 1986; Ecology Standards, 2006f] Dissolved oxygen depletion is likely where large amounts of oxygen depleting chemicals are discharged into Puget Sound waters with long residence times for contaminants. Discharges of oxygen demanding substances include biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), chemical oxygen demand (COD), ammonia, and indirectly through algal dynamics, the nutrients phosphorus and nitrogen. [WEF 1991; others]

A significant majority of the permits evaluated in this report included discharges of DO depleting substances (see Appendix B). Many areas of Puget Sound including Hood

Canal, Budd Inlet, Deschutes River, Carr Inlet, Commencement Bay, Green River, Duwamish River, Everett Harbor, Snohomish River, Ebey Slough, Clover Creek, and others have periods of depleted oxygen, demonstrating water quality impairment, because of introduced oxygen demanding substances from NPDES permitted facilities.

Other areas of concern for DO levels, i.e., Category 2 waterbodies on the 2004 303(d) List, are Nooksack River, Bellingham Bay, Samish River, Padilla and Fidalgo Bays, Guemes Channel, Skagit and Similk Bays, Stillaguamish River, Port Susan, Possession Sound, East Sound, Puyallup River, and others. [Ecology 303(d), 2004a; Ecology, 2004b; Ecology, 2006h] The effects of depleted dissolved oxygen are significant on salmon in rivers and estuaries of the Puget Sound region (see Section II.3.4. by Ewing). [Also Ecology WQ Standards, 2006f; Ecology 303d list, 2004a]

# **I.5** Wastewater Effluent Types

Table 6 summarizes the toxic compounds of concern when released into Puget Sound area waters. Tables 7 through 11 list toxic chemicals by source, i.e., discharger type, including major industrial, additional industrial, municipal STP, general stormwater and general industrial dischargers. Table 12 lists dischargers versus known toxic pollution in waterbodies of the Puget Sound region. [Ecology Permits, 2006a-e; Ecology 303(d) Assessment and List; 2004a-b] In addition to historical contamination from PCBs, present toxic chemicals contamination for PAHs, PCHs, phenolic compounds, phthalates, and metals discharges is authorized by Ecology's NPDES permit for dischargers in the Puget Sound area. The following discussion breaks down pollutant sources by discharger type.

## I.5.1. Major Industrial Dischargers

Major industrial dischargers include petroleum refining, pulp and paper mills, aluminum smelters, power cogeneration, and chemicals manufacturing. Currently, Ecology authorizes 16 of these facilities to discharge into Puget Sound waters.

## Petroleum Refining

There are a total of 6 major petroleum-refining facilities in the Puget Sound area (Table 12). [Ecology Permits, 2006a] Discharges from petroleum refining routinely contain the toxic compounds listed in Table 7. Many of these compounds are associated with waterbodies with extensive toxic pollution including PAHs, PCHs and phenolic compounds. These impaired waterbodies include the Strait of Georgia, Fidalgo Bay, and Commencement Bay. [Ecology 303(d) List, 2004a]

The 6 NPDES permits issued by Ecology for the petroleum refineries in the Puget Sound area authorize discharges of substantial amounts of oil that contain PAH which is not monitored or given permit effluent limits. [EPA, 1995; EPA, 1996; EPA, 2002; EPA 2005a; Ecology, 2006a] The NPDES permits fail to account for this routine toxic discharge from refinery outfalls despite listings of harmful concentrations in organisms and sediments in the 2004 303(d) List. [Ecology 303(d) List, 2004a]

#### Pulp and Paper Mill

There are a total of 6 major pulp and paper mill facilities in the Puget Sound area (Table 12). [Ecology Permits, 2006a] Discharges from pulp and paper mills routinely contain the toxic compounds listed in Table 8. Many of these compounds are associated with waterbodies having extensive toxic pollution including metals, PAHs, PCHs including dioxins, phthalates and phenolic compounds. These impaired waterbodies include Bellingham Bay, Everett Harbor, Commencement Bay and Puget Sound (south). [Ecology 303(d) List, 2004a]

The US Environmental Protection Agency indicates pulp and paper mills discharge polycyclic aromatic compounds. [EPA P&P Mills, 2005b] These compounds are referred to in the EPA document as PAC and are commonly known as polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) compounds. The NPDES permits fail to account for toxic PAHs, phenols and phthalates that are routinely discharged from mill outfalls. This is despite these compounds showing up in harmful concentrations in organisms and sediments. [Ecology 303(d) List, 2004a]

#### Other Significant Dischargers

Major aluminum smelting (2 facilities), chemicals manufacturing (1 plant) and power cogeneration (1) facilities are additional important dischargers to Puget Sound waters. Four of these operations exist in the sound area and are associated with other sites with toxic chemicals contamination (Table 12). [Ecology, 2006a] These industries are known to discharge a wide range of toxic contaminants including metals, PAHs, phthalates, and PCH. These contaminants are in many instances associated with the high discharge concentrations of TSS and O&G authorized under Ecology's NPDES permit program. Collectively, a wide range of toxic contaminants, many associated with TSS and O&G, can be discharged under these permits as presented in Table 9.

## I.5.2. Additional Industrial Dischargers

Ecology authorizes 56 individual industrial NPDES permits throughout the Puget Sound area (Appendix B). [Ecology, 2006a] Industrial dischargers are significant sources of toxic chemicals in Bellingham Bay, Fidalgo Bay, Snohomish River, Everett Harbor/Port Gardner, Lake Union/Lake Washington Ship Canal, Duwamish River, Elliott Bay, Puyallup River, Commencement Bay and Bud Inlet (see Table 12 and Appendix B). Permits include operations such as cement and asphalt manufacturing, chemical manufacturing, petroleum storage, shipyard, solid waste sites, transportation facilities, wood preserving and others. Collectively, a wide range of toxic contaminants, many associated with TSS and O&G, can be discharged under these permits as presented in Table 9.

#### I.5.3. General Industrial Permits

There are 98 general industrial wastewater permits authorizing potentially toxic discharges into the Puget Sound area waters. The types of general industrial permits considered in this report are boatyard (90 permits) and water treatment (8 permits).

General industrial dischargers may be sources of toxic chemicals in Drayton Harbor, Nooksack River, Bellingham Bay, Fidalgo Bay, Skagit River, Skagit Bay, Snohomish River, Everett Harbor/Port Gardner, Lake Union/Lake Washington Ship Canal, Duwamish River, Elliott Bay, Commencement Bay, Sinclair Inlet and other areas (see Table 12 and Appendix B).

#### Boatyard General Permit

Boatyard activity is new construction and repair of small vessels 65 feet or less in length. An Ecology general NPDES permit authorizes boatyard discharges into sewage treatment plant systems and may contain copper, zinc, lead and other toxic contaminants associated with oil, grease and total suspended solids. Stormwater flows are also allowed into nearby receiving waters with allowances for the discharge of oil and grease, TSS and copper. Both O&G and TSS may be associated with toxic contaminants such as metals, PAHs, PCHs, phthalates and other organics.

The stormwater discharge allowances in the boatyard general permits are especially uncontrolled by Ecology because no effluent limitations are set for toxic releases from these facilities. The boatyard general permit substitutes unenforceable "benchmark" values for enforceable limits. If "benchmark" values are exceeded, no prohibition of these discharge levels is required in the permit. Nor does the permit require the discharger to eliminate future exceedances of the "benchmark" values.

The "benchmark" values themselves exceed water quality standards for copper. For example, the water quality criterion for acute toxicity for dissolved copper is 4.61 micrograms per liter for freshwater (at hardness 25 mg/l as CaCO<sub>3</sub>). Converting from dissolved copper, by using the conversion factor (CF) of 0.960 contained in WAC 173-201A-240(3), the total recoverable copper criterion is  $4.80 \mu g/l$ . Thus, it can be seen for discharges to rivers that the "benchmark" value of 384 µg/l, expressed in the form of total recoverable copper, is 80 times greater than the 4.8  $\mu$ g/l criterion. Additionally, while copper toxicity in freshwater is dependent on water hardness, no requirement is made in the general permit to monitor this essential component in determining water quality compliance for freshwater conditions. For marine waters, the acute criterion for total recoverable copper is 5.78 µg/l based on the dissolved criterion of 4.8 µg/l and the conversion factor (CF) of 0.83 contained in WAC 173-201A-240(3). Thus, the "benchmark" value of 229  $\mu$ g/l, expressed in the form of total recoverable copper, is over 39 times greater than the marine acute criterion for total recoverable copper. Lastly, none of the benchmark values take into account accumulation of copper, or other metals, in sediments; or bioaccumulation of copper, or other metals, in organisms.

The "benchmark" values also allow oil, grease, and total suspended solids associated with toxic contaminants such as metals, PAHs and PCHs to be discharged into Puget Sound area waters. However, no monitoring of toxic contaminants other than copper is required in the permit for stormwater discharges from boatyard facilities. In addition, no monitoring of toxic contaminants other than copper, zinc and lead are required in the permit for process wastewater discharges from boatyard facilities into domestic sanitary sewer systems.

#### Drinking Water Treatment Plant General Permit

Drinking water treatment plants produce wastewater from filter backwash systems and contain chlorine, metals, and other contaminants removed from the filtering of surface waters. There are three water treatment plants on the Nooksack River.

#### I.5.4. Sewage Treatment Plant (STP) Permits

There are 86 sewage treatment plants authorized to discharge potentially toxic pollutants into Puget Sound area waters. Toxic chemicals can enter sewage treatment plant (STP) systems through domestic plumbing, the introduction of hazardous household chemicals, industrial (pretreatment) wastewater, stormwater inflows, and combined sewers. Table 10 identifies likely toxic pollutants in municipal wastewater. [Metcalf & Eddy, 2003; Ecology, 2006c]

About 88 industrial dischargers are permitted to release effluent into STP systems throughout the Puget Sound area (see Appendix B). These discharger operations include landfills, metal finishing, printing, shipyards, petroleum storage, seafood processing, wood treating, and others. Stormwater inflows, and effluents associated with combined sewers, are additional sources of toxic contaminants in STP effluents. Likely toxic chemicals in stormwater component flows are discussed in the next section. Ammonia is present in effluent and is a toxic component directly resulting from human waste and sewage treatment operations. Chlorine may also appear in toxic contaminants as an effluent disinfectant. Both O&G and TSS may be associated with toxic contaminants such as metals, PAHs, PCHs, phthalates and other organics.

## I.5.5. Stormwater Related Discharges

Ecology's NPDES permit process authorizes 1593 general stormwater dischargers, as of January 2006, to release potentially toxic pollutants into Puget Sound area waters. These general permits authorize stormwater discharges from 788 industrial, 794 construction, and 11 municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4, Phase I) entities (see Appendix B). MS4 Phase I permittees have urbanized areas with greater than 100,000 people. The existing MS4 Phase I permittees include: Snohomish County with 3 unique permits and the City of Everett in the facility type/location description, the City of Seattle and King County with 4 unique permits with facility names all identified as Cedar/Green; and the City of Tacoma and Pierce County with 4 unique permits. [Ecology, 2006e; WSG, 2003]

Stormwater discharges from projected Phase II jurisdictional locations, i.e., urbanized areas with over 50,000 people and up to 100,000 people, are as yet unregulated through Ecology's NPDES stormwater program. Tentative Phase II facilities for the Puget Sound region are listed in Appendix B. These Phase II facilities are comprised of at least 4 counties (Kitsap, Skagit, Thurston, and Whatcom) as well as approximately 64 city jurisdictions. [WSG, 2003]

Toxic chemicals can enter stormwater in runoff from residential, industrial, commercial, and transportation areas (see Appendix B). These discharger operations include landfills, metal finishing, printing, shipyards, petroleum storage, seafood processing, wood

treating, and others. Both O&G and TSS may be associated with toxic contaminants such as metals, PAHs, PCHs, phthalates and other organics. Major industrial, additional industrial, STPs, and general industrial dischargers all have additional stormwater authorization for release of toxic chemicals. Table 11 identifies likely toxic pollutants authorized under general stormwater permits. [EPA, 1983]

The EPA states that "Today, nonpoint (NPS) pollution remains the Nation's largest source of water quality problems. It is the main reason that approximately 40 percent of the surveyed rivers, lakes and estuaries are not clean enough to meet basic fishing or swimming". [EPA, 2006] According to Ecology, "[s]tormwater is the leading contributor to water quality pollution in our urban waterways. As urban areas grow, it is also the state's fastest growing water quality problem." [Ecology 2004e] Ecology estimates one-third of all the impaired water bodies, identified for cleanup plans under the CWA, are polluted by stormwater runoff. [Ecology 2005g; Ecology 2004e] EPA's *Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention and Control Planning – Handbook* summarizes urban runoff pollutants including toxic pollutants, metals and organics, present in stormwater. [EPA, 1993]

Conditions have worsened since publication of EPA's 1993 Handbook. On it's webpage, <u>Polluted Runoff in Washington State- Sources of Polluted Runoff</u>, Ecology acknowledges that with "most easily-traceable pollution sources controlled through permits and enforcement, nonpoint pollutants account for most of the remaining water pollution in the United States. They are introduced into water through runoff." [Ecology, 2006j] Ecology cites the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which estimates more than 60 percent of water pollution problems come from rainfall run-off sources. [EPA, 2006]

Ecology's *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (2005d), particularly Volume I -- Minimum Technical Requirements and Site Planning, indicates harmful levels of metals, inorganics, organics and chlorinated organics are being discharged in stormwater into Puget Sound. [Ecology, 2005d] In Section II by Ewing, subsections II.3.3. through II.3.5., show that these toxic contaminants cause harm to chinook salmon. Ecology's SMWW Volume IV, for Source Control BMPs shows that substantial amounts of metals and organics are discharged. Accordingly, significant contamination in Puget Sound waters and sediments results because of Ecology authorized NPDES stormwater permits. These permits do not take into account salmon protection but rather focus on technology-based methods. [Ecology Permits 2006b,d,e; Ecology 2005d]

# I.6. Toxic Discharges Summary

This report section finds that toxic contamination of water, sediments and organisms in the Puget Sound region is widespread and at concentration levels likely to be harmful to salmon and other organisms. It finds that Ecology NPDES authorized discharges are clearly major sources of toxic chemicals on an ongoing basis. This is in addition to the historic toxic contamination also apparent in the Puget Sound region. A summary of key findings for this report section is:

- Toxic contamination is widespread in the Puget Sound region based on a review of water quality, sediment and organism tissue data.
- Ecology's own TMDL and 303(d) List assessments for waterbodies show toxic contamination in the Puget Sound is extensive. These assessments are limited by data gaps and much more contamination is possible.
- Toxic contamination in Puget Sound matches the types of chemicals that Ecology NPDES permits authorize for industrial, municipal, and stormwater discharges.
- Ecology's NPDES Whole Effluent Toxicity testing on organisms fails to capture the synergistic effects of effluent toxic chemicals acting together, and does not characterize bioaccumulative effects of toxic contaminants.
- Ecology authorized NPDES discharges are major sources of toxic chemicals on an ongoing basis.
- Ecology routinely overestimates effluent dilution in their NPDES permit evaluations resulting in the release of harmful concentrations of toxic contaminants.
- Ecology's existing NPDES process fails to identify many sources, and the magnitudes, of toxic contaminants they authorize for release.
- Total suspended solids (TSS) and oil and grease (O&G) allowances in effectively all Ecology NPDES permits very probably contain unacknowledged and un-quantified toxic chemicals.
- Toxic contamination has been found in salmon, whitefish, sucker, mussels, sole, rockfish, seals, killer whales and other organisms in the Puget Sound region.
- Toxic chemicals, such as PAHs, PCHs and heavy metals, are persistent bioaccumulating toxins (PBTs) that are authorized for discharge by Ecology.

## I.7. References

Albertson, S. L, Newton, J. and Reynolds, R., and Ebbesmeyer, C., 2001, "United States, Investigation of the Mean Flow in a Complex Multi-Connected Estuary: South Puget Sound", Puget Sound Research 2001 Proceedings.

American Public Health Association publication office, *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 20<sup>th</sup> Edition, Edited by Clesceri, L.S., Greenberg, A.E., and Eaton, A.D., prepared and published jointly by the American Public Health Association, American Waterworks Association and Water Environment Federation, 1998.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR), US Department of Health and Human Services, <u>ATSDR - ToxFAQs web database</u>, February 2006.

Baumgartner, et al., 1993, *Dilution Models for Effluent Discharges*, with EPA PLUMES User's Guidance.

Bowman, B. T. and Sans, W.W., 1983. Determination of Octanol-Water Partitioning Coefficients (K<sub>ow</sub>) of 61 Organophosphorus and Carbonate Insecticides and the Their Relationship to Respective Water Solubility (S) Values, Journal of Environmental Science Health, B18 (6): 667-683.

Cannon, G.A., Holbrook, J.R., and Pashinski, D.J., 1990, Variations in the onset of bottom-water intrusions over the entrance sill of a fjord, Estuaries, 13, pages 31-42.

Ebbesmeyer, C. C., Harman, R. A., Stewart, R. J., 1998, "Possible Impacts of Tidal Refluxing on Southern Puget Sound Benthos", Puget Sound Research 1998 Proceedings.

Ecology WET, 1995 (2005), *Laboratory Guidance and Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Review Criteria*, Ecology publication number WQ-R-95-80, also called the "Canary Book", 1995 publication as revised June 2005.

Ecology, 2002, *Water Quality Program Policy-Assessment of Water Quality for the Section 303(d) List*, as revised September 2002.

Ecology 303(d) List, 2004a; Washington State Department of, *Washington State's Water Quality Assessment 303(d) List*, for Water Quality, Tissue and Sediments, 2004.

Ecology Assessment, 2004b, *Washington State's Water Quality Assessment for 2004: Water Quality Listings by Category*, "integrated report" to meet the Clean Water Act requirements of sections 303(d) and 305(b). This includes Ecology's database for WQ Assessment at http://apps.ecy.wa.gov/wats/WATSQBEHome.asp.

Ecology SEDQUAL, 2004c, <u>SEDQUAL - Sediment Quality Information System</u>, Washington State Department of Ecology, Toxics Cleanup Program, 2004. Ecology Permit Manual, 2004d; *Water Quality Program Permit Writer's Manual*, State of Washington Department of Ecology, Publication Number 92-109, see particularly Appendix 6 – Guidance for Conducting Mixing Zone Analyses, as revised July 2004.

Ecology 2004e; Municipal Stormwater NPDES Permit Program, Report to the Legislature, Washington Department of Ecology, January 2004.

Ecology, 2005a, <u>Washington State Ecology - Approved TMDLs</u> website, through 2005, http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/tmdl/approved\_tmdls.html.

Ecology, 2005b, Ecology, <u>Washington State Ecology – TMDLs Under Development</u> website, http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/tmdl/tmdl\_status.html.

Ecology, 2005d, *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*, see particularly Volume I -- Minimum Technical Requirements and Site Planning and Volume IV Source Control BMPs, Publication Nos. 05-10-029 through 05-10-033, February 2005.

Ecology, 2005e, Quality Assurance Project Plan Hood Canal Dissolved Oxygen Program Integrated Assessment and Modeling Study Year 1 Activities, by Mindy Roberts, Ecology; Jan Newton, University of Washington; and Dan Hannafious, Hood Canal Salmon Enhancement Group, Ecology Publication Number 05-03-114, November 2005.

Ecology, 2005f, Local Government Loan Programs for On-Site Septic System Repair and Replacement using the Washington State Water Pollution Control Revolving Fund, Publication Number 01-10-024, May 2005.

PSAT 2005g, 2005-2007 Puget Sound Conservation & Recovery Plan, Puget Sound Action Team, Publication no. PSAT05-09, August 2005.

Ecology, 2006a; <u>Major Individual Industrial NPDES Permits and Fact Sheets</u>, including 16 facilities in the Puget Sound region, active as of January 2006.

Ecology, 2006b; <u>Additional Individual Industrial NPDES Permits and Fact Sheets</u>, including sampling of 56 in the Puget Sound region, active as of January 2006.

Ecology, 2006c; <u>Individual Sewage Treatment Plant NPDES Permits and Fact Sheets</u>, including sampling of 86 permits in the Puget Sound region, active as of January 2006.

Ecology, 2006d; <u>General Industrial NPDES Permits and Fact Sheets</u>, for Boatyard and <u>Drinking Water Treatment Plants</u>, including sampling of 98 permits in the Puget Sound region, active as of January 2006.

Ecology, 2006e; <u>General Stormwater NPDES Permits and Fact Sheets</u>, for industrial, construction and municipal facilities, including sampling of 1593 permits in the Puget Sound region, active as of January 2006.

Ecology WQ Standards, 2006f, *Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington*, see WAC Chapter 173-201a, as of January 2006.

Ecology Sediment Standards, 2006g, *Sediment Management Standards*, WAC Chapter 173-204, as of January 2006.

Ecology, 2006h, <u>Environmental Information Management System</u>, EIM, database website, http://www.ecy.wa.gov/eim/, as of January 2006.

Ecology WPLCS, 2006i, <u>Information on Ecology's Water Quality Permit Life Cycle</u> <u>System (WPLCS)</u>, website access at: http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/permits/ wplcs/index.html, as of January 2006.

Ecology, 2006j: <u>Polluted Runoff in Washington State- Sources of Polluted Runoff</u>, webpage at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/wq/nonpoint/sources.html, Washington State Department of Ecology, January 2006.

Ecology, Bellingham Bay, 1998, *Chemical Evaluation of Intertidal Sediments at Boulevard Park, Bellingham, WA*, Washington State Department of Ecology, Publication No. 98-308x, April 1998.

Ecology, Bellingham Bay, 2001, *Inner Bellingham Bay Contaminated Sediments - Total Maximum Daily Load*, Washington State Department of Ecology, Publication No. 99-58-WQ, September 2001.

Ecology, Bellingham Bay, 2006, Bellingham Bay Demonstration Pilot - Environmental Cleanup Information, website at http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/tcp/sites/blhm\_bay/ blhm\_bay .htm, January 2006.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 1986, United States, *Quality Criteria for Water*, Document No. EPA 440/5-86-001.

EPA TSD, 1991, Technical Support Document for Water-Quality Based Toxics Control (TSD), Document No. EPA/505/2-90-001. March 1991.

EPA Estuaries, 1992, Technical Guidance Manual for Performing Waste Load Allocations Book III: Estuaries, US EPA, 1992.

EPA, 1995, *Profile of the Petroleum Refining Industry*, US EPA document no. EPA/310-R-95-013, September 1995.

EPA, 1996, "Study of Selected Petroleum Refining Residuals- Industry Study", Parts 1 through 3, Office of Solid Waste, Hazardous Waste Identification Division, August 1996.

EPA, 2002a: *National Recommended Water Quality Criteria*, Document No. EPA-822-R-02-047.

EPA, 2002b, National Toxics Rule, 40 CFR 131.36, particularly for organisms exposed to toxic chemicals in ambient water and reflecting human health risk because of bioconcentration of toxic chemicals in fish tissue.

EPA, 2005a Oil and Gas Exploration, Development and Production Facilities Located In State and Federal Waters in Cook Inlet, permit and draft with effluent monitoring results, EPA Region 10, Permit Number: AKG-31-5000, Draft, February 2006.

EPA P&P Mills, 2005b, *Preliminary Report: Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Detailed Study*, US EPA document no. EPA-821-B-05-007, August 2005.

EPA LDW, 2001, Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site Listing, 47583 - 47591 Federal Register / Vol. 66, No. 178 / Thursday, September 13, 2001.

EPA LDW, 2003 through 2005, Sediment Contamination Investigation: Work Plan and Sampling Plans and Other Technical Reports, for the Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund Site.

EPA, Commencement Bay - Nearshore Tideflats Superfund, US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, information website at http://yosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/0/e8d62480494ad483882564f80082a1c0?OpenDocument.

EPA, 1993; *Urban Runoff Pollution Prevention and Control Planning - Handbook*, US EPA, Publication No. EPA/625/R-93/004, September 1993.

EPA, 2006, <u>Nonpoint Source Pollution- The Nations Largest Water Quality Problem</u>, webpage at, http://www.epa.gov/owow/nps/facts/point1.htm , January 2006.

EPA, 1983: *Final Report of the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program*. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Water Planning Division, Washington, DC.

EPA, 2002b, 2000 National Water Quality Inventory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, D.C., 2002.

Fischer, et al., 1979, *Mixing in Inland and Coastal Waters*, Academic Press, Inc., Orlando Florida.

Hvitved-Jacobsen, Thorklid, 2002, Sewer Processes: Microbial and Chemical Process Engineering of Sewer Networks, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 237 pages.

King County CSO, 2006, Combined Sewer Overflow Program, including Denny Way/Lake Union CSO Project completed in 2005.

Linsley, R. K., *Water Resources and Environmental Engineering*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1979.

Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE). 1999. *Maryland Stormwater Design Manual*, Maryland Department of the Environment, Annapolis, MD.

Miller, M.M. et al., 1985. <u>Relationships Between Octanol-Water Partition Coefficient and</u> <u>Aqueous Solubility</u>, Environmental Science Technology 19(6): 522-529.

Nielsen, P.H., K. Raunkjaer, and T. Hvitved-Jacobsen (1998), Sulfide production and Wastewater Quality in pressure Mains, Water Science Technology, 37(1), 97-104.

Pitt, R., A. Maestre, and R. Morquecho. 2004. The National Stormwater Quality Database (NSQD, version 1.1), http://unix.eng.ua.edu/~rpitt/Research/ms4/Paper/Mainms4paper.html.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 1990, United States, *The Annual Mean Transport in Puget Sound*, Environmental Research Laboratories, by Cokelet, E.D., R.J. Stewart, and C.C. Ebbesmeyer. NOAA Tech. Memo. ERL PMEL-92, 59 pp.

NOAA, 1984a, Synthesis of current measurements in Puget Sound, Washington – Volume 1: Index to Current Measurements Made in Puget Sound from 1908-1980: With Daily and Record Averages for Selected Measurements, by Cox, J.M., et al., NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OMS-3, 38 pages.

NOAA, 1984b, Synthesis of current measurements in Puget Sound, Washington – Volume 2: Indices of Mass and Energy Inputs into Puget Sound: Runoff Air Temperature, Wind and Sea Level, by Coomes, A., et al., NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OMS-4, 45 pages.

NOAA, 1984c, Synthesis of current measurements in Puget Sound, Washington – Volume 3: Circulation in Puget Sound: An interpretation based on historic records of currents, by Ebbesmeyer, C.C., Coomes, C.A., Cox, J.M., Helseth, J.M., Hinchley, L.R., Cannon, G.A., and Barnes, C.A., NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS OMS-5, 73 pages.

NOAA Fisheries, 2002, "An analysis in Support of Sediment Quality Thresholds for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) to Protect Estuarine Fish", National Marine Fisheries Service, by Johnson, L. L., Collier, T. K. and Stein, J. E., published in Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, Volume 12, pages 517-538, February 2002.

NOAA Fisheries, 2005, "Fisheries Agency Lists Puget Sound Killer Whales as Endangered", National Marine Fisheries Service, contact Brian Gorman, November 2005. Water Environment Federation (WEF), 1991, *Phosphorus and Nitrogen Removal from Municipal Wastewater: Principals and Practice*, Sedlak, Richard, Editor, Second Edition, 1991.

WSG, 2003, Final WSG Report to the Washington Department of Ecology, by Westside Stormwater Group Chapter, December 1, 2003.

Thomann and Mueller, 1987, *Principals of Surface Water Quality Modeling and Control*, Harper and Row.

Metcalf & Eddy, 2003, *Wastewater Engineering – Treatment and Reuse*, 4th Edition revised by Tchobanglous, et al., McGraw-Hill.

USGS; 2004, Paulson, T., C. Konrad, and G. Turney, An Analysis of Nitrogen Loads to Hood Canal. Presentation by U.S. Geological Survey to Washington Department of Ecology, Puget Sound Action Team, May 13, 2004.

USGS, 2005, Modeling tidal circulation and freshwater mixing in Hood Canal, by Josberger, E.G., and Cheng, R.T., 2005, USGS Modeling Conference, Port Angeles, Washington, November 15-17, 2005.

Veith, G.D., et al. 1979. <u>A Rapid Method for Estimating log P for Organic Chemicals</u>, Water Research, 13:43-47.

Viessman, Jr., Warren and Hammer, Mark J., *Water Supply and Pollution Control*, 4th Edition, Harper & Row Publishers, 1985.

Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), 1992-2000; Puget Sound Ambient Monitoring Program (PSAMP), various publications from 1992 through 2000 as identified in Ecology's 303(d) listings, additional articles available at website http://wdfw.wa.gov/fish/psamp/reports.htm.

WDFW Toxic Contaminants, 2001, "Toxic Contaminants in Marine and Anadromous Fishes from Puget Sound" results from PSAMP fish component 198901999, by West, J. et al., August 2001.

WDFW, 2004, "Washington State Status Report for the Killer Whale", Wildlife Program, by Gary Wiles, March 2004.

White, F. M., 1986, Fluid Mechanics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York.

# II. Effects of Toxic Pollution on Puget Sound Chinook Salmon

Report Section by Dick Ewing, Ph. D., Biologist

# **II.1. Introduction**

This section pertains to the effect of toxic pollution on chinook salmon present in Puget Sound area waters. Pollution may negatively affect all aspects of the life histories of fish living in or passing through the Puget Sound. These effects may serve to reduce the populations to numbers approaching extinction (Landahl et al., 1997). At present, Puget Sound contains three species of federally listed salmonids, chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>), chum salmon (<u>O. keta</u>) and bull trout (<u>Salvelinus</u> <u>confluentus</u>). Chinook salmon in the Puget Sound area were listed as threatened in 1999. The chinook, or king, salmon is the largest of the Pacific salmon. Adults may exceed 100 pounds in weight. These salmon are adapted for long migrations to their natal streams and on occasion may swim more than a 1000 miles upstream to spawn. Because they require energy for the long migration, adults contain a high percentage of lipids and are one of the most prized fish for fishermen.

The desire of fishermen for the high quality flesh of chinook salmon started their long slide toward extinction. Over-fishing for chinook salmon began in the 1850s when salmon were caught in great numbers, canned, and shipped throughout the world as a cheap and abundant source of protein. As salmon populations began to decline, the advent of hydroelectric power added an additional burden to the fish populations. In an attempt to prevent further declines, hatcheries were established, but these were operated in such a way that they decreased rather than revived the population numbers. Poor management practices, decreased genetic variability, and increased disease all contributed to poor survival of hatchery offspring (Lichatowich and McIntyre, 1987; Lichatowich, 1999). Increasing populations of people put increased pressure on rivers for living space, for hydroelectric power, for flood control, and for the water itself. The result was fish populations with little water for habitat and severely reduced spawning areas.

Salmon have been in decline in the Northwest for over 100 years. In recent years, numbers have reached very low numbers and many populations are in danger of extinction (Nehlson et al. 1991). One of the most powerful tools for protecting these populations is the Endangered Species Act (ESA) enacted in 1973. Once a species is listed as threatened or endangered according to the ESA, it is protected and the federal government must ensure that future actions do not jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species or adversely modify their habitat.

Much of the salmonid decline at present can be attributed to loss of habitat (Nehlson et al. 1991). Loss of habitat can include a variety of factors such as loss of migration routes, loss of areas suitable for spawning, and loss of areas capable of sustaining juvenile

populations. Habitat loss also includes the deterioration of water quality through introduction of pollutants into our coastal waters, estuaries, rivers and streams. It is this latter case that will be addressed here.

## II.2. Review of Toxic Effects on Salmon

The present review examines the effects of toxic pollutants on the biology of chinook salmon. A discussion of specific chemicals of concern is presented in the following section for heavy metals, other inorganic toxicants, organic compounds. The availability of studies in the published literature using chinook salmon as animal models is limited. The effects of pollutants on other salmonids can be used to fill in gaps in published literature to illustrate the wide range of problems that may occur with the listed chinook salmon. Other species of fish will be introduced occasionally to illustrate possible effects of pollutants that have not yet been studied in salmonids or effects that are more clearly illustrated in non-salmonid species. In the author's experience and the experience of hatcherymen throughout the Pacific Northwest, chinook salmon are a sensitive species that is difficult to rear. In comparison of pollutant effects between rainbow trout and chinook salmon, the chinook salmon generally respond at lower concentrations (Kime, 1998; Norris et al., 1991).

The similarity in biochemical mechanisms throughout the animal kingdom over a range of species makes it possible to extrapolate from one species to another. Often effects found in mammals will be subsequently found to occur in fish or salmonid species (see Colbourne and Clement (1992) as an example). For example, in the case of phthalates, little work has been done with fishes and the effects in mammals has been reviewed as a guide for possible areas of concern for salmonids. While these extrapolations should be viewed with caution, potential areas of concern should be addressed, especially with listed species.

## **II.2.1.** Sublethal Impacts of Pollutants

Fish kills due to concentrations of pollutants at acute levels usually attract a great deal of attention and numerous agencies respond immediately to determine the cause of the mortality. With listed species, the populations are already low and the loss of every individual makes recovery that much more difficult. However, the rapid response by government agencies means that the problem can usually be quickly identified and a solution can be rapidly formulated. The real danger lies with low level concentrations which do not cause fish kills but still result in losses to the population through increased disease or predation. These sublethal effects can be substantial. Murty (1986) suggested that "In the long run, these sublethal concentrations may prove more deleterious than the lethal concentrations, because subtle and small effects on the fish may alter their behavior, feeding habits, position in the school, reproductive success, etc."

This review focuses on the effects of sublethal concentrations of common pollutants in the Puget Sound area on chinook salmon populations. These changes in physiology, behavior, reproduction, and health can have significant effects on the population structure and number of chinook salmon in the Puget Sound. The danger of these changes is that they are not detectable by current techniques in fisheries biology. The result is a decline in population numbers from causes that cannot be clearly identified.

## **II.2.2.** Pathways for Toxic Pollutants to Impact Salmonids

Chinook and other salmon use the Puget Sound as a waterway to and from the rivers and streams used for spawning. Chinook salmon adults feed extensively on the herrings and small fish that inhabit the Puget Sound, its bays and estuaries (Healey, 1991). In turn, sealions and killer whales feed on the congregated salmon. As the salmon are urged on by hormones, they leave the Puget Sound estuaries and travel up the rivers and streams to the spawning areas where they were born.

Juvenile chinook salmon remain in their natal stream for six months to several years, then begin the journey to the sea to grow and attain adulthood. On their way, they linger in estuaries for long periods of time, longer than any of the other salmonids (Thom, 1987), and frequent the shallow water area along the shoreline. Here they feed and adapt to seawater conditions. Young salmon may reside in the estuary for over a year before finally swimming for the open ocean.

Unfortunately, much of the pollution in Puget Sound has been introduced into the waters and sediment of the nearshore zone, including vital estuarine areas (see Section I by LaLiberte, subsections I.4.3. through I.4.11 and Table 12, for pollutants and locations). In the passage of both adult and juvenile salmon through Puget Sound, they experience direct exposure to pollutants in the water column or indirect exposure by consumption of food organisms, which have accumulated the pollutants (McCain et al., 1990). Lipophilic compounds from the food sources, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated hydrocarbons, tend to bioaccumulate in the lipid-rich tissues of the salmon. These concentrated pollutants can have consequences on all aspects of the biology of the salmon and may affect the survival of the populations (Landahl et al., 1997; Arkoosh et al., 1998).

## II.2.3. Bioaccumulation and Biomagnification

Bioaccumulation and biomagnification are complicated issues that will be discussed here only in a cursory way related to the possible accumulations of pollutants during the life history of the chinook salmon. Neff (2002) has an excellent discussion of the complexities of the accumulation and magnification of organics and metals.

As discussed in this subsection, it is apparent that any concentration level of persistent bioaccumlating toxins (PBTs) are very probably harmful to chinook salmon and other organisms. The PBTs discussed here include heavy metals, PAHs, phthalates and PCHs discharged from Ecology authorized NPDES permits as shown in Section I.6 under *Toxic Discharges and Bioaccumlation*.

Bioaccumulation refers to the uptake and accumulation of chemicals from all available external sources. It is the net result of uptake, distribution and excretion of a chemical present in the water, in sediments and in food. Bioconcentration is a special case of bioaccumulation where only the uptake from water is considered. The bioconcentration

factor (BCF) is the unitless ration of the concentration of a chemical in the organism divided by the concentration of a chemical in the surrounding water. BCF is often estimated by the partition coefficient (Kow) of a chemical between octanol and water. Octanol simulates the properties of the lipid interior of membranes and Kow is a function of the lipid solubility of the chemical.

BCFs are often used to show huge accumulations of PAHs and PCHs in organisms. These are usually derived from an empirical relationship between Kow of a particular chemical and its BCF that has been obtained from studies specifically studying the BCF in organisms. In most cases, the concentrations of PAHs and PCHs inside the organism are highly overestimated. For example, in the bluegill, benzo(a)pyrene has a calculated BCF of 63,100, while its measured BCF is 490 (Spacie et al., 1983). Similarly, di-2ethylhexyl phthalate in sheepshead minnows has a calculated BCF of 24,547,000, while its measured BCF is 630 (Karara and Hayton, 1984).

Not only do calculated BCFs overestimate the concentrations of organic chemicals internally, they are also unrealistic in that they do not consider contributions of pollutants from sediments and from the food. Bioaccumulation factors (BAFs) more accurately portray the entire process of accumulation and excretion but they are more difficult to compare. Units of BAFs are in ug pollutant/mg dry weight of the organism. Comparisons require measurements from comparable fish in unpolluted areas.

Regardless of definitions, the lipid solubilities of PAHs and PCHs ensure that the fish concentrate these chemicals in their tissues at least hundreds of times greater concentrations than the concentration in the surrounding water. The concentrations in their tissues may or may not be higher than concentrations found in nearby sediments or in their food organisms, depending on individual methods of transformation and excretion of the chemicals. The high concentrations in tissues may be quite stable and may persist for years, especially in the case of the PCHs.

Metals show some bioaccumulation because they tend to bind sulfhydryl groups and phosphates within the tissues of organisms. In many cases, the levels rapidly decrease when the source of contamination is removed. Only when the metal is tranformed into an organic form, such as the formation of methylmercury, will it show appreciable bioaccumulation and a long residency in the tissues.

Biomagnification is another characteristic of persistent pollutants. This is defined as the increase in concentration of pollutants as they move to higher and higher trophic levels. Biomagnification has been demonstrated convincingly only for a few chemicals, such as methylmercury (Bargagli et al., 1998), PCBs (Oliver and Niimi, 1988; Evans et al., 1991), and some dioxins and furans (Opperhuizen and Sijm, 1990; Sijm et al., 1993). PAHs are readily metabolized and excreted by marine fish and invertebrates and do not biomagnify in food webs (Broman et al., 1990). When there is trophic step from aquatic prey to terrestrial predators, however, there is likely to be biomagnification. The airbreathers cannot release the hydrophobic chemicals by passive diffusion (Muir et al., 1998).

Chinook salmon are considered predators near the top of their food web (Healey, 1991). Adults feed on herring, anchovies, and small fishes. These prey organisms have already assimilated methylmercury and PCHs into their tissues from silt and organisms that they have fed on lower in the food web. The pollutants pass through the gut with the nutrients and become sequestered in fatty tissue in the case of PCHs or muscle in the case of methylmercury. Unfortunately, one of the extremely fatty tissues in returning adult chinook salmon are the ovaries and developing eggs. Concentrations often become high enough that they may pollute their relatively pristine spawning areas (Krummel et al., 2003).

#### **II.2.4.** Relationship of Tissue Concentrations to Specific Toxic Effects

Classical toxicology relates the concentrations of water soluble toxicants to specific effects in the species of interest. Even with this simplified system, the experimental complexity is considerable. Water soluble toxicants interact with the components of the water itself to form compounds or complexes that may alter their toxicity. The water quality also alters the physiology of the fish subjected to analysis, causing changes in the entry of the toxicant into the fish. Because the toxicant is water soluble, entry of the toxicant into the fish comes into equilibrium with the excretion from the fish. Little or no bioaccumulation of the toxicant occurs. The toxic properties of the toxicant, as measured by the specific effect, then become a function of the water concentration of the toxicant.

When the toxicant is relatively insoluble in water, i. e., soluble in lipids, bioaccumulation within the fish occurs and the difficulty of determining causal relationships between the tissue levels of the fish and the effect of interest becomes much more difficult.

The following problems need to be addressed to assess toxicants having little or no affinity for water (i.e., hydrophobic toxicants):

1) Delivery of the toxicant to the fish. Hydrophobic toxicants are poorly soluble in water and must be delivered to the fish either through very low water concentrations, through sediments, or indirectly through the food supply (Spacie and Hamelink, 1985).

2) Bioaccumulation occurs in different tissues at different rates. For a particular assay, it is difficult to determine which tissue is influencing the results.

3) Non-equilibrium conditions are present for long periods of time. It is difficult to determine the concentration of toxicant that is producing the results obtained.

4) Individual fish may receive different "dosages" either from different feeding habits, different resting areas in the tank, or differences in physiology. A correlative approach using individual fish is required for analysis.

5) Fish have the ability to biotransform PAHs and PCHs so that measured quantities may not reflect the concentrations that initiated the results obtained.

In spite of these difficulties for laboratory experiments, tissue concentrations have been used in the field to look at relationships to simple physiological functions. Johnson et al. (1998) sampled rock sole at various locations in Puget Sound and measured both PAH and PCB concentrations and measurements of fecundity. They then correlated pollutant concentrations in the fish with gonadosomatic index (ratio of gonad weight to body

weight), plasma estradiol concentrations, number of eggs, and weight of eggs. Egg weight was negatively correlated with PCB concentrations in the liver, but no other correlations were significant. Gravid females from contaminated sites were taken into the lab to be fertilized by reference males. Results showed lower spawning success for the sole from sites that were heavily contaminated with PAHs and PCBs. They concluded that sole with greater concentrations of pollutants showed reduced reproductive success.

A similar study was performed with English sole (Casillas et al., 1991) that found significant inverse correlations between the indices of fertility above and concentrations of PAHs and PCBs. Differences between rock and English soles in their uptake of pollutants and correlations with fertility indices was suggested to be due to differences in sediment preferences. Rock sole preferred coarse-grained or sandy sediments, whereas English sole preferred fine sediments.

These studies point out the difficulties of relating tissue concentrations of pollutants to physiological effects. Nevertheless, studies of hydrophobic contaminants such as PCBs and PAHs will have to rely on tissue concentrations for developing relationships with deleterious changes to fish populations. Concentrations in sediments or in the water are not necessarily related to the amounts of pollutants to which the fish tissues are exposed.

# **II.3.** Problem Levels of Toxicants

This section examines the effects of selected pollutants on the biology of chinook salmon.

## II.3.1. Toxic Chemical Parameters

Washington Department of Ecology bases waterbody status assessment on comparison with criteria for toxicants found in water (Ecology, 2006f) and sediments (Ecology, 2006g). LaLiberte presents a discussion of the results of Ecology's assessment in Section I.1. Where available for toxic contaminants, these numeric criteria are derived for concentrations that cause acute (rapid death) and chronic (sublethal) toxicity effects on organisms. In addition, Ecology uses ambient water quality concentrations based on the National Toxics Rule (NTR, 40 CFR 131.36) in assessing Puget Sound area waterbody status. The NTR identifies concentrations of waters impaired for organism consumption by humans (see 40 CFR 131.36). While the organism consumption concentrations for toxic chemicals in the NTR refer to human health, the chemical specific discussion below demonstrates that chinook salmon are more adversely affected than humans when exposed to the concentrations listed in the NTR. Accordingly, if a waterbody is impaired for organism consumption, then the implication is that chinook salmon are being adversely affected. In addition, because salmonids are harmed by many toxic concentrations lower than the NTR values, still more waterbodies should be 303(d) listed as impaired because of direct harm to chinook salmon and other sensitive organisms.

## II.3.2. Locations of Concern

Locations of concern are those areas found to have a high degree of contamination from the pollutants described above.

Table 12 of Section I by LaLiberte, and subsections I.4.3 through I.4.11, summarize toxic contamination and related locations throughout the Puget Sound region. Major waterbodies in the Puget Sound region experiencing impairment because of toxic contamination include Bellingham Bay, Fidalgo Bay, Skagit River, Snohomish River, Everett Harbor/Port Gardner, main basin of Puget Sound, Lake Union/Lake Washington Ship Canal, Duwamish River, Elliott Bay, East Passage, Dalco Passage, Poverty Bay, Puyallup River, Inner and Outer Commencement Bay, Squaxin Passages, Peale Passage, Pickering Passage, Carr Inlet, Eagle Harbor, Hale Passage, Case Inlet, Dana Passage, and additional locations. In these waterbodies, bioaccumulation of toxic contaminants occurs at levels harmful to animals including, among other organisms, mountain whitefish, bridgelip sucker, mussels, English sole, rockfish, chinook salmon and other salmonids, seals, and killer whales.

## II.3.3. Heavy Metals

Ions of heavy metals are found as common components of industrial wastewater discharges and are well known for their deleterious effects on aquatic organisms. Toxicity of the different metals varies in similar fashion with water conditions because of the similar properties of the metal ions. The metals described here, copper, chromium, cadmium, lead, and mercury, and zinc, all share low solubilities in their hydroxide form. Therefore, toxicity of the metals is inversely related to the pH of the water. In acid conditions with low pH, toxicity is high, while in alkaline water with high pH, the metals precipitate as hydroxides and the toxicity is diminished. Similarly, the hardness of the water, a function of its carbonate content, and the presence of phosphates leads to precipitates of carbonate and phosphate salts and results in diminished toxicity. Turbidity resulting from the presence of clays and humic acids decreases the toxicity of the metals because the metals are bound by the ion exchange properties of the suspended material (Sprague, 1985).

Metals have a number of similar toxic effects on fish because of their similar properties. Most metals tend to accumulate in the gill tissue, where the metals form precipitates with the mucus. This leads to decreased ventilation, coughing responses, decreased oxygen and carbon dioxide exchange, and a depletion of energy reserves. The depletion of energy reserves causes decreased swimming ability and a slower response to predators. Internally, heavy metals bind to phosphates and sulfides, causing major disruption of physiological functions (Leland and Kuwabara, 1985; Kime, 1998)

Metals tend to accumulate within the body of the fish by binding to phosphate and sulfide groups of various proteins. When the sulfhydryl groups of enzymes are bound, the enzyme activity is inhibited and results in a general decline in fish health. At high enough concentrations, osmoregulatory and hormonal systems cease to function.

As a protective mechanism against the actions of heavy metals, fish respond by producing a sulfhydryl rich protein called metallothionein. Metals bind to the sulfhydryl groups and are eventually excreted with the protein (Leland and Kuwabara, 1985; Kime, 1998).

Most metals interfere with olfaction in salmonids (Klaprat et al. 1992). Salmon use olfaction as the major sensory input describing the environment around them. Olfaction has been shown to play important roles in predator avoidance (Scholz et al., 2000; Brown and Smith, 1997; Hiroven et al., 2000), recognition of kin (Quinn and Busack, 1985; Olsen, 1992), homing of adults to natal streams (Wisby and Hasler, 1954; Hasler and Scholz, 1983; Stabell, 1992), and spawning rituals of adults (Sorensen, 1992; Olsen and Liley, 1993; Moore and Waring, 1996). Interference in chemoreception by metals will be discussed more fully for the individual metals.

Endocrine disruption is often reported as a response to metal exposure (Kime 1998). Endocrine disruption can occur at several levels and the presence of heavy metals and their ability to disrupt enzyme function can interrupt any of these. Endocrine function is initiated in the brain in response to external stimuli. Neural responses activate the pituitary which either produces the active hormone or a stimulatory factory which travels through the blood to activate the active hormone. The active hormone then circulates through the blood stream to its site of action where it binds to membrane receptors to set up a signal pathway that leads to activation of specific genes within the genome.

Most work with endocrine disruption in fish by heavy metals has been done with fish other than salmonids. While there is no reason to believe that the endocrine systems of these fish are different from salmonids in a major way, these studies have not been included here and will be discussed only briefly in the sections on the specific metals.

Heavy metals interfere with the workings of the immune system in salmonids (Anderson et al. 1996) but the mechanism of interference is not clear (Kime, 1998). The metals may affect the immune system directly or the response could result from a stress reaction which elevates cortisol which subsequently results in immunosuppression (Schreck, 1996). Suppression of the immune system increases infection of salmonids to bacteria, fungi, viruses, and parasites. Such infections decrease the vitality of the fish and increase the chances of mortalities due to osmotic imbalance, inability to feed, or predation.

#### Copper

The effects of copper on salmonids have been examined by numerous authors because of the abundance of many instances of high copper concentrations in the rivers and streams. Reviews by Sorensen (1991), Alabaster and Lloyd (1982), and numerous other authors have been published in books on toxicology. Although organisms for a variety of enzymatic and physiological functions require copper, excess amounts of copper become toxic. The sources of copper in Puget Sound are discussed in Section I.4.7.

Effects of copper are difficult to clarify in the natural environment because of the wide variety of reactions that it undergoes with common stream components. Copper forms insoluble precipitates at low concentrations in the presence of a number of anions. Therefore, toxicity depends strongly on pH and hardness of the water used for experiments (Alabaster and Lloyd, 1982; Sorensen 1991). Toxicity also depends upon temperature and dissolved oxygen in the water (Alabaster and Lloyd, 1982; Lloyd, 1961). Organic compounds such as humic acids and suspended solids can lower the toxicity of

1.doc

copper. These compounds are thought to act as ion-exchangers and preferentially bind aqueous copper (Brown et al., 1974).

Copper ions cause precipitates to form in the mucus of the gill and cause respiratory distress, indicated by coughing, spastic head movements, and lethargy. A number of papers have indicated that copper affects the ion regulatory systems in salmonids (Sorensen 1991; Heath, 1995). Reid and McDonald (1988) found that plasma levels of Na+ are reduced in rainbow trout after exposure to copper. Copper was found to inhibit the activity of the osmoregulatory enzyme, (Na+K)-ATPase (Lauren and McDonald 1987). Lorz and McPherson (1976) found that exposures of coho salmon to copper concentrations from 10 to 80 ug Cu/L caused decreased (Na+K)-ATPase activity and survival in seawater.

Exposure of coho salmon to copper inhibits the seaward migration of the smolts (Lorz and McPherson, 1976). The lowest dose measured (5 ug Cu/L) inhibited migration even though it had no effect on (Na+K)-ATPase activity or seawater tolerance. Inhibition of migration for migrant salmonids such as coho and chinook salmon subjects the juveniles to increased densities, limited food supplies, and probably increased mortality.

Recent papers have explored the effects of copper on the olfactory system of salmonids (Baldwin et al. 2003; Hansen et al., 1999a, 1999b). Baldwin et al. (2003) used electrical potential readings from the olfactory epithelium as a measure of the olfactory responsiveness of natural odorants in chinook salmon exposed to copper. Copper inhibited the responsiveness of the epithelium to odorants within 10 minutes of exposure. Inhibitory responses occurred in a dose-dependent manner in a range of copper concentrations from 1.0 to 20.0 ug/L. Inhibition was not dependent on hardness of the water. The authors concluded that short term exposures to very low levels of copper may interfere with olfactory-mediated behaviors that promoted survival and spawning migrations.

Hansen et al. (1999) examined the avoidance of chinook salmon and rainbow trout to water polluted with copper or cobalt. Chinook salmon were found to be the most sensitive, avoiding water containing as low as 0.7 ug Cu/L. Rainbow trout avoided water containing as low as 1.6 ug Cu/L. When fish were acclimated to water containing 2 ug Cu/L, rainbow trout avoided water with concentrations of 4 ug Cu/L and preferred clean water, but chinook salmon did not avoid any concentration of copper and did not have a preference for clean water. The authors concluded that the chinook salmon exposed to low levels of copper had their olfactory senses impaired to the point where they could not avoid water of lethal concentrations. This impairment also probably had deleterious effects on predator avoidance, homing, and spawning activities.

Hansen et al. (1999) followed their avoidance studies with confocal laser-scanning microscopic observations of olfactory epithelia in chinook salmon and rainbow trout. They found that the number of olfactory receptors was severely reduced in chinook salmon exposed to 50 ug Cu/L or greater for 1 hour, while olfactory receptors in rainbow trout were reduced after exposure to 200 ug Cu/L or greater for 1 hour. If exposure time

was increased to 4 hours, receptors in both species were reduced by concentrations of 25 ug Cu/L. Olfactory bulb electroencephalogram responses to an odorant were virtually eliminated in chinook salmon exposed to more than 50 ug Cu/L for 1 hour, or rainbow trout exposed to more than 200 ug Cu/L for 1 hour. The results show the increased sensitivity of chinook salmon over rainbow trout and suggest that short exposures to sublethal concentrations of copper can cause major damage to the olfactory system.

Copper causes immunosuppression in most species of fish and this can be particularly damaging in salmonids. Baker et al. (1983) showed that exposure of chinook salmon and rainbow trout to sublethal concentrations of copper caused an increased susceptibility to infection by <u>Vibrio anguillarum</u>. Similarly, Hetrick et al. (1979) showed that exposure to copper increased susceptibility of rainbow trout to infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus and Knittel (1981) found that steelhead exposed to copper were more susceptible to <u>Yersinia ruckeri</u>. Anderson et al (1989) showed that exposure of isolated rainbow trout spleen cells in vitro to copper caused inhibition of the antibody-producing cells.

#### Cadmium

Cadmium is widely used in industry and is produced from lead-mine workings and from smelting and electroplating industries (Kime, 1998). Sources of cadmium for the Puget Sound waters are discussed in Section I.4.7. Toxicity of the metal to aquatic organisms depends upon a number of water quality factors, including temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, hardness, salinity, and suspended solids (Alabaster and Lloyd, 1982).

Cadmium has no biological function in organisms. As a non-degradable, cumulative pollutant, cadmium can disrupt the multiple trophic levels of aquatic organisms and the effects can persist for centuries (Sorensen, 1991). Salmonids were found to be among the most sensitive aquatic organisms to the presence of cadmium. Some other invertebrates were equally sensitive but most of the organisms tested were much more resistant to the effects of cadmium (Alabaster and Lloyd, 1982)

Originally, it was thought that the lethal effects of cadmium were due to respiratory impairment. In early studies, Carpenter (1927) suggested that the orange-brown precipitate of mucus and cadmium at the gill surface caused death by oxygen deficiency. Bilinski and Jonas (1973) reported extensive degeneration of gill structures in rainbow trout exposed to 1120 ug Cd per liter for 24 hours. They observed detachment of the epithelial layer, hypertrophy and hyperplasia of the interlamellar epithelium, and a decrease in lactate oxidation in gill filaments of surviving fish

Behavior of the fish at sublethal concentrations suggested that neuronal damage might also be occurring. Cearley and Coleman (1974) described erratic, uncoordinated swimming movements, muscular spasms and convulsions, loss of equilibrium, and periods of paralysis and lethargy. Similar convulsive swimming movements were reported by Eaton (1974) and Pascoe and Mattey (1977). These convulsive movements were suggested to have survival value in removing mucus containing high levels of cadmium. Varanasi and Markey (1978) showed that in coho salmon, high levels of mucus were sloughed off during exposure to cadmium, which accelerated the removal of cadmium from the body.

Cadmium exposure causes only mild changes in osmoregulation (Heath 1995) but regulation of divalent cations is quite sensitive to the presence of cadmium. Plasma calcium dropped 50% during a week-long exposure of rainbow trout to 300 ug/L cadmium (Roch and Maly, 1979). Decreases in calcium in the blood is apparently due to inhibition of calcium influx alone (Reid and MacDonald, 1988; Baldisserotto et al., 2004). Sauer and Watabe (1988) suggest that the cadmium displaces calcium from protein carriers in the gill epithelium. Changes in calcium efflux in the gill and kidney are not affected greatly by cadmium exposure (Gill et al., 1989). Alterations in plasma calcium concentrations may explain the spasms and hyperexcitability seen in some fish that have been exposed to cadmium (Larsson et al., 1981).

Olfactory function is compromised by exposure to cadmium (Klaprat et al. 1992). Forty day exposures of pike juveniles to 0.03 uM (3.4 ug/L) cadmium caused deterioration of the olfactory nerve (Dedual, 1987). Wild brown trout suffered complete loss of their olfactory sensory cells after two days exposure to high levels of cadmium (Moran et al. 1987). Water containing cadmium at 1.7 uM (190 ug/L) was not avoided by the golden shiner, suggesting that the olfactory capacity was impaired.

Effects of cadmium on embryonic development occur at levels where growth and mortality of adults are not affected. Eaton et al. (1978) found toxic effects of cadmium exposure on embryos of lake trout, brown trout, and brook trout at concentrations between 4.4 and 12.3 ug/L cadmium, 3.8 and 11.7 ug/L cadmium, and 1.1 and 3.8 ug/L cadmium, respectively. Brown et al. (1994) found exposure of rainbow trout to cadmium concentrations up to 5.5 ug/L had no effect on adult mortality or growth. However, eggs exposed to 1.8 and 3.4 ug/L cadmium did not develop to the fry stage. Oogenesis was delayed in brown trout by concentrations of 9.3 and 29.1 ug/L cadmium.

Exposure to cadmium is known to suppress the immune system of salmonids in some cases and to stimulate immune responses in others. O'Neill (1981) found that brown trout exposed to cadmium had reduced circulatory antibody responses compared to controls. Thuvander (1989) showed that low doses of cadmium (0.7 and 3.6 ug/L) in the water reduced the mitogenic responses in fish immunized to Vibrio anguillarum. On the other hand, MacFarlane et al. (1986) found that exposure of striped bass to cadmium provided a higher degree of protection from Flexibacter columnaris than controls without cadmium exposure.

#### Chromium

Chromium is the seventh most abundant element in the crust of the earth (Katz and Salem, 1994). Chromium makes its way into the aqueous environment from both natural and anthropogenic sources. Sources for chromium in Puget Sound waters are given in Section I.4.7. Chromium is both an essential trace metal using in most higher organisms and a toxic contaminant at higher concentrations. Its use in organisms centers around the insulin receptors and their binding of insulin for glucose regulation and utilization.
Chromium is found in several valences: divalent, trivalent, tetravalent, pentavalent, and hexavalent forms. Trivalent forms, such as chromic chloride, and hexavalent forms, such as potassium chromate or potassium dichromate, are the most common forms. Of the two, the hexavalent form is the most toxic. This may relate to its anionic nature, which allows it to pass easily through membranes, such as that of the gill (Katz and Salem, 1994).

Exposure of chinook salmon and rainbow trout to 20 ug/L chromium in a long rearing period inhibited growth (Olson and Foster, 1956). Growth of both rainbow trout and brook trout over an 8-month period was diminished at all concentrations of chromium used (100-1500 ug/L) (Benoit, 1976). When the test was continued to 22 months, however, brook trout growth was similar at all concentrations over 340 ug/L.

Osmoregulatory functions seem to be inhibited by the presence of chromium. Survival of coho salmon transferred from freshwater to 20% saline was reduced after exposure to 230 ug/L chromium for 4 weeks (Sugatt, 1980). Hexavalent chromium has been shown to inhibit the ion transport enzyme, (Na+K)-ATPase, but not the Mg-ATPase of intestine, gill, liver, and kidney of rainbow trout (Kuhnert et al., 1976). A component of gill mucus decreased significantly after six months exposure to 200 ug/L chromium. This was considered part of a general decrease in gill function, which included mucus excretion, osmoregulation, and respiration (Arillo et al., 1982).

Endocrine disruption in response to chromium exposure has not been studied extensively in salmonids. Billard and Roubaud (1985) found that fertilization of rainbow trout eggs was suppressed after exposure to a number of metals, including chromium. Sperm were much more sensitive to chromium and other metals than ova.

Suppression of the immune system of fishes can occur after exposure to chromium in the water (Kime, 1998). Whether this results from inhibition of mechanisms by the chromium itself or triggering the cortisol response in the fish is not known. Cortisol is well known for its immunosuppression qualities (Schreck, 1996). O'Neil (1981a) found that the serum antibody response was diminished in rainbow trout exposed to chromium and other metals.

Hexavalent chromium has been shown to be carcinogenic in mammals and humans (O'Flaherty, 1995). It is thought that the hexavalent chromium crosses the membrane barriers of the lung and undergoes a subsequent reduction to trivalent chromium with production of reactive intermediates. Trivalent chromium is capable of reacting with DNA and of causing DNA-protein crosslinking. It is not known whether similar mechanisms occur in fish.

Although fish seem relatively insensitive to chromium in the water, invertebrates and phytoplankton seem extremely sensitive (Committee, 1974). LC50 for the water flea Daphnia was determined to be 32 ug/L, while 50% growth reduction of two diatoms in hard and soft water was 200 and 400 ug/L. These results suggest that even though fish

may survive low concentrations of chromium in their waters, their food base may be reduced.

Chromium does not seem to bioconcentrate to any significant degree (Neff, 2002).

## Lead

The presence of lead in waters of Puget Sound and its sources are presented in Section I.4.7 and Section I.5. The effects of lead on salmonids has been reviewed by several authors (Aronson, 1971; Sorensen, 1991). Lead poisoning in fish results in neuronal, muscular, and hematological changes similar to those found in mammals. These lead to muscle spasm, paralysis, hyperactivity, and loss of equilibrium (Davies, 1976). In wild fish, these symptoms would render the fish susceptible to predators. In rainbow trout, both dorsal and lateral flexure of the tail region occurs in response to lead, causing a condition called lordoscoliosis (Davies, 1976). This could be due a result of accumulation of lead in the brain (Sorensen 1991).

Inhibition of d-amino levulinic acid dehydratase seems to be a characteristic of salmonids exposed to lead in the environment (Hodson, 1976; Hodson et al. 1977). Exposure to copper, cadmium, mercury or zinc did not cause inhibition of the enzyme (Jackim 1973). Johansson-Sjobeck and Larsson (1979) showed that the enzyme was strongly depressed in erythrocytes, spleen, and renal tissues of rainbow trout exposed to sublethal concentrations of lead for 30 days. This inhibition was present even after a recovery period of seven weeks in lead-free water. d-Amino levulinic acid dehydratase is a key enzyme in the synthesis of porphyrin rings (Dresel and Falk, 1956). Its inhibition by lead prevents formation of heme for hemoglobin synthesis and results in the anemia seen in salmonids exposed to lead. Porphyrin rings are also used in the synthesis of cytochromes so one would expect lead poisoning to result in reduced energy and decreased swimming ability. Cytochrome P450 synthesis, which also requires porphyrin rings, would be inhibited so the fish would be expected to be more sensitive to the effects of polychlorinated hydrocarbons and PAHs (see below).

Exposure to lead can lead to skeletal deformities in salmonids. Holcombe et al. (1976) reared three generations of brook trout exposed to concentrations of lead ranging from 0.9 to 474 ug/L. Second generation alevins showed spinal abnormalities (scoliosis) in 58%, 21%, 5%, 9%, 6% and 1% of fish whose parents were exposed to 474, 235, 119, 58, 34, and 0.9 ug lead/L, respectively. Growth of these fish was inhibited. Scoliosis developed later in 33% of the alevins exposed to 474 ug/L. All members of this group died after 46 weeks of exposure. Third generation alevins showed spinal abnormalities in 21%, 2%, and 2% of fish whose parents were exposed to 119, 58, and 34 ug lead/L, respectively. Again, growth was inhibited. Hyperactivity, erratic swimming, muscular spasms, and loss of equilibrium were noted at the higher concentrations. Their inference was that lead was affecting both nervous and muscular tissues.

Immune systems of fish are susceptible to suppression by lead exposure (Dunier, 1994). Brown trout injected intraperitoneally with lead nitrate showed a decrease in antibody titer against the MS2 bacteriophage (O'Neill, 1981b). Lead does not bioaccumulate in fish to a significant extent. This is probably due to its ionic nature and its inability to induce the synthesis of metallothioneins, the sulfur-rich proteins which act as protective heavy metal sinks (Leland and Kuwabara, 1985).

#### Mercury

Mercury in the aquatic environment is derived from natural and anthropogenic sources. Sources for mercury in the waters of Puget Sound are discussed in Section I.4.7 and Section I.5. Tables 7 through 12 demonstrate that anthropogenic sources of mercury include oil refining, pulp and paper mills, other industrial dischargers, municipal STP, and urban stormwater runoff from industrial, construction and municipal facilities. The insolubility of mercury compounds results in the deposition of precipitates in the sediments. Here, they are transformed by bacteria into methylmercury. Methymercury is able to pass through the membrane tissues readily, whereas mercuric ions precipitate the sulfhydryl groups of mucus and are trapped at the outside of the organism (Leland and Kuwabara, 1985; Sorenson, 1991).

The concentrations of methylmercury in tissues pass up through the trophic levels, becoming more concentrated at each level. At the level of top predators, such as swordfish, shark, and tuna, mercury concentrations have become concentrated enough to provide a health risk. In the Northwest, salmon are one of the top predators. However, mercury contamination is highest near the sources of pollution and adult salmon encounter these area only briefly on their spawning migrations. Consequently they have lower levels of mercury than other fishes in the area (Bothner and Piper, 1973; Henderson and Shanks, 1973) and are not considered a health risk to humans.

Mercury has a high affinity for sulfhydryl groups and many of its toxic effects are concerned with this reaction. Mercury has been shown to stimulate the production of mucus at the gill surface (Olson and Fromm, 1973; Varanasi et al., 1975; Lock et al., 1981) and bind tightly to it. This binding inhibits respiration and ion exchange properties of the gill (Part and Lock, 1983; Klinck et al., 2005). Much of the mercury content of the fish resulting from exposure can be sloughed off with the mucus during the first day (Varanasi et al., 1975).

Once inside the fish, mercury binds tenaciously to sulfhydryl groups, destroying the permeability characteristics of the membranes (Lock et al., 1981). The ion-transport enzyme, (Na+K)-ATPase, that is used for osmoregulation, nerve function, and kidney excretion is inhibited by mercury (Bouquegneau, 1977), although studies on the effects of mercury on osmoregulation have shown only minor effects (Heath, 1995). A number of changes to gill, liver, kidney, pancreas, and erythrocytes have been reported (Sorenesen, 1991).

Neurological damage is probably a result of exposure to mercury, based on the behavioral changes that occur. Rainbow trout fingerlings showed loss of equilibrium, a dark coloration, erratic swimming, and inactivity prior to death (MacLeod and Pessah, 1973)

Exposure of rainbow trout to low levels of mercury (100 ug/L) supressed the olfactory responses after a period of two hours (Hara et al. 1976). Supression increased with increased concentrations and times.

Mercury is extremely embryotoxic and teratogenic to salmonids and other fishes (Sorensen, 1991). A three-generation study of brook trout exposed to mercury (McKim et al., 1976) showed numerous reproductive abnormalities. Yearling trout exposed to 2.93 ug/L mercury for 39 weeks produced trunk deformities , muscle spasms, and 88% mortality in their offspring.

Mercury causes irreversible damage to sperm of fish at very low concentrations (Wester, 1991; Kime, 1998). Whether the damage is done by morphological changes, changes in sperm motility or damage to DNA is not known. Fertilization of steelhead has been shown to be decreased by exposure to mercury (McIntyre, 1973).

In females, exposure to mercury causes interruptions in the normal development of ova and in the hormones necessary for successful completion of ovulation (Kime, 1998). Little work seems to have been done with salmonid species, however. In the killifish, Fundulus heteroclitus, mercury decreased egg fertilization by blocking the micropyle and preventing entry of sperm (Khan and Weis, 1993). Electron microscopy showed that this occurred by swelling the walls of the micropyle.

Exposure to mercury causes immunosuppression (Anderson, 1996), leading to increased disease incident and mortality. Voccia et al. (1994) studied the <u>in vitro</u> impairment of immune cells from rainbow trout from exposure to methylmercury and mercuric chloride. Methylmercury appears to be ten times as potent as mercuric chloride. Exposure of the blue gourami to 9 ug/L methylmercury for 4 to 5 weeks caused a decreased immune response to viral and bacterial antigens (Roales and Perlmutter, 1977)

Four mercuric fungicides, phenylmercuric acetate, methyl mercury dicyandiamide, diphenylmercury, and N-methylmercuric-1,2,3,6-tetrahydro-3,6-methano-3,4,5,6,7,7-hexachlorophthalimide (MEMMI), were incubated with the marine diatom, Nitzschia delicatissima (Harriss et al., 1970). At concentrations of 0.1 ppb, MEMMI and methylmercury dicyandiamide caused significant inhibition of photosynthesis. At a concentration of 1 ppb, all four inhibited growth and photosynthesis in freshwater plankton. Diphenylmercury showed the least inhibition of photosynthesis. The authors concluded that at least some of the phytoplankton in marine and freshwater environments are very sensitive to mercuric compounds and that these may cause a profound effect on higher trophic levels.

Mercury can be bioaccumulated both directly from the water or from diet (Leland and Kuwabara, 1985). Rainbow trout are about seven times more efficient at extracting mercury from diet than from the water. Concentration of mercury in the tissues is dependent upon experimental conditions but Wobeser (1975) suggests bioconcentration factors of 36 and 480 for mercury and methylmercury, respectively. Methylmercury

concentration apparently does not depend on its greater lipophilic character, because it tends to concentrate in muscle rather than more lipid filled organs (Neff, 2002).

### Zinc

Zinc is a required metal for metabolic reactions and is second in quantity only to iron in the vertebrate body. Zinc is used as a cofactor for a number of enzymes, including carbonic anhydrase, superoxide dismutase, and lactate dehydrogenase (Sorensen, 1991). However, at high concentrations, zinc can be toxic to fish and cause mortality, growth inhibition, respiratory and cardiac damage, and inhibition of spawning,

As is the case with other metal ions, zinc toxicity in fish is dependent upon water quality. Toxicity increases with increased temperature, increased pH, decreased hardness, and decreased dissolved oxygen. In salmonids, the sensitivity to zinc concentrations also depends on the developmental stage. Chapman (1978) exposed four life stages of chinook salmon and steelhead to zinc in continuous-flow toxicity tests. He found that alevins of both species were more tolerant of zinc than other stages. Parr of chinook salmon were more sensitive to zinc than steelhead, while swim-up and smolt stages were equal for chinook salmon and steelhead. Farmer et al. (1979) found that smolt stages of Atlantic salmon were more sensitive to zinc poisoning than parr stages.

Sprague (1968) demonstrated that rainbow trout will avoid water containing zinc at the low concentration of 5.6 ug/L. Saunders and Sprague (1967) reported that adult Atlantic salmon on their spawning migration avoided areas contaminated with copper and zinc at sublethal concentrations. From both field and laboratory work, they concluded that concentrations above 38 ug/L copper and 480 ug/L zinc would essentially block migration.

High levels of zinc in the water (3.7-6.8 mg/L) caused an increased feeding time in zebrafish (Cairns and Loos, 1967). Whether this was due to inhibition of olfactory receptors by the zinc is not known.

Exposure of coho salmon to zinc concentrations up to 6 mg/L for 144 hours had no effect on seawater tolerance or gill (Na+K)-ATPase specific activity (Lorz and McPherson, 1976). Under these conditions, the concentration of zinc at which 50% of the coho salmon were killed within 96 hours (LC50) was 50 times greater than that of copper, indicating that zinc was much less toxic than copper.

Watson and Beamish (1980) exposed rainbow trout to 2 mg/L zinc and found small increases in Mg++ -ATPase, Ca++ -ATPase, Na+ + K+ -ATPase and Na+ -NH4+ - ATPase specific activities. Neither osmolality or electrolyte concentrations in the serum were different from controls. However, Spry and Wood (1985) found increases in sodium flux and decreases in calcium flux across the gills of rainbow trout exposed to zinc. Overall, zinc seems to cause only moderate changes in monovalent ions but may have an effect on divalent ion exchange (Heath, 1995)

Billard and Roubaud (1985) found that the toxicity of zinc was greater for sperm than for ova of rainbow trout. Exposure of the zebrafish to 5 mg/L zinc for 9 days during which ova were maturing caused a delay in spawning (Speranza et al., 1977). It was suggested that zinc might act by delaying the final maturation of oocytes and the release of pheromones by the female. Zinc also inhibited reproduction in the viviparous guppy (Pierson, 1981). Concentrations of 0.61 mg/L zinc caused fewer births and delayed the time until birth of the first brood.

Immunosuppression of the primary humoral response to an intraperitoneal injection of MS2 bacteriophage was found in rainbow trout and carp exposed to zinc, nickel, copper, and chromium (O'Neill, 1981c).

## **II.3.4.** Other Inorganic Toxicants

A number of other inorganic compounds that are commonly released in commercial discharges include ammonia, cyanide, hydrogen sulfide, and chemicals that deplete dissolved oxygen concentrations (see Sections I.4.8 through I.4.11).

Several studies have documented negative changes in behavior that occur at sublethal concentrations of un-ionized ammonia, beginning at 0.05 mg/L (Woltering et al. 1978). Changes in gill permeability occurred at concentrations of un-ionized ammonia as low as 0.09 mg/L (Lloyd and Orr 1969). Because salt and water regulation in estuarine fish occurs at the gill surface, changes in the gill permeability can reduce the ability of fish to survive. These sublethal concentrations of ammonia can cause malformation of trout embryos and histopathological changes (i.e., tissue changes characteristic of disease) in gills, kidneys, and livers of fish (Flis, 1968; Smith and Piper 1972; Thurston et al. 1978; Soderberg, 1985; EPA, 1986; Soderberg 1995). Salmonids that are exposed to these concentrations of ammonia reduce their feeding and thereby reduce their growth and survival (Soderberg 1995). Many of the permits allow the release of ammonia into Puget Sound. Toxic contamination is described in Section I.4.8.

Water quality standards for ammonia concentrations have been designed to protect against sublethal effects. The EPA standards for water quality specify un-ionized ammonia continuous concentrations based on water temperature and pH (EPA, 1986).

Cyanide produced as a toxic waste can cause severe respiratory stress in salmon. Cyanide binds strongly to the heme molecule in hemoglobin and prevents the oxygen carrying function of hemoglobin. Cyanide also binds to cytochrome oxidase, the terminal enzyme in energy formation. Cyanide is released from some of the refineries in Puget Sound (see Section I.4.10).

Hydrogen sulfide is a very toxic gas that is found where organic material has decayed under anaerobic conditions. EPA has identified hydrogen sulfide as a compound whose chronic concentration for both freshwater and marine organisms should not exceed 0.002 mg/L. Salmon hatchery standards specify that there should be no detectable levels of hydrogen sulfide. Hydrogen sulfide interferes with cytochrome oxidase, and, in this way, its toxic effects are similar to those of cyanide. At very low concentrations, it causes gill damage, respiratory arrest, poor feeding, and susceptibility to disease in fish. Many of the industries in Puget Sound are allowed to discharge hydrogen sulfide (see Section I.4.9).

Organic materials released to the environment undergo oxidative metabolism by bacteria. This oxidative capacity is measured crudely as a process known as biological oxygen demand (BOD). If enough organic material is released into the environment, the oxygen concentrations in the water can decrease to levels that cause respiratory distress, lack of feeding and growth, and death in salmon (Davis, 1975; Kramer, 1987). A number of areas in Puget Sound that have been subjected to oxygen depleting substances are described in Section I. 4. 11.

## **II.3.5.** Organic Compounds

Organic compounds considered here include the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), the phthalates, and the polychlorinated hydrocarbons (PCHs) consisting of dioxins (polychlorinated dibenzodioxins and dibenzofurans) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Origins of these compounds are considered in Sections I.4.3, I.4.4, I.4.5, I.4.6, and I.5.

A characteristic of PAHs and PCHs is their hydrophobic quality. Solubilities of these compounds in water are quite low and decrease with the number of aromatic rings in the compound. Solubility of a common five-membered ring, benzo(a)pyrene, for example, is only 3.8 ug/L. Concentrations of these organics in water to which fish are exposed is therefore quite low. They tend to bind to organic materials in the sediment, where they remain unchanged for years, perhaps centuries. They remain in equilibrium with concentrations in water. Their danger to aquatic organisms is that they are readily absorbed and concentrated in lipids. Their concentrations are subject to biomagnification as they move through trophic levels. Consequently, top predators such as whales, seals and salmon tend to have high concentrations in their tissues.

An indication of the presence of organic compounds in the environment of fish is the presence of cytochrome P450 1A (CYP1A) within the fish tissues, especially the liver. Organic materials such as PAHs or dioxins bind to an aryl hydrocarbon receptor in the cytoplasm of cells of liver and other tissues. The aryl hydrocarbon (Ah) receptor complex is composed of a tetrachlorodibenzodioxin (TCDD) receptor protein and the heat shock protein Hsp90. Upon binding, the Hsp90 is released and the complex binds the translocation protein, arnt. This new complex is escorted to the cell nucleus, translocates into the nucleus, and binds to xenobiotic response elements on the DNA. This induces the transcription of CYP1A genes. The messenger RNA is then translated, the apoprotein binds to heme molecules, and the completed CYP1A protein is inserted into the membranes of the endoplasmic reticulum. The enzyme then acts by oxidizing the aromatic material to increase the solubility. A second step (using different enzymes) is to conjugate the oxidized material with glutathione or glucuronide to increase the solubility and permit excretion of the foreign material (Goksoyr and Husoy, 1998).

Toxicity for many organic materials is the result of binding to the aryl hydrocarbon receptor within the cells of the fish. This observation is based on the strong correlation between the strength of the binding of the organic material to the Ah-receptor and the degree of toxicity expressed (Theobald and Peterson, 1994). In some cases, it is known that the oxidized aryl hydrocarbon is more toxic than the original compound. In most cases, the mechanisms for toxicity are not known.

One hypothesis for the mechanism of toxicity is that the organic compounds bioaccumulate to fill the lipid bilayer of membranes (Neff, 1985). The organic compounds then inactivate membrane proteins and cause disruption of physiological functions. Because the eggs of most aquatic organisms contain storage lipids for use by the developing embryos, the organics tend to concentrate in the eggs, at the stages which are most sensitive to disruption of the developmental process. The result is interference with DNA activity, teratogenesis, and cancer development.

Another of the membrane-dependent functions which is sensitive to interferences by organic pollutants is hormonal activity. Consequently, this group of compounds is known for its ability to cause endocrine disruption. Pesticides have been extensively studied for their ability to cause endocrine disruption (Colborn and Clement, 1992; Rolland et al., 1997; Kime, 1998). One of the common hormones disrupted by organic materials is the metabolic hormone, thyroxine. Extensive proliferation of thyroid tissue has been shown in chinook and coho salmon in the Great Lakes due to some unknown goiterogenic agent (Leatherland, 1992). In some cases, the thyroid tissue has exceeded 1000-fold enlargement from that of the same stock on the Pacific coast (Moccia et al., 1981). Thyroid enlargement was found in 100% of the fish examined.

The similarities between thyroxine and TCDD led McKinney et al. (1985) to postulate that the Ah receptor and the thyroid-hormone receptor in the cytoplasm of cells were the same. Binding of aryl hydrocarbons to the thyroid hormone receptor would block thyroid hormones from binding and thus prevent any thyroid mediated genetic expression. A number of the toxic actions of the hydrocarbons discussed here could therefore be directly linked to effects of hyper- or hypothyroidism.

In salmon, the sex of individuals can be determined relatively late in development. Applications of testosterone during the egg and fry stages of salmonids can convert the young fish completely to males. Applications of estradiol, the female sex hormone, can cause production of all female salmon (Hunter and Donaldson, 1983). In chinook salmon, Pifferer et al. (1994) showed that brief treatments with an aromatase inhibitor could convert potentially female salmon fry into functional males. The transsexual males had testes indistinguishable from normal males and were used to fertilize eggs from normal females. The offspring were completely female. They suggest that the aromatase, an enzyme that converts testosterone to estradiol, acts as a regulatory switch during sexual differentiation. Concentrations of androgens and estrogens circulating in the blood provide stimuli that control the levels of aromatase in tissues (Callard and Callard, 1987). In salmonids, where sex differentiation is not fixed at fertilization, the potential for major impacts on populations from estrogen mimics or aromatase inhibitors like the organic compounds discussed here may be quite large.

### Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHS) are ubiquitous in industrial watersheds because of their presence in some of the most commonly used industrial chemicals and in urbanized areas due to vehicular emissions. One of the most common of these is oil. PAHs comprise the smaller molecular weight components of oil. Oil spills are a major source of PAH contamination in both freshwater and marine environments (Neff, 1985). PAH liberation into the waters of Puget Sound is described in Sections I.4.2, I.4.3, and I.5.

The effect of PAHs on aquatic organisms is not completely understood, although the literature on the effects of oil spills on aquatic organisms is voluminous. Part of this problem is that PAHs are a very diverse group and they are very insoluble in water. These characteristics do not lend themselves to classic toxicology studies in which a single compound is added to the water supplied to the aquatic organism in question. Many PAHs are carcinogenic to mammals, however, and the carcinogenic properties of PAHs have been well studied with aquatic organisms.

Puget Sound is rich with PAHs which have probably contributed to the development of carcinomas in bottom fish (Malins et al., 1984; Malins et al., 1985; Pierce et al. 1978). Positive correlations were found between the number of neoplasms found in English sole and the concentrations of selected PAHs, including benzo(a)pyrene and benz(a)anthracene, in the sediments (Malins, 1984). Another study of English sole found that concentrations of derivatives of nitrogen heterocyclic compounds were higher in fish with hepatic neoplasms than healthy fish (Roubal and Malins, 1985). A mechanism for development of these neoplasms was found by Varanasi et al. (1989) who showed that benzo(a)pyrene can form adducts with the DNA of English sole. Further work in vitro showed that cultures of salmon liver from coho salmon are capable of forming metabolites of benzo(a)pyrene that will interact with DNA (Varanasi and Gmur, 1980).

PAHs seems to be involved in reproductive disruption in salmonids (Matthiesson and Sumpter, 1998). Afonso et al. (1997) showed that two of the PAHs, (-naphthoflavone and 20-methylcholanthrene, reduced 17(-estradiol production in ovarian follicles of coho salmon. No effect on testosterone production was observed. It was suggested that the PAHs were inhibiting the aromatase in ovarian follicles. Such inhibition would lead to masculinization of females. Males with two X chromosomes instead of the normal XY complement will produce all female offspring when bred with normal females. Disruption of sex ratios can have severe impacts on populations.

Unfortunately, few studies have looked directly at the effects of PAHs on the complexities of salmonid reproduction. Most have involved exposure of salmon and trout to mixtures of industrial pollutants whose mechanisms of action are impossible to extricate (Kime, 1998; Matthiessen and Sumpter, 1998).

PAHs induce a wide array of damage to the olfactory tissues of fish (Klaprat et al. 1992). Exposure to whole crude oil at 0.14 mg/L for 7 days caused hyperplasia of olfactory cells and wide areas of degeneration in the Atlantic silverside (Gardner, 1975). An insoluble oil fraction at 0.58 mg/L caused similar lesion in this fish. Larval sand sole (<u>Psettichthys melanostictus</u>) exposed to 800 ug/L of the water soluble fraction of crude oil developed abnormal chemosensory ciliary and microridges on epithelial cells were lost (Hawkes, 1980).

Salmonids will not necessarily avoid petroleum contaminated water, even at lethal concentrations (Hara et al. 1992). Maynard and Weber (1981) found that presmolt salmon would not avoid potentially toxic concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbons, although they would avoid lower concentrations of the components of the mixture. This suggests that damage to the olfactory cells may be occuring at higher concentrations, rending the fish unable to smell the toxic hydrocarbons.

Feeding of juvenile salmon can be interrupted by exposure to PAHs. Purdy (1989) exposed coho salmon to a mixture of seven hydrocarbons at two concentrations. At the lowest level, 0.08%, feeding was reduced. At the highest level, 0.15%, feeding was completely inhibited and fish would not feed for three days after exposure.

Salmonid smolts are sensitive to some of the components of crude oil as they enter the sea on their seaward migration. Outmigrant smolts of chum salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha), pink salmon (O. nerka), and Dolly Varden trout (Salvelinus malma) were adapted to 15 thousandth percent (o/oo) seawater for three days, then 30 o/oo seawater for three days before subjected to water containing crude oil and benzene (Moles et al., 1979). Smolts were twice as sensitive to the mixture in 30 o/oo seawater as they were in freshwater. Stickle et al. (1982) subjected coho salmon smolts to various concentrations of naphthalene and toluene in a flow-through system. LC50 at 48 hours for napthalene and toluene in 30 o/oo seawater was 63% and 54% of the LC50 at 48 hours for fish in freshwater. Changes in osmolality and plasma ion concentrations occurred only at lethal concentrations. The authors suggest that the toxic effects of these compounds are not the result of inhibition of osmoregulatory mechanisms but loss of osmoregulation occurs because of other toxic actions. Englehardt et al. (1981) showed gill damage to rainbow trout after exposure to petroleum hydrocarbons. These damages resulted in changes in plasma ion concentrations.

Immunologists suspect that PAHs supress the immune system of fish but direct proof has been difficult to obtain (Anderson, 1996; Arkoosh et al., 1998). Reports by Collier et al. (1999) have suggested that chinook salmon in the Puget Sound are exposed to PAHs in their food supply and that this is associated with decreased disease resistance. Using a plaque forming assay, Arkoosh et al. (1994) found the chinook salmon from Duwamish Waterway near Seattle were unable to invoke a B-cell response from anterior kidney leukocytes in response to a T-cell-dependent antigen. Fish from a non-polluted waterway or a nearby hatchery were capable of showing the response. Salmon from the polluted waterway could show a B-cell response to a T-cell-independent antigen, but the response was smaller than that from fish from the non-polluted waterway or hatchery. Injection of 7,12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene into chinook salmon caused suppression of B-cell responses to both T-cell-dependent and T-cell-independent antigens (Arkoosh et al., 1994).

Anderson et al (1984) found that exposure of rainbow trout to phenol before exposure to <u>Yersinia ruckeri</u> bacterins reduced the number of splenic antibody-producing cells. Payne and Fancey (1989) found that exposure of the flounder (<u>Pseudopleuronectes</u> <u>americanus</u>) to PAHs reduced the number of circulating melanomacrophages.

### Phthalates

Introduction of phthalate esters into the waters of Puget Sound is discussed in Sections I.4.6 and I.5. Few studies are available on the effects of phthalate esters on fishes of any kind (Kime, 1998). Only one could be found using salmonids. An abstract by Tollefsen et al. (2001) described experiments with estrogenic effects of phthalates on Atlantic salmon. The authors examined in vivo and in vitro estrogenic activity of n-butyl benzyl phthalate (BBP), di-(n-butyl)-phthalate (DBP) and di-(2-ethylhexyl)-phthalate (DEHP) using estrogen receptor-mediated production of vitellogenin and eggshell zona radiata proteins as biomarkers. They found that both BBP and DBP acted as estrogenic agonists under in vitro exposure conditions, whereas only BBP was estrogenic under flow through conditions. DEHP was not found to be estrogenic either in vivo or in vitro. Both BBP and DBP were weakly estrogenic in vivo, but were found to interact with plasma sex steroid-binding proteins. The authors suggest that the phthalates have multiple modes of estrogenic action in salmon.

Di-n-butylphthalate was tested on the cyprinodontiform fish, <u>Rivulus marmoratus</u>, at concentrations of 1 mg/L and 2 mg/L (Davis, 1988). Fecundity and viability of embryos was decreased at 2 mg/L but not at 1 mg/L. Skeletal abnormalities in offspring increased from 4% in controls to 10% and 19% in fish exposed to 1 mg/L and 2 mg/L, respectively. In a nine-week post-exposure period, skeletal abnormalities decreased to less than 5% in all groups.

Effects of diethylphthalate (DEP) on the freshwater fish, <u>Cirrhina mrigala</u>, were studied by Ghorpade et al. (2002). Fish were treated with 25, 50, 100, and 200 mg/L DEP for 72 hours. All the fish in 100 and 200 mg/L were killed within 24 hours and 50% of those in 50 mg/L were killed in 72 hours. Only 10% of those treated with 25 mg/L were killed in 72 hours. Survivors of the treatment with 25 mg/L were treated for an additional three days. After that time, they were sacrificed and assayed for metabolic enzyme activities. There was a significant increase in liver and muscle acid phosphatase, alkaline phosphatase, alanine aminotransferase and succinic dehydrogenase in DEP-treated fish compared with positive and negative controls. Acetylcholinesterase in brain was significantly decreased. Results showed that the levels of enzymes could be profoundly altered by sublethal levels of DEP.

Studies on mammals (National Toxicology Program, 1982) provide areas of concern that should be addressed in determining the effects of phthalates in the aquatic environment. Phthalate esters in mammals have been found to be carcinogenic and teratogenic. A

number of developmental abnormalities were found in neonatal mice, including exencephaly, club foot, bent or absent tail, and vertebral abnormalities (Tomita et al. 1982).

One interesting aspect of phthalate esters is that they are inhibitors of the enzyme, HMG-CoA reductase, which controls the initial steps of cholesterol synthesis. In this respect, they resemble the statins, a popular human drug that inhibits the same enzyme (Cohen, 2005). Cholesterol is required in cell membranes to maintain their fluidity and properties so that too great an inhibition of cholesterol may result in muscular or neurological problems (Mathews and Van Holde, 1990). Because of the popularity of statins, human wastewater probably contains large amounts of statins (Barnes et al., 2002; Walker, 2005), which may act in an additive fashion with the phthalates to suppress HMG-CoA reductase in fish. Reduction of cholesterol synthesis in fish may affect osmoregulation, chemoreception, and reproductive function.

### Polychlorinated Hydrocarbons (PCHs)

Polychlorinated hydrocarbons (PCHs) are a group of aromatic hydrocarbons, which contain at least one chlorine molecule in their structure. These compounds are known as polychorinated biphenyls (PCBs) if they have a pair of ring structures to which various numbers of chlorine atoms are attached; polychlorinated dibenzo-dioxins (PCDDs) if there are a pair of oxygen atoms between the two chlorinated benzyl groups that form a six-membered ring; polychorinated benzyl groups that forms a five-membered (furan) ring. A discussion of the PCHs and their origins in the waters and sediments of Puget Sound is found in Sections I.4.4 and I.5.

PCBs exist in 209 congener forms, of which 20 of the planar congeners are the most toxic. All of these are fat soluble and residues are found most often in fat and liver tissues. Because of the lipid concentrations found in salmonid eggs, this developmental stage tends to concentrate the PCBs and shows the greatest sensitivity. Of all the fish tested, chinook salmon show the greatest sensitivity to effects of PCBs (Eisler and Belisle 1996).

Dioxins exist in 75 congener forms, of which 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) is the most common and most active congener. This molecule assumes a planar shape and is able to bind efficiently to the aryl hydrocarbon (Ah) receptor in cells. Biological activity is strongly correlated with binding of planar dioxins, furans, and PCBs to the Ah receptor. Substitutions which bend the molecule from a planar structure do not have a strong affinity for the Ah receptor and have little biological activity. Consequently, the various congeners vary widely in potency.

The most toxic congener of PCHs is 2,3,7,8 TCDD, and researchers have assigned "toxic equivalency factors" (TEFs), relative to 2,3,7,8 TCDD, to all the PCHs for which toxicity has been demonstrated (Van den Berg et al., 1998). TEFs are assumed to be additive in nature and have been established for humans and mammals, birds, and fish. TEFs are based on experimental studies where the endpoint was the binding affinity to the aryl

hydrocarbon receptor or the induction of cytochrome P4501A1 (Van den Berg et al., 1998). By using TEFs in conjunction with chemical residue data, "toxic equivalent" (TEQ) concentrations can be calculated for tissue, sediments and water. TEQs, in turn, can then facilitate remedial action as they represent the biological effect exerted by the sum of the PCH contaminants in the environment (Van den Berg et al., 1998).

PCHs are highly lipophilic and concentrate in tissues rich in lipids. In females, the most lipid-rich tissue is the developing egg. PCBs and other organochlorine compounds were shown to be tranferred from the flesh of female chinook salmon and lake trout to the developing eggs (Miller, 1993). ). Because of the lipophilic nature of these compounds, the concentrations in the water or sediments that result in contamination of eggs can be vanishingly small.

Dioxins and organochlorine compounds have been shown to cause reproductive impairment of salmonids in the Great Lakes (Ankley et al. 1991; Giesy et al., 1986; Mac and Edsall, 1991; Mac et al., 1985). Early stages are much more sensitive to PCDDs, PCDFs, and PCBs than adults (Spitsbergen et al., 1991; Walker et al., 1991). Egg concentrations of TCDD as low as 0.04 ng/g eggs significantly increased early life mortalities (Spitsbergen et al., 1991). Development of eggs of lake and rainbow trout containing high levels of PCHs resulted from half-hatched mortalities and fry with subcutaneous yolk sac edema, symptoms similar to blue-sac disease (Roberts and Shepard, 1986). As with other PCH effects, the symptoms were mediated through the Ah receptors and induction of cytochrome P450 1A1 (Walker and Peterson, 1991)

Newly hatched rainbow trout that were treated with the PCB, Arochlor 1260, showed decreased proportion of females in the population and severe abnormalities in the gonads (Matta et al., 1998). Although three concentrations of Arochlor 1260 were tested, levels in the fish from all three concentrations reached 2.1-2.5 ug/g after a three hour exposure. The authors were unable to relate the observed effects to inhibition of the aromatase enzyme, alteration of sex steroids, or endocrine mimicking by the Arochlor 1260.

Reports by Collier et al. (1999) have suggested that chinook salmon in the Puget Sound are exposed to PCHs in their food supply and that this exposure is correlated with decreased disease resistance. Using a plaque forming assay, Arkoosh et al. (1994) found the chinook salmon from Duwamish Waterway near Seattle were unable to invoke a Bcell response from anterior kidney leukocytes in response to a T-cell-dependent antigen. Fish from a non-polluted waterway or a nearby hatchery were capable of showing the response. Salmon from the polluted waterway could show a B-cell response to a T-cellindependent antigen, but the response was smaller than that from fish from the nonpolluted waterway or hatchery. Injection of Arochlor 1254 into chinook salmon caused suppression of B-cell responses to both T-cell-dependent ant T-cell-independent antigens (Arkoosh et al., 1994).

Mayer and Mayer (1985) showed that the susceptibility to disease of rainbow trout increased when they were exposed to Arochlor 1254 and 1260. Similarly, Spitzbergen et al. (1988) found that exposure of rainbow trout to TCDD or Arochlor 1254 resulted in a

decreased resistance to the virus IHNV. In another study, Spitsbergen et al. (1986) found that the mitogenic response of rainbow trout was partially suppressed by exposure to TCDD.

## II.4. Summary of Toxic Effects on Chinook Salmon

From analysis of the residues in the water, in the sediments, and in the tissues of chinook salmon from the Puget Sound, there is ample evidence of pollutant concentrations that may initiate many of the deleterious effects on salmon populations described here. While many of the studies cited here were conducted on single chemicals, or, at most, a mixture of a few chemicals, Puget Sound chinook salmon are actually exposed to water, sediments, and food that are contaminated by a large number of inorganic and organic pollutants. The exact nature of the combined toxic effects may be additive or multiplicative. Because the necessary research to determine the nature of the interaction of pollutants is lacking and because the salmon populations are in sharp decline, it must be assumed at this point that the complex mixture of pollutants faced by the salmon during their passage through Puget Sound is extremely detrimental to their populations.

From laboratory experiments, we know that the sublethal concentrations of the individual pollutants impair physiological functions at every stage in the life history of the salmon:

1) They interfere with the biochemical machinery of the cells.

2) They show various neurotoxic effects that interfere with normal behavior.

3) They inhibit the olfactory system in such a way to interfere with homing, predator avoidance, and spawning.

4) They interfere with the immune system, leading to increased mortality from diseases.

5) They increase the incidence of carcinogenesis through oxidized metabolites, DNA adducts and interference with DNA repair mechanisms.

6) They interfere with developmental processes, leading to reduced fertility, increased mortality of the young, and teratogenesis.

7) They act as endocrine disruptors, causing interference with the intricate balance of hormones needed for reproduction, osmoregulation, and homeostasis.

8) PBTs released at any concentration level are very probably harmful to chinook salmon, and other organisms, because of their persistent and bioaccumulating characteristics.

The Endangered Species Act requires that these widespread pollutants be regulated to ensure the continued survival of the salmon populations of Puget Sound.

Chinook Salmon	Oncorhynchus tshawytscha
Coho Salmon	Oncorhynchus kisutch
Chum Salmon	Oncorhynchus keta
Pink Salmon	Oncorhynchus gorbuscha
Rainbow Trout	Oncorhynchus mykiss (Formerly Salmo gairdneri)
Atlantic Salmon	Salmo salar
Brown Trout	Salmo trutta
Brook Trout	Salvelinus fontinalis
Bull Trout	Salvelinus confluentus
Lake Trout	Salvelinus namaycush
Dolly Varden Trout	Salvelinus malma
Bluegill	Lepomis macrochirus
Sheepshead Minnow	Cyprinodon variegatus
Common carp	Cyprinus carpio
Pike	Esox lucius
Golden Shiner	Notemigonus crysoleucas
English sole	Parophrys vetulus
Rock sole	Pleuronectes bilineatus
Sand sole	Psettichthys melanostictus
Flounder	Pseudopleuronectes americanus
Atlantic silverside	Menidia menidia

## **II.5.** Common and Scientific Names for Fish Described Above

## II.6. References

Afonso, L. O. B., P. M. Campbell, G. K. Iwama, R. H. Devlin, and E. M. Donaldson. 1997. The effect of the aromatase inhibitor fadrozole and two polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons on sex steroid secretion by ovarian follicles of coho salmon. General and Comparative Endocrinology 106:169-174.

Alabaster, J. S. and R. Lloyd. 1982. Water Quality Criteria for Freshwater Fish. Second Edition. Butterworths: London.

Anderson, D. P., O. W. Dixon, and F. W. van Ginkel. 1984. Suppression of bath immunization in rainbow trout by contaminant bath pretreatments. pp. 290-293. IN: M. Kende, J. Gainer, and M. Chirigos, eds. Chemical Regulation of Immunity of Veterinary Medicine. Alan R. Liss: New York.

Anderson, D. P., O. W. Dixon, J. E. Bodammer, and E. F. Lizzio. 1989. Suppression of antibody-producing cells in rainbow trout spleen sections exposed to copper in vitro. Journal of Aquatic Animal Health 1:57-61.

Anderson, D. P. 1996. Environmental factors in fish health: Immunological aspects. IN: Iwama, G. and T. Nakanishi, eds. The Fish Immune System. Organism, Pathogen, and Environment. Academic Press, New York. pp. 289-310

Ankley, G. T., D. E. Tiffitt, J. P. Geisy, P. D. Jones, and D. A. Verbrugge. 1991. Bioassay-derived 2,3,7,8-tetrachloro-p-dioxin equivalents in PCB-containing extracts from the flesh and eggs of Lake Michigan chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) and possible implications for reproduction. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 48:1685-1690.

Arillo, A., C. Margiocco, F. Melodia, and F. Mensi. 1982. Biochemical effects of longterm exposure to Cr, Cd, Ni on rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Rich.): Influence of sex and season. Chemosphere 11:47-57.

Arkoosh, M. R., E. Clemons, M. Myers, and E. Casillas. 1994. Suppression of B cellmediated immunity in juvenile chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) after exposure to either a polychlorinated aromatic hydrocarbon or to polychlorinated biphenyls. Immunopharmacology and Immunotoxicology 16:203-314.

Arkoosh, M. R., E. Casillas, E. Clemons, A. N. Kagley, R. Olson, P. Reno, and J. E. Stein. 1998. Effect of pollution on fish diseases: Potential impacts on salmonid populations. Journal of Aquatic Animal Health 10:182-190.

Arkoosh, M. R., E. Casillas, P. Huffman, E. Clemons, J. Evered, J. E. Stein and U. Varanasi. 1998. Increased susceptibility of juvenile chinook salmon from a contaminated estuary to <u>Vibrio anguillarum</u>. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 127:360-374.

1.doc

Arkoosh, M. R., J. E. Stein, and E. Casillas. 1994. Immunotoxicology of an anadromous fish: Field and laboratory studies of B-cell mediated immunity. pp. 33-48. IN: J. S. Stolen and T. C. Fletcher, eds. Modulation of Fish Immune Responses. Volume One. Models for Environmental Toxicology, Biomarkers, Immunostimulators. SOS Publications, Fair Haven, NJ.

Armstrong, F. A. J. 1979. Effects of mercury compounds on fish. p. 657. IN: J. O. Nriagu, ed. The Biogeochemistry of Mercury in the Environment. Elsevier/North Holland Biomedical Press, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Aronson, A. L. 1971. Biologic effects of lead in fish. Journal of Washington Academy of Sciences 61:124.

Autian, J. 1973. Toxicity and health threats of phthalate esters: Review of the literature. Environmental Health Perspectives 3:3-26.

Baker, R. J., M. D. Knittel, and J. L. Fryer. 1983. Susceptibility of chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) and rainbow trout (<u>Salmon gairdneri</u>) to infection with <u>Vibrio anguillarum</u> following sublethal copper exposure. Journal of Fish Diseases 6:267-275.

Baldisserotto, B., C. Kamunde, A. Matsuo, and C. M. Wood. 2004. Acute waterborne cadmium cadmium uptake in rainbow trout is reduced by dietary calcium carbonate. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 137C:363-372.

Baldwin, D. H., J. F. Sandahl, J. L. Labenia, and N. L. Scholz. 2003. Sublethal effects of copper on coho salmon: Impacts on nonoverlapping receptor pathways in the peripheral olfactory nervous system. Env. Toxicol. Chem. 22:2266-2274.

Bargagli, R., F. Monaci, J. C. Sanchez-Hernandez, and D. Cateni. 1998. Biomagnification of mercury in an Antarctic marine coastal food web. Marine Ecology, Progress Series 169:65-76.

Barnes, K. K., D. W. Kolpin, M. T. Meyer, E. M. Thurman, E. T. Furlong, S. D. Zaugg, and L. B. Barber. 2002. Water quality data for pharmaceuticals, hormones and other organic wastewater contaminants in U. S. streams, 1999-2000. Open File Report 02-94, U. S. Geological Survey, Washington, DC.

Benoit, D. A. 1976. Toxicity effects of hexavalent chromium on brook trout (<u>Salvelinus</u> fontinalis) and rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>). Water Research 10:497-500.

Bilinski, E. and R. E. E. Jonas. 1973. Effects of cadmium and copper on the oxidation of lactate by rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>) gills. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 30:1553-1558.

Billard, R. and P. Roubaud. 1985. The effect of metals and cyanide on fertilisation in rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>). Water Research 19:209-214.

Bouquegneau, J. M. 1977. ATPase activity in mercury intoxicated eels. Experientia 33:941.

Broman, D., C. Naf, I. Lundberg, and Y. Zebuhr. 1990. An <u>in situ</u> study on the distribution, biotransformation and flux of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHS) in an aquatic food chain (seston--<u>Mytilus edulis</u> L.--<u>Somateria mollissima</u>) from the Baltic: an ecotoxicological perspective. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 9:429-442.

Brown, G. E. and R. J. Smith. 1997. Conspecific skin extracts elicit antipredator responses in juvenile rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>). Canadian Journal of Zoology 75:1916-1925.

Brown, V. M., T. L. Shaw, and D. B. Shurben. 1974. Aspects of water quality and the toxicity of copper to rainbow trout. Water Research 8: 797.

Brown, V., D. Shurben, W. Miller, and M. Crane. 1994. Cadmium toxicity to rainbow trout <u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u> Walbaum and brown trout <u>Salmo trutta</u> L. over extended exposure periods. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 29:38-46.

Cairns, J., Jr. and J. J. Loos. 1967. Changed feeding rate of Brachydanio rerio (Hamilton-Buchanan) resulting from exposure to sublethal concentrations of zinc, potassium dichromate, and alkyl benzene sulfonate detergent. Proceedings of the Pennsylvania Academy of Science 40:47-58.

Calamari, D., J. F. Solbe, and G. Howells. 1994. Report on chromium and freshwater fish. pp. 1-30. IN: G. Howells, ed. Water Quality for Freshwater Fish. Further Advisory Criteria. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers: Langhorne, PA.

Callard, I. P. and G. V. Callard. 1987. Sex steroid receptors and non-receptor binding proteins. pp. 355-384. IN D. O. Norris and R. E. Jones, eds. Hormones and Reproduction in Fishes, Amphibians, and Reptiles. Plenum Press: New York.

Carpenter, K. E. 1927. The lethal action of soluble metallic salts on fish. British Journal of Experimental Biology 4:378.

Casillas, E., D. Misitano, L. L. Johnson, L. D. Rhodes, T. K. Collier, J. E. Stein, B. B. McCain and U. Varanasi. 1991. Inducibility of spawning and reproductive success of female English sole (<u>Parophrys vetulus</u>) from urban and nonurban areas of Puget Sound, Washington. Marine Environmental Research 31:99-122.

Cearley, J. E. and R. I. Coleman. 1974. Cadmium toxicity and bioconcentration in largemouth bass and bluegill. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 11:146-151.

Chapman, G. A. 1973. Effects of heavy metals on fish, p. 141-162. IN: Heavy Metals in the Environment, Water Resources Research Institute, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR.

Chapman, G. A. 1978. Toxicities of cadmium, copper and zinc to four juvenile stages of chinook salmon and steelhead. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 107:841-847.

Cohen, J. S. 2005. What You Must Know About Statin Drugs and their Natural Alternatives. Square One Publishers, Garden City Park, New York.

Colborn, T. and C. Clement, eds. 1992. Chemically-induced Alterations in Sexual and Functional Development: The Wildlife/Human Connection. Princeton Scientific Publishing Company, Inc.:Princeton, NJ.

Collier, T. K., M. A. Arkoosh, E. Casillas, M. S. Meyers, and J. E. Stein. 1999. Impaired health of juvenile Pacific salmon migrating through contaminated estuaries. Abstract: SETAC 20th Annual Meeting, November 14-16, 1999, Philadelphia, PA.

Committee on Biological Effects of Atmospheric Pollutants. 1974. Medical and Biologic Effects of Environmental Pollutants. Chromium. National Academy of Sciences: Washington, D. C.

Davies, P. H, J. P. Goettl, Jr., J. R. Sinley, and N. F. Smith. 1976. Acute and chronic toxicity of lead to rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>, to hard and soft water. Water Research 10:199.

Davis, J. C. 1975. Minimal dissolved oxygen requirements of aquatic life with emphasis on Canadian species: a review. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 32:2295-2332.

Davis, W. P. 1988. Reproductive and developmental responses in the self-fertilizing fish, <u>Rivulus marmoratus</u>, induced by the plasticizer, di-n-butylphthalate. Environmental Biology of Fishes 21:81-90.

Dedual, M. 1987. The effect of cadmium upon the structure of the olfactory nerve to young pike. Toxicology and Environmental Chemistry 4:227-231.

Dresel, E. I. B. and J. E. Falk. 1956. Studies on the biosynthesis of blood pigments. 3. Haem and porphyrin formation from delta-aminolevulinic acid and from porphobilinogen in haemolysed chicken erythrocytes. Biochemical Journal 63:80.

Dunier, M. B. 1994. Effects of environmental contaminants (pesticides and metal ions) on fish immune systems. pp. 123-139. IN: J. S. Stolen and T. C. Fletcher, eds. Modulators of Fish Immune Responses. Volume One. Models for Environmental Toxicology, Biomarkers, and Immunostimulators. SOS Publications, Fair Haven, NJ.

Eaton, J. G. 1974. Chronic cadmium toxicity to the bluegill (<u>Lepomis macrochirus</u> Rafinesque). Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 103:729-735.

Eaton, J. G., J. M. McKim, and G. W. Holcombe. 1978. Metal toxicity to embryos and larvae of seven freshwater fish species. I. Cadmium. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 19:95-103.

Ecology. 2006f. Water quality standards for surface waters of the state of Washington. Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.

Ecology. 2006g. Sediment management standards. Washington Department of Ecology, Olympia, WA.

Eisler, R. and A. A. Belisle. 1996. Planar PCB hazards to fish, wildlife, and invertebrates. A synoptic review. Contaminant Hazard Review # 31, National Biological Service, US Department of Interior, Washington, D. C., 75 pp.

Engelhardt, F. R., M. Wong, and M. E. Duey. 1981. Hydromineral balance and gill morphology in rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>, acclimated to fresh and salt water, as affected by petroleum exposure. Aquatic Toxicology 1:175-186.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986. Quality Criteria for Water ("Gold Book"). Document No. EPA 440/5-86-001. 1986. Washington D. C.

Evans, M. S., G. E. Noguchi, and C. P. Price. 1991. The biomagnification of polychlorinated biphenyls, toxaphene, and DDT compounds in a Lake Michigan offshore food web. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 20:87-93.

Farmer, G. J., O. Ashfield, and H. S. Samant. 1979. Effects of zinc on juvenile Atlantic salmon, <u>Salmo salar</u>: Acute toxicity, food intake, growth and bioaccumulation. Environmental Pollution 19:103-117.

Finlayson, B. J. and K. M. Verrue. 1982. Toxicities of copper, zinc and cadmium mixtures to juvenile chinook salmon. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 111:645-650.

Flis, J. (1968). Anatomicrohistopathological changes induced in carp <u>Cyprinus carpio</u> by ammonia water. II. Effects of subtoxic concentrations. Acta Hydrobiologica 10:225-233.

Gardner, G. R. 1975. Chemically induced lesions in estuarine or marine teleosts. pp. 657-693. IN: W. E. Ribelin and G. Migaki, eds. The Pathology of Fishes. University of Wisconsin Press: Madison, WI.

Giesy, J. P., J. Newstead, and D. L. Garling. 1986. Relationship between chlorinated hydrocarbon concentrations and rearing mortality of chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus</u> <u>tshawytscha</u>) eggs from Lake Michigan. Journal of Great Lakes Research 12:82-98.

Gill, T. S., C. P. Jagdish, and H. Tewari. 1989. Cadmium nephropathy in a freshwater fish, <u>Puntius conchonius</u>. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 18:165-172.

Goksoyr, A. and A.-M. Husoy. 1998. Immunochemical approaches to studies of CYP1A localization and induction by xenobiotics in fish. pp. 165-202. IN: T. Braunbeck, D. E. Hinton, and B. Streit, eds. Fish Ecotoxicology. Birkhauser Verlag:Basel, Switzerland.

Ghorpade N, V.Mehta, M. Khare, P.Sinkar, S. Krishnan, and C. V. Rao. 2002. Toxicity study of diethyl phthalate on freshwater fish <u>Cirrhina mrigata</u>. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 53:255-258.

Hansen, J. A., J. C. A. Marr, J. Lipton, D. Cacela, and H. L. Bergman. 1999. Difference in neurobiological responses of chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) and rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>) exposed to copper and cobalt: behavioral avoidance. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18:1972-1978.

Hansen, J. A., J. D. Rose, R. A. Jenkins, K. G. Gerow, and H. L. Bergman. 1999b. Chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) and rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>) exposed to copper: neurophysiological and histological effects on the olfactory system. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 18:1979-1991.

Hara, T. J., Y. M. C. Law, and S. McDonald. 1976. Effects of mercury and copper on the olfactory response in rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 33:1568-1573.

Harriss, R. C., D. B. White, and R. B. MacFarlane. 1970. Mercury compounds reduce photosynthesis by plankton. Science 170:736-737.

Hasler, A. D. and A. T. Scholz. 1983. Olfactory Imprinting and Homing in Salmon. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Hawkes, J. W. 1980. The effects of xenobiotics on fish tissues: morphological studies. Federation Proceedings 39:3230-3236.

Healey, M. C. 1991. Life history of chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>). pp. 319-393. IN: C. Groot and L. Margolis, eds. Pacific Salmon Life Histories. University of British Columbia Press: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Heath, A. G. 1995. Water Pollution and Fish Physiology. Second Edition. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL.

Henderson, C. and W. E. Shanks. 1973. Mercury contamination in fish. pp. 45-58. IN: D. R. Buhler, ed. Mercury in the Western Environment. Proceedings of a Workshop in Portland, Oregon, on February 25-26, 1971. Oregon State University:Corvallis, OR.

Hetrick, F. M., M. D. Knittel, and J. L. Fryer. 1979. Increased susceptibility of rainbow trout to infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus after exposure to copper. Applied Environmental Microbiology 37:198-201.

Hiroven, H., E. Ranta, J. Piironen, A. Laurila and N. Peuhkuri. 2000. Behavioral responses of naive Arctic char young to chemical cues from salmonid and non-salmonid fish. Oikos 88:191-199.

Hodson, P. V. 1976. Delta-amino levulinic acid dehydratase activity of fish blood as an indicator of a harmful exposure to lead. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 33:268.

Hodson, P. V., B. R. Blunt, D. J. Spry, and K. Austen. 1977. Evaluation of erythrocyte delta-amino levulinic acid dehydratase activity as a short-term indicator in fish of a harmful exposure to lead. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 34:501.

Holcombe, G. W., D. A. Benoit, E. N. Leonard, and J. M. McKim. 1976. Long-term effects of lead exposure on three generations of brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 33:1731.

Hunter, G. A. and E. M. Donaldson. 1983. Hormonal sex control and its application to fish culture. pp. 223-303. IN: W. S. Hoar, D. J. Randall, and E. M. Donaldson, eds. Fish Physiology. Volume IX. Reproduction. Part B. Behavior and Fertility Control. Academic Press:New York.

Jackim, E. 1973. Influence of lead and other metals on fish d-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase activity. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 30:560.

Johanssen-Sjobeck, M.-L. and A. Larsson. 1979. Effects of inorganic lead on deltaaminolevulinic acid dehydratase activity and hematological variable in the rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdnerii</u>. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 8:419-431.

Johnson, L. L., D. Misitano, S. Y. Sol, G. M. Nelson, B. French, G. M. Ylitalo, and T. Hom. 1998. Contaminant effects on ovarian development and spawning success in rock sole from Puget Sound, Washington. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 127:375-392.

Karara, A. H. and W. L. Hayton. 1984. Pharacokinetic model for the uptake and disposition of di-2-ethylhexyl phthalate in sheepshead minnows <u>Cyprinodon variagatus</u>. Aquatic Toxicology 5:181-195.

Katz, S. A. and H. Salem. 1994. The Biological and Environmental Chemistry of Chromium. VCH Publishers, Inc.: New York.

Khan, A. T. and J. S. Weis. 1993. Differential effects of organic and inorganic mercury on the micropyle of the eggs of <u>Fundulus heteroclitus</u>. Environmental Biology of Fish 37:323-327.

Kime, D. E. 1998. Endocrine disruption in fish. Klewer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA.

Klaprat, D. A., R. E. Evans, and T. J. Hara. 1992. Environmental contaminants and chemoreception in fishes. pp. 321-341. IN: T. J. Hara, ed. Fish Chemoreception. Chapman and Hall:New York.

Kleeman, J. M., J. R. Olson, and R. E. Peterson. 1988. Species differences in 2, 3, 7, 8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin toxicity and biotranformation in fish. Fundamentals in Applied Toxicology 10:206-213.

Klinck, J., M. Dunbar, S. Brown, J. Nichols, A. Winter, C. Hughes and R. C. Playle. 2005. Influence of water chemistry and natural organic matter on active and passive uptake of inorganic mercury by gills of rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>). Aquatic Toxicology 72:161-175.

Knittel, M. D. 1981. Susceptibility of steelhead trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson, to redmouth infection, <u>Yersinia ruckeri</u>, following exposure to copper. Journal of Fish Diseases 4:33-40.

Kozumbo, W. J., R. Kroll, and R. J. Rubin. 1982. Assessment of the mutagenicity of phthalate esters. Environmental Health Perspectives 45:103-109.

Kramer, D. L. 1987. Dissolved oxygen and fish behavior. Environmental Biology of Fishes 18:81-92.

Krummel, E. M., R. W. Macdonald, L. E. Kimpe, I. Gregory-Eaves, M. J. Demers, J. P. Smol, B. Finney, and J. M. Blais. 2003. Delivery of pollutants by spawning salmon. Nature 425:255-256.

Kuhnert, P. M., B. R. Kuhnert, and R. M. Stokes. 1976. The effect of <u>in vivo</u> chromium exposure on Na/K- and Mg-ATpase activity in several tissues of the rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>). Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 15:383-390.

Landahl, J. T., L. L. Johnson, J. E. Stein, T. K. Collier, and U. Varanasi. 1997. Approaches for determining effects of pollution on fish populations of Puget Sound. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 126:519-535. Larsson, A., B. E. Bengtsson, and C. Haux. 1981. Disturbed ion balance in the flounder, <u>Platichthys flesus</u> L., exposed to sublethal levels of cadmium. Aquatic Toxicology 1:19-35.

Lauren, D. J. and D. G. McDonald. 1987. Acclimation to copper by rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>: Biochemistry. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 44:105.

Leatherland, J. F. 1992. Endocrine and reproductive function in Great Lakes salmon. pp. 129-145. IN: Colborn, T. and C. Clement, eds. Chemically-induced Alterations in Sexual and Functional Development: The Wildlife/Human Connection. Princeton Scientific Publishing Company, Inc.:Princeton, NJ.

Leland, H. V. and J. S. Kuwabara. 1985. Trace metals. pp. 374-454. IN: Rand, G. M. and S. R. Petrocelli, eds. Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology. Taylor and Francis, Bristol, PA.

Lichatowich, J. A. 1999. Salmon Without Rivers: A History of the Pacific Salmon Crisis. Island Press, Washington, D. C.

Lichatowich, J. A. and J. D. McIntyre. 1987. Use of hatcheries in the management of Pacific anadromous salmonids. American Fisheries Society Symposium 1:131-136.

Lloyd, R. (1961). Effect of dissolved oxygen concentrations on the toxicity of several poisons to rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson). Journal of Experimental Biology 38:447-455.

Lloyd, R. and L. D. Orr. (1969). The diuretic response by rainbow trout to sub-lethal concentrations of ammonia. Water Research 3:335-344.

Lock, R. A. C., P. M. J. M. Cruijsen, and A. P. van Overbeeke. 1981. Effects of mercuric chloride and methylmercuric chloride on the osmoregulatory function of the gills in rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 68C:151.

Lorz, H. W. and B. P. McPherson. 1976. Effects of copper or zinc in fresh water on the adaptation to sea water and ATPase activity, and the effects of copper on migratory disposition of coho salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 33:2023-2030.

Mac, M. J., and C. C. Edsall. 1991. Environmental contaminants and reproductive success of lake trout in the Great Lakes: An epidemiological approach. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health 33:375-394.

Mac, M. J., C. C. Edsall, and J. G. Seelye. 1985. Survival of lake trout eggs and fry reared in water from the upper Great Lakes. Journal of Great Lakes Research 11:520-529.

MacFarlane, R. D., G. L. Bullock, and J. J. A. McLaughlin. 1986. Effects of five metals on susceptibility of striped bass to Flexibacter columnaris. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 115:227-231.

MacLeod, J. C. and E. Pessah. 1973. Temperature effects on mercury accumulation, toxicity, and metabolic rate in rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 30:485.

Malins, D. C., M. M. Krahn, M. S. Myers, L. D. Rhodes, D. W. Brown, C. A. Krone, B. B. McCain, and S.-L. Chan. 1985. Toxic chemicals in sediments and biota from a creosote-polluted harbor: relationships with hepatic neoplasms and other hepatic lesions in English sole (<u>Parophrys vetulus</u>). Carcinogenesis 6:1463-469.

Malins, D. C., B. B. McCain, D. W. Brown, S.-L. Chan, M. S. Myers, J. T. Landahl, P. B. Prohaska, A. J. Friedman, L. D. Rhodes, D. G. Burrows, W. D. Gronlund, and H. O. Hodgins. 1984. Chemical pollutants in sediments and diseases of bottom-dwelling fish in Puget Sound, Washington. Environmental Science and Technology 18:705-713.

Matta, M. B., C. Cairncross and R. M. Kocan. 1998. Possible effects of polychlorinated biphenyls on sex determination in rainbow trout. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 17:26-29.

Mathews, C. K. and K. E. Van Holde. 1990. Biochemistry. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Redwood City, California.

Matthiessen, P. and J. P. Sumpter. 1998. Effects of estrogenic substances in the aquatic environment. pp. 319-335. IN: T. Braunbeck, D. E. Hinton, and B. Streit, eds. Fish Ecotoxicology. Birkhauser Verlag: Basel, Switzerland.

Mayer, K. S. and F. L. Mayer. 1985. Waste transforms oil and PCB toxicity to rainbow trout. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 114:869-886.

Maynard, D. J. and D. D. Weber. 1981. Avoidance reactions of juvenile coho salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>) to monocyclic aromatics. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 38:772-778.

McIntyre, J. D. 1973. Toxicity of methy mercury for steelhead trout sperm. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 9:98-99.

McKim, J. M. and D. A. Benoit. 1974. Duration of toxicity tests for establishing "no effect" concentrations for copper with brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 31:449-

McKim, J. M., G. F. Olson, G. W. Holcombe, and E. P. Hunt. 1976. Long term effects of methymercuric chloride on three generations of brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>). Toxicity, accumulation, distribution, and elimination. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 33:2726.

McKinney, J. D., J. Fawkes, S. Jordan, K. Chae, S. Oatley, R. E. Coleman, and W. Briner. 1985. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) as a potent and persistent thyroxine agonist: A mechanistic model for toxicity based on molecular reactivity. Environmental Health Perspectives 61:41-53.

Miller, M. A. 1993. Maternal transfer of organochlorine compounds in salmonines to their eggs. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 50:1405-1413.

Moccia, R. D., J. F. Leatherland, and R. A. Sonstegard. 1981. Quantitative interlake comparison of thyroid pathology in Great Lakes coho (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>) and chinook (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) salmon. Cancer Research 41:2200-2210.

Moles, A., S. D. Rice, and S. Korn. 1979. Sensitivity of Alaskan freshwater and anadromous fishes to Prudhoe Bay crude oil and benzene. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 108:408-414.

Moore, A. and C. P. Waring. 1996. Sublethal effects of the pesticide Diazinon on olfactory function in mature male Atlantic salmon parr. Journal of Fish Biology 48:758-775.

Moran, D. T., J. C. Rowley, and G. Aiken. 1987. Trout olfactory receptors degenerate in response to water-borne ions: A potential bioassay for environmental neurotoxicology. IN: E. D. Roper and J. Atema, eds. Olfaction and Taste. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 510:509-511.

Muir, D. C. G., R. J. Norstrom, and M. Simon. 1988. Organochlorine contaminants in arctic marine food chains: accumulation of specific polychlorinated biphenyls and chlordan-related compounds. Environmental Science and Technology 22:1071-1078.

Murty, A. S. 1986. Toxicity of Pesticides to Fish. Volume 2. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

National Toxicology Program and the Interagency Regulatory Liaison Group. 1982. Conference on phthalates. Environmental Health Perspectives 45:1-210.

Neff, J. M. 1985. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. pp. 416-454. IN: G. M. Rand and S. R. Petrocelli, eds. Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology. Methods and Applications. Taylor & Francis:New York.

Neff, J. M. 2002. Bioaccumulation in Marine Organisms. Effect of Contaminants from Oil Well Produced Water. Elsevier Science Ltd:Kidlington, Oxford, UK.

Nehlson, W., J. E. Williams, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1991. Pacific salmon at the crossroads: Stocks at risk from California, Oregon, Idaho, and Washington. Fisheries 16:4-21.

Newburg-Rinn, S. D. 1982. Activities of the Environmental Protection Agency concerning phthalate esters. Environmental Health Perspectives 45:137-139.

Norris, L. A., H. W. Lorz, and S. V. Gregory. 1991. Forest chemicals. IN: Meehan, W. R., ed. Influences of Forest and Rangeland Management on Salmonid Fishes and Their Habitats. American Fisheries Society Special Publication 19:207-296.

O'Flaherty, E. J. 1995. Chromium toxicokinetics. pp. 215-228. IN: R. A. Goyer and M. G. Cherian, eds. Toxicology of Metals. Biochemical Aspects. Springer-Verlag:Berlin.

Oliver, B. G. and A. J. Niimi. 1988. Trophodynamic analysis of polychlorinated biphenyl congeners and other chlorinated hydrocarbons in the Lake Ontario ecosystem. Environmental Science and Technology 22:388-397.

Olsen, K. H. 1992. Kin recognition in fish mediated by chemical cues. pp 229-248. IN: T. J. Hara, ed. Fish Chemoreception. Chapman and Hall:New York.

Olsen, K. H. and N. R. Liley. 1993. The significance of olfaction and social cues in milt availability, sexual hormone status, and spawning behavior of male rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>). General and Comparative Endocrinology 89:107-118.

Olson, K. R., and P. O. Fromm. 1973. Mercury uptake and ion distribution in gills of rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>). Tissue scans with an electron microprobe. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 30:1575.

Olson, P. A. and R. F. Foster. 1956. Effect of chronic exposure so sodium dichromate on young salmon and rainbow trout. Hanford Biological Research Annual Report 1955(HW-41500): 35-47.

O'Neill, J. G. 1981a. The humoral immune response of <u>Salmo trutta</u> L. and <u>Cyprinus</u> carpio L. exposed to heavy metals. Journal of Fish Biology 19:297-306.

O'Neill, J. G. 1981b. Effects of intraperitoneal lead and cadmium on the humoral immune response of <u>Salmo trutta</u>. Bulletin of Environmental and Contaminant Toxicology 27:42-48.

O'Neill, J. G. 1981c. Heavy metals and the humoral immune response of freshwater teleosts. pp. 328-357. IN: A. D. Pickering, ed. Stress in Fish. Academic Press, New York.

Opperhuizen, A. and D. T. H. M. Sijm. 1990. Bioaccumulation and biotransformation of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in fish. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 9:175-186.

Part, P., and R. A. C. Lock. 1983. Diffusion of calcium, cadmium and mercury in a mucous solution from rainbow trout. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 76C:259-263.

Pascoe, D. and D. L. Mattey. 1977. Studies on the toxicity of cadmium to the threespined stickleback <u>Gasterosteus aculeatus</u> L. Journal of Fish Biology 11:207.

Payne, J. F. and L. F. Fancey. 1989. Effect of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on immune response to fish: Change in melanomacrophage centers in flounder (<u>Pseudopleuronectes americanus</u>) exposed to hydrocarbon-contaminated sediments. Marine Environmental Research 28:431-435.

Peterson, R. H. 1973. Temperature selection of Atlantic salmon (<u>Salmo salar</u>) and brook trout (<u>Salvelinus fontinalis</u>) as influenced by various chlorinated hydrocarbons. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 30:1091.

Peterson, R. H. 1976. Temperature selection of juvenile Atlantic salmon (<u>Salmo salar</u>) as influenced by various toxic substances. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 33:1722-?

Peterson, R. E., H. M. Theobald, and G. L. Kimmel. 1993. Developmental and reproductive toxicity of dioxins and related compounds: Cross-species comparisons. Critical Reviews in Toxicology 23:283-335.

Pierce, K. V., B. B. McCain, and S. R. Wellings. 1978. The pathology of hepatomas and other liver abnormalities in English sole (<u>Parophrys vetulus</u>) from the Duwamish River, Seattle, Washington. Journal of the National Cancer Institute 60:1445-1453.

Pierson, K. B. 1981. Effects of chronic zinc exposure on the growth, sexual maturity, reproduction, and bioaccumulation of the guppy, <u>Poecilia reticulata</u>. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 38:23-31.

Piferrer, F., S. Zanuy, M. Carrillo, I. I. Solar, R. H. Devlin, and E. M. Donaldson. 1994. Brief treatment with an aromatase inhibitor during sex differentiation causes chromosomally female salmon to develop as normal, functional males. Journal of Experimental Zoology 270:255-262. Powell, D. B., R. C. Palm, Jr., A. Skillman, and K. Godtfredsen. 2003. Immunocompetence of juvenile chinook salmon against <u>Listonella anguillarum</u> following dietary exposure to Arochlor 1254. Env. Toxicol. Chem. 22:285-299.

Purdy, J. E. 1989. The effectrs of brief exposure to aromatic hydrocarbons on feeding and avoidance behavior in coho salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>. Journal of Fish Biology 34:621-629.

Quinn, T. P. and C. A. Busack. 1985. Chemosensory recognition of siblings in juvenile coho salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>). Animal Behavior 33:51-56.

Reid, S. D. and D. G. McDonald. 1988. Effects of cadmium, copper and low pH on ion fluxes in the rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 45:244.

Roales, R. R. and A. Perlmutter. 1977. The effects of sub-lethal doses of methylmercury and copper, applied singly and jointly on the immune response of the blue gourami to viral and bacterial antigens. Archives of Environmental Chemistry and Toxicology 5:325-332.

Roberts, R. J. and C. J. Shepherd. 1986. Diseases of eggs and sac fry. pp. 94-101. IN: Handbook of Trout and Salmon Diseases, Second Edition. Fishing News Books:Farnham, Surrey, UK.

Roch, M. and E. J. Maly. 1979. Relationship of cadmium-induced hypocalcemia with mortality in rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>) and the influence of temperature on toxicity. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 36:1297-1303.

Rolland, R. M., M. Gilbertson, and R. E. Peterson, eds. 1997. Chemically Induced Alterations in Functional Development and Reproduction of Fishes. SETAC Press, Pensacola, FL.

Roubal, W. T. and D. C. Malins. 1985. Free radical derivatives of nitrogen heterocycles in liver of English sole (<u>Parophrys vetulus</u>) with hepatic neoplasms and other liver lesions. Aquatic Toxicology 6:87-105.

Sauer, G. R. and N. Watabe. 1988. The effects of heavy metals and metabolic inhibitors on calcium uptake by gills and scales of Fundulus heteroclitus in vitro. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 91C:473-478.

Saunders, R. L. and J. Sprague. 1967. Effects of copper-zinc mining pollution on a spawning migration of Atlantic salmon. Water Research 1:419-432.

Scholz, N. L., N. K. Truelove, B. L. French, B. A. Berejikian, T. P. Quinn, E. Casillas, and T. K. Collier. 2000. Diazinon disrupts antipredator and homing behaviors in

chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 57:1911-1918.

Schreck, C. B. 1996. Immunomodulation: Endogenous factors. pp. 311-337. IN: Iwama, G. and T. Nakanishi, eds. The Fish Immune System. Organism, Pathogen, and Environment. Academic Press, New York.

Sijm, D. T. H. M., H. Weaver, and A. Opperhuizen. 1993. Congener-specific biotransformation and bioaccumulation of PCDDs and PCDFs from fly-ash in fish. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 12:1895-1907.

Smith, C. E. and R. G. Piper. (1975). Lesions associated with chronic exposure to ammonia. pp. 497-514. IN: W. E. Ribelin and G. Migaki, eds. The Pathology of Fishes. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI.

Soderberg, R. W. (1985). Histopathology of rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson, exposed to diurnally fluctuating un-ionized ammonia levels in static-water ponds. Journal of Fish Diseases 8:57-64.

Soderberg, R. W. (1995). Flowing Water Fish Culture. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, FL.

Sorensen, E. M. 1991. Metal Poisoning in Fish. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Sorensen, P. W. 1992. Hormones, pheromones, and chemoreception. pp. 199-228. T. J. Hara, ed. Fish Chemoreception. Chapman and Hall:New York.

Spacie, A., P. F. Landrum, and G. J. Leversee. 1983. Uptake, depuration and biotransformation of anthracene and benzo(a)pyrene in bluegill sunfish. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 7:330-341.

Spacie, A. and J. L. Hamelink. 1985. Bioaccumulation. pp. 495-525. IN: Rand, G. M. and S. R. Petrocelli, eds. Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology. Taylor and Francis, Bristol, PA.

Speranza, A. W., R. J. Seeley, V. A. Seeley, and A. Perlmutter. 1977. The effects of sublethal concentrations of zinc on reproduction in the zebrafish, <u>Brachydanio rerio</u> Hamilton-Buchanan. Environmental Pollution 12:217-222.

Spitzbergen, J. M., K. A. Schat, J. M. Kleeman, and R. E. Peterson. 1986. Interactions of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) with immune responses of rainbow trout. Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology 12:263-280.

Spitzbergen, J. M., K. A. Schat, J. M. Kleeman, and R. E. Peterson. 1988. Effects of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) or Arochlor 1254 on the resistance of

rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson, to infectious hematopoeitic necrosis virus. Journal of Fish Diseases 11:73-83.

Spitzbergen, J. M., M. K. Walker, J. R. Olson, and R. E. Peterson. 1991. Pathologic alterations in early life stages of lake trout, <u>Salvelinus naymaykush</u>, exposed to 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin as fertilized eggs. Aquatic Toxicology 19:41-72.

Sprague, J. B. 1968. Avoidance reactions of rainbow trout to zinc sulphate solutions. Water Research 2:367-372.

Sprague, J. B. 1985. Factors that modify toxicity. pp. 124-163. IN: Rand, G. M. and S. R. Petrocelli, eds. Fundamentals of Aquatic Toxicology. Taylor and Francis, Bristol, PA.

Spry, D. J. and C. M. Wood. 1985. Ion flux rates, acid-base status, and blood gases in rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>, exposed to toxic zinc in natural soft water. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 42:1332-1341.

Stabell, O. B. 1992. Olfactory control of homing behavior in salmonids. pp. 249-270. IN: T. J. Hara, ed. Fish Chemoreception. Chapman and Hall:New York.

Stickle, W. B., T. D. Sabourin, and S. D. Rice. 1982. Sensitivity and osmoregulation of coho salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>, exposed to toluene and naphthalene at different salinities. pp. 331-348. IN: W. B. Vernberg, A. Calabrese, F. P. Thurberg, and F. J. Vernberg, eds. Physiological Mechanisms of Marine Pollutant Toxicity. Academic Press: New York.

Sugatt, R. H. 1980. Effects of sublethal sodium dichromate exposure in fresh water on the salinity tolerance and serum osmolality of juvenile coho salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus</u> <u>kisutch</u>, in sea water. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 9:41-52.

Theobald, H. M. and R. E. Peterson. 1994. Developmental and reproductive toxicity of dioxin and other Ah receptor agonists. pp. 309-346. IN: A. Schecter, ed. Dioxins and Health. Plenum Press:New York.

Thom, R. M. 1987. The biological significance of Pacific Northwest Estuaries. Northwest Environment Journal 3:21-42.

Thurson, R. V., R. C. Russo, and C. E. Smith. (1978). Acute toxicity of ammonia and nitrite to cutthroat trout fry. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 107:361-368.

Thuvander, A. 1989. Cadmium exposure of rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u> Richardson): Effects on immune functions. Journal of Fish Biology 35:521-529. Tollefsen, K-E., J. Frydenlund, J. Meys, and J. Stenersen. 2001. Estrogenic effects of phthalates in fish: an example of multiple modes of action. Abstract from the 2001 European SETAC Meeting. http://abstracts.co.allenpress.com/pweb/setaceu2001/document/?ID=22764.

Tomita, I, Y. Nakamura, Y. Yagi, and K. Tutikawa. 1992. Teratogenicity/Fetotoxicity of DEHP in mice. Environmental Health Perspectives 45:71-75.

Van den Berg, M, L. Birnbaum, A.T.C. Bosveld, B. Brunström, P. Cook, M. Feeley, J.P. Giesy, A. Hanberg, R. Hasegawa, S.W. Kennedy, T. Kubiak, J.C. Larsen, F.X.R. van Leeuwen, A.K.D. Liem, C. Nolt, R.E. Peterson, L. Poellinger, S. Safe, D. Schrenk, D. Tillitt, M. Tysklind, M. Younes, F. Wærn, and T. Zacharewski. 1998. Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for PCBs, PCDDs, PCDFs for humans and wildlife. Environmental Health Perspectives 106:775-792.

Varanasi, U. and D. J. Gmur. 1980. Metabolic activation and covalent binding of benzo(a)pyrene to deoxyribonucleic acid catalyzed by liver enzymes of marine fish. Biochemical Pharmacology 29:753-761.

Varanasi, U. and D. Markey. 1978. Uptake and release of lead and cadmium in skin and mucus of coho salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 60C:187.

Varanasi, U., W. L. Reichert, B.-T Le Eberhart and J. E. Stein. 1989. Formation and persistence of benzo(a)pyrene-diolepoxide-DNA adducts in liver of English sole (<u>Parophrys vetulus</u>). Chemical and Biological Interactions 69:203-216.

Varanasi, U., P. A. Robisch, and D. C. Malins. 1975. Structural alterations in fish epidermal mucus produced by waterborne lead and mercury. Nature 258:431.

Voccia, I., K. Krzystyniak, M. Dunier, D. Flipo, and M. Fournier. 1994. In vitro mercury-related cytotoxicity and functional impairment of the immune cells of rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>). Aquatic Toxicology 29:37-48.

Walker, E. T. 2005. www.lib.berkeley.edu/WRCA/WRC/pdfs/walker.pdf.

Walker, M. K. and R. E. Peterson. 1991. Potencies of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin, dibenzofuran, and biphenyl congeners, relative to 2, 3, 7, 8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin, for producing early life stage mortality in rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>). Aquatic Toxicology 21:219-238.

Walker, M. K. and R. E. Peterson. 1994. Aquatic toxicity of dioxins and related chemicals. pp. 347-387. IN: A. Schecter, ed. Dioxins and Health. Plenum Press:New York.

Walker, M. K., J. M. Spitzbergen, J. R. Olson, and R. E. Peterson. 1991. 2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) toxicity during early life stage development of lake trout (<u>Salvelinus namaycush</u>). Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 48:875-883.

Watson, T. A. and F. W. H. Beamish. 1980. Effects of zinc on branchial ATPase activity in vivo in rainbow trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 66C:77-82.

Webster, T. and B. Commoner. 1994. Overview: The dioxin debate. pp. 1-50. IN: A. Schechter, ed. Dioxins and Health. Plenum Press:New York.

Welsh, P. G., J. Lipton, G. A. Chapman, and T. L. Podrabsky. 2000. Relative importance of calcium and magnesium in hardness-based modifications of copper toxicity. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 19:1624-1631.

Wilson, R. C. H. 1972. Prediction of copper toxicity in receiving waters. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 29:1500.

Wisby, W. J. and A. D. Hasler. 1954. Effect of occlusion on migrating silver salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>). Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 11:472-478.

Wobeser, G. 1975. Acute toxicity of methyl mercury chloride and mercuric chloride for rainbow trout (<u>Salmo gairdneri</u>) fry and fingerlings. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 32:2005.

Woltering, D. M., J. L. Hedtke, and L. J. Weber. Predator-prey interactions of fishes under the influence of ammonia. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 107:500-504 (1978).

## Effect On Puget Sound Chinook Salmon Of NPDES Authorized Toxic Discharges As Permitted by Washington Department of Ecology

# APPENDICES

# A through E

# April 2006

## Appendices

## Appendix A. Curriculum Vitae

Appendix A.1 For Dave LaLiberte, MSCE, Environmental Engineer Appendix A.2 For Dick Ewing, Ph. D., Biologist

Appendix B.	Ecology NPDES Permitted Facilities In the Puget Sound Region
Appendix C.	Summary Waterbody Assessment Criteria
Appendix D.	Puget Sound Waterbody Assessment
Appendix E.	EIM Sediment Monitoring Data - Examples

Appendix A.

**Curriculum Vitae** 

## Appendix A.1

For Dave LaLiberte, MSCE, Environmental Engineer
# David M. LaLiberte, P.E. Principal Engineer



### **Summary:**

My qualifications comprise over eighteen years of experience in surface water quality analysis and evaluation, environmental quality control, pollution abatement, effluent treatment alternatives, discharge requirements for NPDES permits, and environmental design. I have managed and performed on many environmental project teams assisting state and federal agencies, as well as municipal and industrial facilities in Oregon, Idaho, Alaska, elsewhere in the Pacific NW, and throughout the USA.

Education:	M.S., Civil Engineering, Portland State University, 1990 B.S., Civil Engineering, Portland State University, 1988
Firm Membership:	Water Environment Federation (WEF)
<b>Registration:</b>	Professional Engineer (Civil and Environmental)

# LEA, Inc. Experience:

*Citizens for Responsibility v. Izaak Walton League,* Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for Lane County, Expert Analysis for Plaintiff evaluating the effects of lead contamination from shooting range into South Fork Spencer Creek (2004-2005). Sediment sampling was conducted for metals including lead, arsenic, copper and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). This information was evaluated for pollutant distribution and transport from the contaminated site and relative to upstream and downstream properties. Expert testimony was given at trial in 2004. Expert analysis and testimony was also provided in the subsequent equitable relief phase. Participation in the settlement conference was also provided.

*Oregon Department of Environmental Quality* - WQ Technical Assistance: Industrial Discharge Effluent Evaluation, Outfall Mixing Zone Analysis with Design Assessment (2002-03). Provided water quality evaluation and environmental engineering assistance to the Oregon DEQ. Work included receiving WQ analysis, outfall design review and mixing zone analysis. NPDES requirements were based on EPA Quality Criteria for Water, EPA Technical Support Document for Water-based Toxics Control (TSD) and State Administrative Rules. The mixing zone models CORMIX and PLUMES were evaluated relative to the cases at hand. Potential discharge chlorine residual and temperature requirements were evaluated. The effect of potential temperature Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs) in the Columbia River was also evaluated.

*Water Environment Services*, Clackamas County, Oregon - Water Quality Evaluations and NPDES Permit Requirements for the four (4) WES publicly owned treatment works (POTW) discharges (2000-2004, 1999). These included evaluation of discharge effects on the Willamette River (2 outfalls), Sandy River and a tributary of the Clackamas River. Field water quality sampling including detailed outfall mixing zone investigations. Water quality

assessment was conducted relative to effluent temperature, disinfection and ammonia requirements to protect fish and aquatic organisms. Effluent mixing zone simulation and analysis was performed. Treatment alternatives analysis and costing were undertaken to ensure existing and future discharge conditions were protective of river WQ. River outfall piping alignment and diffuser design was provided including construction management of river installation.

*Westport Sewer Service District*, Clatsop County, Oregon - MZ Evaluation with Alternative Disinfection (2003-2004). This project assessed water quality and mixing zone effects of disinfected treated wastewater discharged to Westport Slough, a segment of the Columbia River. Chlorine residual reduction or elimination was a key evaluation concern to satisfy Oregon DEQ requirements. Comparisons of alternative disinfection treatment scenarios and costs were performed that would allow the discharger to continue to meet WQ requirements. Ultraviolet disinfection, chlorination-dechlorination, and outfall diffuser feasibility were all investigated with comparison costs. In particular, the existing chlorination system was evaluated relative to how easily it could be retrofitted to function with dechlorination. The alternatives analysis aided the discharger in making a determination as to course of action.

*Canby Utility Board* - Industrial Discharge from Water Treatment Plant Study and Predesign (1999-2000) addressing Molalla River water quality issues with Oregon DEQ including treatment alternatives: filter backwash sedimentation basin, disinfected effluent dechlorination, river infiltration gallery design, intake piping system, and sediment and riparian effects mitigation.

*Water Environment Services* of Clackamas County Hoodland WWTP Outfall Project Descriptions and Costs (2000); FEMA engineering, budgeting and negotiations is intended to reimburse Clackamas County for flood damage to their wastewater treatment plant outfall on the Sandy River. Numerous regulatory issues affected costs including an ACE 404 permit for instream construction work, NMFS ESA Section 7 Consultation, and NEPA documentation including and environmental and biological assessments.

*City of Bremerton*, CSO Projects --A comprehensive review of the City of Bremerton, Washington collection system model was performed (2000). Hydraulic modeling was used to update information for the main sewer lines, combined sewer overflows and discharge conditions. Selected CSO reduction alternatives were evaluated and implemented. The purpose of the CSO reduction alternatives was accomplished and potential early action projects were identified. These projects yielded substantial CSO reductions while being quickly implemented at reasonable cost. Revised CSO baselines were produced conforming to Washington Department of Ecology requirments for Bremerton's 17 CSO outfalls. Expert witness testimony supporting the findings of the CSO baselines was provided in a hearing at the Federal Court in Seattle.

#### **Previous Experience (Montgomery Watson Americas)**

In addition, I have performed as project manager and/or project engineer on the following undertakings:

*City of Portland, Bureau of Environmental Services* included Water Quality Evaluations and Diffuser Designs (2000-2001, 1997, 1994) for wet and dry weather flows with chlorine residual discharges, and wet weather stormwater runoff for suspended solids and metals with potentially affected agencies including US Corps of Engineers, Oregon Division of State Lands, NOAA Fisheries, Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife and US Fish and Wildlife.

- Project Engineer for Water Environment Services of Clackamas County Kellogg Creek WWTP Odor Control Project. Participated as team engineer to design malodorous air collection system for headworks, primary clarifiers, secondary clarifiers, and dissolved air floatation thickening (DAFT) building. Malodorous air was passed through a biofilter for treatment.
- Project Engineer for Crescent City, California WWTP outfall mixing zone analysis. A major consideration of this project was developing alternative outfall pipeline alignments and an effective discharge location to optimize mixing.
- Project Manager/Engineer for the Kensington Mine in Alaska. PLUMES mixing zone modeling was used to evaluate the conditions affecting this industrial outfall.
- Project Manager/Engineer for the Hoodland WWTP Outfall project, which includes outfall diffuser design and construction (1998) in a sensitive Sandy River corridor.
- City of Bremerton Corrosion and Fluoridation Facility detention facility design. An on-site detention facility was designed pursuant to Washington Department of Ecology's requirements as specified in the *Puget Sound Stormwater Management Manual*.
- Project Task Manager—Jefferson County (Birmingham, Alabama) stream water quality analysis was performed relating to recommended NPDES permit limits for dry and wet weather conditions. Collection system analysis and treatment plant design constraints are also considerations in this potentially very large project.
- Project Engineer using Pizer's HYDRA, data compatible with the City's XP-SWMM format, to evaluate gravity flow conditions in the proposed dual outfall system consisting of two connected parallel outfall systems over one mile each and including wet weather (CSO) hydraulic structures such as flow control structures, mix boxes and outfall diffusers.
- Project Engineer evaluating stormwater hydrologic, hydraulic and quality conditions in Clackamas County for the CCSD#1. The graphically enhanced model, XP-SWMM, was used to develop the hydrology and hydraulics for the Kellogg and Mt. Scott Creeks basins in CCSD#1.
- Project Manager/Engineer evaluating stormwater hydrologic, hydraulic and quality conditions in Balch Creek Basin for the City of Portland, Bureau of Environmental Services, Oregon. The Army Corps of Engineers (COE) hydrographic model, (HEC-1) and hydraulic model (HEC-2) were applied to establish design criteria for flood magnitude, stormwater detention, water quality facility hydraulics and fish passage culvert hydraulics.

*City of Madison, Wisconsin* - stream water quality modeling analysis of POTW discharge relative to NPDES permitting requirements (1995-1996). A key objective of this study was restoration of base flows to the Sugar River Basin using high quality POTW effluent. An EPA QUAL2E model was developed for Badger Mill Creek and the Sugar River. Physical, chemical and biological simulation included temperature, algae, dissolved oxygen (DO), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total suspended solids (TSS) and ammonia. Particular attention was focused on the inter-relationships between temperature, climatological conditions, stream shading and channel conditions, DO, BOD and algal activity. Temperature and discharge point design alternatives were investigated using the model. It was demonstrated that, with minimal WWTP facility upgrading and cost, the City could beneficially discharge high quality effluent to surface streams. This assurance was primarily accomplished through detailed modeling analysis and model approach consensus building with regulators (WDNR). Some keys to the success of this project were in identifying important NPDES permitting issues, evaluating them with the model, recommending permit effluent limits and negotiating with regulators.

*Washington Beef, Incorporated* in Toppenish, Washington – Development of an NPDES permit under the direction of the EPA (1993-94). The project objective was development of receiving water based permit effluent limits for this food-products industry discharger using dissolved air floatation (DAF) treatment. Important project elements were: interfacing with regulatory (EPA Region 10 and Washington Ecology) and public agencies; evaluation of the effect of effluent parameters on receiving water using modeling analysis (EPA QUAL2E and EPA CORMIX); and providing long-term treatment system design recommendations. Fishery issues were of key concern for this project. Receiving water modeling was used to analyze the discharge effects of on stream dissolved oxygen and temperature on the aquatic environment. The inter-relationship between temperature, climatological conditions, stream shading and channel conditions, DO and algal activity were thoroughly investigated. Temperature and discharge design alternatives were evaluated using the water quality model.

# Previous Experience (Brown and Caldwell)

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and Oregon Department of State Land Conservation and Development - Non-point Source Pollution Control Guidebook for Local Government (1994) evaluation of non-point runoff pollution and control measures including detention facilities, sedimentation basins, water quality ponds and marshes; City of Portland, Bureau of Environmental Services (1989-90) - evaluated effects of combined sewer overflows and stormwater discharges on the Columbia Slough of the Columbia River. Hydrologic and water quality modeling support was provided including field sampling.

• Project Engineer for NPDES waste discharge permit review and support related to permit effluent limits for the City of Vancouver, Washington. Two tracer dye studies were performed at their two municipal WTP outfalls. The key project objective was to determine actual outfall dilution and provide a physical, receiving water basis for setting permit effluent limits. The mixing zone evaluations showed that actual dilution was greater than estimated by the regulatory agency (Washington Department of Ecology) and higher permit effluent limits were recommended.

Project Task Manager and Engineer for a comprehensive hydraulic and water quality compliance evaluation and recommendations. The City of Portland's Columbia Boulevard

WTP, the largest municipal discharger in Oregon (300 MGD), required assistance in meeting their water quality compliance needs. A highly detailed Columbia River tidal flow evaluation was performed in the outfall vicinity to serve as the basis for the mixing zone simulation and diffuser design. EPA CORMIX, and the EPA supported PLUME model family (including UDKHDEN), were used in the modeling analysis. A thorough investigation of water quality compliance options led to regulatory (ODEQ) approval of the multi-port diffuser design, the lowest cost compliance option.

- Project Engineer for Kehei, Hawaii Water Reuse Facility (1992). Participated as team engineer to design upgrades to the facility's aeration basin including aeration blower design and aeration basin air piping with small bubble diffusion.
- Project Engineer for the Columbia Slough flow augmentation project for the City of Portland Bureau of Environmental Services, Oregon. Dynamic water quality modeling (COE CE-QUAL-W2), water quality sampling, and hydrodynamic sampling were performed for this dynamic "freshwater" estuary. This project was driven by the City's need to evaluate the impact of water quality limited conditions on the Columbia Slough and was coupled to the City's EPA SWMM model. The objective was to propose best management practices (BMP) and evaluate design alternatives. The effect of temperature on the aquatic environment was examined in detail. The sophisticated two-dimensional (vertical and longitudinal) dynamic model evaluated temperature regimes and their effect on in-stream water quality. In-stream temperature design alternatives were investigated via simulation of climatological conditions, stream shading and channel conditions, algal processes and kinetics, and instream DO.
- Project Engineer conducting stormwater hydrologic and hydraulic simulation to evaluate flood effects for the City of Beaverton, Oregon. HEC-1 hydrographic modeling was conducted to generate peak flow values from surface runoff for existing and future conditions. HEC-1 model results for 2, 5, 10, 25, 50 and 100-year storm events were supplied to the HEC-2 model for detailed hydraulic analysis. The HEC-2 modeling was required as part of a cost assessment that included potential flood damage of key storm events.
- Project Manager and Engineer for a mixing zone evaluation and diffuser design for the City of Albany, Oregon. An outfall pipeline and 40 MGD capacity multi-port diffuser was designed for this municipal discharger using EPA CORMIX. Simulation was performed to optimize the diffuser design. The DEQ approved design will meet water quality compliance needs for chlorine and ammonia.
- Project Engineer mixing zone modeling and design for the City of Gresham, Oregon. Alternative disinfection and multiport diffuser design were evaluated. Modeling (EPA CORMIX) was utilized to optimize multiport diffuser design for this WWTP outfall. Simulation offered the flexibility to test numerous design conditions.
- Project Manager and Engineer for a mixing zone evaluation and diffuser design for the Unified Sewerage Agency, Washington County, Oregon. Analysis of four municipal treatment facility outfalls was conducted according to DEQ NPDES requirements. Model

simulation was performed to determine revised wet weather chlorine residual effluent limits. The models were calibrated to dye study results. Wet weather stream surveys were also performed at two sites, Hillsboro and Forest Grove. Alternative disinfection was evaluated and diffuser design recommendations were also made.

- Project Manager and Engineer for outfall mixing zone simulation and water quality compliance evaluation for the Oak Lodge Sanitary District, Oregon. As part of NPDES permit requirements, model simulation was performed to characterize the municipal discharge-mixing zone. Available dilution values and recommended permit effluent limits for chlorine, ammonia and metals were derived from the study.
- Project Manager for a mixing zone evaluation and diffuser recommendations for Electronic Controls Devices, Incorporated. A mixing zone field evaluation of this circuit board manufacturer's discharge was performed. Very low amounts of organics and metals from the facility discharge needed to be discharged to a small stream in a responsible manner. This study illustrated that the discharge was well within compliance requirements.

# Previous Experience (Portland State University Research Assistant)

*City of Portland, Bureau of Environmental Services* (1989-90) - evaluated effects of combined sewer overflows and stormwater discharges on the Columbia Slough of the Columbia River. Hydrologic and water quality modeling support was provided including field sampling.

- Project Engineer evaluating combined sewer overflows (CSO) and stormwater discharges on the Columbia Slough. Hydrologic and water quality modeling, using the City's EPA SWMM model data, of urban runoff from sub-basins discharging to the Columbia Slough was supplied as input to the Army Corps of Engineers in-stream surface water model, CE-QUAL-W2. This study was performed for the City of Portland, Bureau of Environmental Services in Oregon.
- Project Engineer for the South Slough National Estuarine Reserve Hydrodynamic and Water Quality Study, State of Oregon, Division of State Lands, Charleston, Oregon. Dynamic water quality modeling, water quality sampling, and hydrodynamic sampling were performed for this southern section of the Coos Bay estuary. Tracer (rhodamine) dye study results were used to calibrate the Army Corps of Engineers CE-QUAL-W2 water quality model.
- Project Engineer for design of stream flow measurement structures on two tributaries of the South Slough National Estuarine Reserve (State of Oregon, Division of State Lands) in Charleston, Oregon. Analysis and design of stream flow measurement structures was required as part of a study assessing the hydrology and hydraulics of this pristine estuary.
- Project Engineer for a hydrologic, hydraulic and water quality assessment of Smith and Bybee Lakes in Portland, Oregon. Lake sampling and modeling was performed. The objective of the study was to evaluate the potential for water quality impairment due to the close proximity of St. John's municipal landfill and Columbia (North) Slough inflow. A hydraulic model of possible flow control structures was incorporated into the Army Corps of Engineers CE-QUAL-W2 hydrodynamic and water quality model. Recommended

actions were advanced for improving lake water quality based on simulation scenarios. This study was conducted as part of a larger study for the Port of Portland, Metropolitan Service District, and City of Portland, Bureau of Environmental Services, Portland, Oregon.

- Project Engineer for evaluation of fish screen approach velocities and hydraulic design analysis for the Eugene Water and Electric Board, Leaburg, Oregon. The effects of downstream baffles on velocities through fish screens at the Leaburg Power Canal Facility were evaluated for fish passage.
- Project Manager and Engineer assessing the water quality impact of urban runoff from the Leadbetter storm outfall discharge to Bybee Lake. This study was conducted for the Port of Portland, Portland, Oregon.
- Project Engineer assisting in initial field work and model development for assessing impact of landfill leachate on surrounding surface waters. Conducted for the Metropolitan Service District (METRO) as part of the St. Johns Landfill closure.

# **Publications and Presentations**

<u>Stream Temperature Trading</u>, Presented at the Pacific Northwest Pollution Control Annual Conference, 2001, Bend, Oregon.

Winter Temperature Gradients in Circular Clarifiers (January 1999), Water Environment Research, 70, 1274.

Wet Weather River Diffuser Port Velocities: The Energetic Debate, Presented at the Pacific Northwest Pollution Control Annual Conference 1998, Portland, Oregon.

<u>Near Field Mixing and Regulatory Compliance Implications</u> Presented at Portland State University, February, 1998.

<u>Whither the Wet Weather Flow</u>, Presented at the Pacific Northwest Pollution Control Annual Conference 1997, Seattle, Washington.

# Appendix A.2

For Dick Ewing, Ph. D., Biologist

#### RESUME

Name: Richard Dennis Ewing

Address: 2340 S. E. Ryan St. Corvallis, Oregon 97333

Business Phone: 541-752-8259

**FAX**: 541-758-7005

E-Mail: dickewing8@yahoo.com

#### Education:

- B. A. in biology, Reed College, Portland, Oregon, 1962
- Ph. D. in cell and molecular biology, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Florida, 1968.

#### **Professional Career:**

1967-1970 Postdoctoral research fellow at Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Research on nucleotide and lipid metabolism.

1970, summer. Postdoctoral fellow in fertilization and gamete physiology program under Dr. Charles Metz, Marine Biological Laboratory, Wood's Hole, Mass.

1970-1971. Postdoctoral fellow in the chemistry department under Dr. Max Tishler, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn.

1971-1972. Research associate, zoology department, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, under Dr. Frank Conte. Research on mechanisms of osmoregulation in crustaceans.

1972-1973. Temporary assistant professor in zoology department, Oregon State University. Taught general physiology, cell physiology, and cell physiology laboratory.

1973-1975. Research associate, zoology department, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, under Dr. Frank Conte. Principal investigator of NSF grant on the biogenesis of (Na+K)-ATPase in crustaceans.

1975-1991. Associate professor (courtesy) in zoology department, Oregon State University.

1975-1992. Fishery research physiologist, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Corvallis, Oregon. Research on parr-smolt transformation and migration in salmonids and improved methods of hatchery rearing for salmonids.

1984-1988. Courtesy faculty position with biology department, Linfield College, McMinnville, Oregon.

1985-1992. Owner, Biotech Biochemical Analyses. Biochemical analyses on fish tissues.

1987-1992. Owner, Biotech Aquaculture Services. Consulting on fisheries issues.

1989-2002. Associate professor (courtesy) in fish and wildlife department, Oregon State University.

1992-present. President of small corporation, Biotech Research and Consulting, Inc., resulting from consolidation of two sole proprietorship companies.

#### **Other Professional Achievements**

Member of AAAS, AFS, American Physiological Society, World Aquaculture Society.

Award for Excellence in Fisheries, American Fisheries Society, 1987.

Editor, Northwest Fish Hatchery Newsletter, 1985-1995.

Co-editor, Hatchery Nutrition Newsletter, started in 1992.

Associate Editor, Progressive Fish Culturist, 1990-1993.

Member of the technical subcommittee on oxygen standards in Oregon rivers for the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, 1993.

Finalist, AFS Best Paper Award for Progressive Fish Culturist, 1994.

Member of Multhomah County Health Division Task Force on West Nile Virus. Responsible for developing a plan for responding to possible virus outbreak. 2004

Present 1-4 papers per year at professional meetings, including Northwest Fish Culture Conference, Oregon American Fisheries Society meeting, Annual Smolt Workshops, International Smolt Conferences, etc.

Authored or co-authored over 50 reports to funding agencies.

Authored or co-authored about 60 papers in refereed journals.

# Relevant Experience

- Responsible for an experimental fish laboratory at Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Corvallis. 1975-1992. Duties included sterilization of eggs, rearing of fry, maintenance of juveniles for up to five years under conditions that permitted use of the fish in smolting experiments.
- Occasional testing of toxicity in salmonid fry and juveniles for the Engineering Department of Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. 1975-1992.
- Chemical safety officer for Research Laboratory, Corvallis, of the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, 1975-1992. Duties included writing a chemical safety manual for the laboratory.
- Project leader for the Hatchery Practices Group of Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Our group of six people was responsible for examining and solving hatchery problems of a scientific nature within ODFW fish hatcheries, writing up this information in reports, and conveying useful information to the hatchery personnel.
- Collection and analysis of water quality data from experimental use of oxygen supplementation and Michigan raceways at Willamette Hatchery, Oakridge, OR. 1988-2000. Results formed one of the most comprehensive collections of water quality data for a salmonid hatchery.
- Review of the sublethal effects of pesticides on salmonids for the Northwest Coalition for Alternatives to Pesticides. 1998-1999.
- Analysis of herbicides in runoff from spray operations in the Alsea River basin, Alsea Citizens Committee, 1998-2000. Report submitted in 2000 and posted on the web at www.audubon.org.
- Collection and analysis of pesticide runoff after forest spraying for Boise, Inc. 2003.
- Analysis of pesticide runoff after forest spraying for the Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians, 1999-2004.
- Expert witness for Montgomery Watson Americas concerning litigation by Alaska Department of Fish and Game over construction and operation of Fort Richardson Fish Hatchery. 2003.
- Expert witness for Patti Goldman, Earth Justice, Seattle concerning litigation between pesticide groups and US Environmental Protection Agency, 2002.
- Expert witness for National Environmental Law Center concerning litigation between OSPIRG and Pacific Seafoods Company, 2005

### Recent Projects for Biotech Research and Consulting, Inc.

- Analysis of cortisol and gill ATPase activities in chinook salmon for the U. S. Geological Survey Columbia River Research Laboratory, 2005-2006.
- Feed proximate analysis for the Fisheries Research Station, Utah Department of Natural Resources, 2005
- Analysis of smolt indices in net pen reared chinook and coho salmon for the Clatsop Economic Development Council, 2005
- Determination of astaxanthin concentrations in feed samples for Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2005
- Analysis of smolt indices in net pen reared chinook and coho salmon for the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2005.
- Collection and analysis of plasma samples for cortisol concentration in relation to water temperatures for Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians. 2004
- Analysis of physiological samples for Washington Cooperative Fisheries Research Unit which was examining the effects of selected pesticides on the seawater tolerance of salmonids. 2004
- Compilation of a database on chinook salmon for the Center for Aquaculture and Biosciences International, London. 2004.
- Analysis of calcium and strontium concentrations in streams of Eastern Oregon for Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. 2004.
- Consultant with Normandeau, Inc., on an Army Corps of Engineers grant looking at aspects of fisheries biology on the Columbia River, 2001-2004.
- Analysis of hormones in lamprey in the Columbia basin, U. S. Geological Service, 2001-2003.
- Analysis of physiological parameters in adaptation of sturgeon to saltwater, U of California, Davis, 2001-2003.
- Analysis of enzyme activities and metabolites in chinook salmon undergoing migration through the Columbia River, University of Idaho, 1997-2003.
- Analysis of water quality after carcass placement in the Lostine River, Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2001-2002.
- Calculations of amount of oxygen required and development of an experimental plan for increasing production through oxygen supplementation at Gnat Creek Hatchery, Clatsop Economic Development Commission, 2002
- Review of Salmon Safe policy for Portland Department of Parks and Recreation, 2002.

### PUBLICATIONS

1. Ewing, R. D. and J. S. Clegg. 1965. An apparent absence of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) isozymes during the development of <u>Artemia</u> salina. Am. Zool. 5:442.

2. Ewing, R. D. and J. S. Clegg. 1969. Lactate dehydrogenase activity and anaerobic metabolism during embryonic development of <u>Artemia salina</u>. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 31:297-307.

3. Ewing, R. D. and F. J. Finamore. 1970. Phospholipid metabolism during development of the brine shrimp <u>Artemia salina</u>. I. Incorporation of cytidine 5'-phosphate into cytidine diphosphate choline by a microsomal enzyme system. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 218:463-473.

4. Ewing, R. D. and F. J. Finamore. 1970. Phospholipid metabolism during development of the brine shrimp <u>Artemia salina</u>. II. Synthesis of phosphatidyl choline by a microsomal enzyme system from nauplii. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 218:474-481.

5. Ewing, R. D., and J. S. Clegg. 1972. Evidence for a single macromolecular form of lactate dehydrogenase in <u>Artemia salina</u>. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 150:566-572.

6. Ewing, R. D., G. L. Peterson, and F. P. Conte. 1972. Larval salt gland of <u>Artemia salina</u> nauplii. Effect of inhibitors on survival at various salinities. J. Comp. Physiol. 80:247-254.

7. Ewing. R. D. 1973. Cholinephosphotransferase activity during early development of the sea urchin <u>Arbacia punctulata</u>. Dev. Biol. 31:234-241.

8. Conte, F. P., G. L. Peterson, and R. D. Ewing. 1973. Larval salt gland of <u>Artemia salina</u> nauplii. Regulation of protein synthesis by environmental salinity. J. Comp. Physiol. 82:277-289.

9. Ewing, R. D., G. L. Peterson, and F. P. Conte. 1974. Larval salt gland of <u>Artemia salina</u> nauplii. Localization and characterization of the sodium- and potassium-activated adenosinetriphosphatase. J. Comp. Physiol. 88:217-234.

10. Peterson, G. L., R. D. Ewing, and F. P. Conte. 1975. De novo synthesis of larval brine shrimp (Na+K)-activated ATPase. Fed. Proc. 34:772.

11. Ewing, R. D. and S. L. Johnson. 1976. A simplified procedure for analysis of (Na+K)-activated ATPase. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Information Report Series No 76-3, 10 pp.

12. Johnson, S. L., R. D. Ewing, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1977. Characterization of gill (Na+K)-activated adenosine triphosphatase from chinook salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>. J. Exp. Zool. 199:345-354.

 Ewing, R. D., S. L. Johnson, H. J. Pribble, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1977. Parr-smolt transformation in chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) W. I. Gill (Na+K)-activated adenosine triphosphatase activity under various temperature and photoperiod regimes. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Information Report Series No. 77-4, 16 pp.

14. Conte, F. P., P. C. Droukas, and R. D. Ewing. 1977. Development of sodium regulation and de novo synthesis of Na+K-activated ATPase in larval brine shrimp, <u>Artemia salina</u>. J. Exp. Zool. 202:339-362.

15. Stilwell, F. P., J. K. Adkins, M. D. Evenson, R. D. Ewing, and J. T. Martin. 1977. Determination of salmonid egg mortality resulting from closure of Lost Creek Dam, September 1, 1976-April 30, 1977. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Information Report Series No. 77-9, 28 pp.

16. Peterson, G. L., R. D. Ewing, S. R. Hootman, and F. P. Conte. 1978. Large scale purification and molecular and kinetic properties of the (Na+K)-activated adenosine triphosphatase from <u>Artemia salina</u> nauplii. J. Biol. Chem. 253:4762-4770.

17. Strange, R. J., C. B. Schreck, and R. D. Ewing. 1978. Cortisol concentrations in confined juvenile chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>). Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 107:812-819.

 Peterson, G. L., R. D. Ewing, and F. P. Conte. 1978. Membrane differentiation and de novo synthesis of the (Na+K)-activated adenosine triphosphatase during development of <u>Artemia salina</u> nauplii. Dev. Biol. 67:90-98.

19. Ewing, R. D., S. L. Johnson, H. J. Pribble, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1979. Temperature and photoperiod effects on gill (Na+K)-ATPase activity in chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. <u>36</u>:1347-1353.

20. Ewing, R. D., C. A. Fustish, S. L. Johnson, and H. J. Pribble. 1979. Downstream migration of juvenile chinook salmon without elevated gill (Na+K)-ATPase activities. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Information Report Series No 79-2, 20 pp.

21. Ewing, R. D., F. P. Conte, and G. L. Peterson. 1980. Regulation of nucleic acid synthesis in <u>Artemia salina</u> nauplii by environmental salinity. Am. J. Physiol. 238:R91-R96.

22. Ewing, R. D., H. J. Pribble, S. L. Johnson, C. A. Fustish, J. Diamond, and J. A. Lichatowich. 1980. Influence of size, growth rate, and photoperiod on cyclic changes in gill (Na+K)-ATPase activity in chinook salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37:600-605.

23. Ewing, R. D., C. A. Fustish, S. L. Johnson, and H. J. Pribble. 1980. Seaward migration of juvenile chinook salmon without elevated gill (Na+K)-ATPase activities. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 190:349-356. 24. Hart, C. E., G. Concannon, C. A. Fustish, and R. D. Ewing. 1980. The use of a model system for studying seaward migration of Deschutes River juvenile spring chinook salmon. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Information Report Series No. 80-5, 20 pp.

25. Conte, F. P., J. Lowy, J. Carpenter, A. Edwards, R. Smith, and R. D. Ewing. 1980. Aerobic and anaerobic metabolism of nauplii of Artemia salina as a function of salinity. IN: <u>The Brine Shrimp, Artemia</u>. <u>Vol. 2. Physiology</u>, <u>biochemistry, and molecular biology</u>. G. Persoona, P. Sorgloos, O. Roels, E. Jasper, eds. Universal Press, Wetteve, Belgium.

26. Hart, C. E., G. Concannon, C. A. Fustish, and R. D. Ewing. 1981. Seaward migration and gill (Na+K)-ATPase activity of spring chinook salmon in an artificial stream. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 110:44-50.

27. Birks, E. and R. D. Ewing. 1981. Characterization of hydroxyindole-Omethyltransferase (HIOMT) from the pineal gland of chinook salmon <u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>. Gen. Comp. Endocrin. 43:269-276.

28. Birks, E., and R. D. Ewing. 1981. Photoperiod effects on hydroxyindole-Omethyltransferase activity in the pineal gland of chinook salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus</u> <u>tshawytscha.</u> Gen. Comp. Endo<u>crin. 43:277-283.</u>

<u>29.</u> Ewing, R. D., and E. K. Birks. 1982. Criteria for parr-smolt transformation in juvenile chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>). Aquaculture 28:185-194.

30. Buckman, M. and R. D. Ewing. 1982. Relationship between size and time of entry into the sea and gill (Na+K)-ATPase activity of juvenile chinook salmon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 111:681-687.

31. Ewing, R. D., M. D. Evenson, and E. K. Birks. 1983. Infra-red fish counter for measuring migration of juvenile salmonids. Prog. Fish-Cult. 45:53-55.

32. Ewing, R. D., C. E. Hart, C. A. Fustish, and G. Concannon. 1984. Effects of size and time of release on seaward migration of chinook salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>. Fish. Bull. 82:157-164.

33. Redding, J. M., C. B. Schreck, E. K. Birks, and R. D. Ewing. 1984. Cortisol and its effects on plasma thyroid hormone and electrolyte concentrations in fresh water and during seawater acclimation in yearling coho salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 56:146-155.

34. Ewing, R. D., M. D. Evenson, E. K. Birks, and A. R. Hemmingsen. 1984. Indices of parr-smolt transformation in juvenile steelhead trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>, undergoing volitional release at Cole Rivers Hatchery, Oregon. Aquaculture 40:209-221.

35. Evenson, M. D. and R. D. Ewing. 1985. Long-term retention of fluorescent pigment marks by spring chinook salmon and summer steelhead. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 5:26-32.

36. Birks, E. K., R. D. Ewing, and A. R. Hemmingsen. 1985. Migration tendency in juvenile steelhead trout, <u>Salmo gairdneri</u>, injected with thyroxine and thiourea. J. Fish. Biol. 26:291-300.

37. Ewing, R. D., A. R. Hemmingsen, M. D. Evenson, and R. L. Lindsay. 1985. Gill (Na+K)-ATPase activity and plasma thyroxine concentrations do not predict time of release of hatchery coho (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>) and chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) for maximum adult returns. Aquaculture 45:359-373.

38. Barton, B. A., C. B. Schreck, R. D. Ewing, A. R. Hemmingsen, and R. Patino. 1985. Changes in plasma cortisol during stress and smoltification in coho salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 59:468-471.

39. Hemmingsen, A. R., R. G. Sheldon, and R. D. Ewing. 1986. Comparison of adult returns to a hatchery from subyearling and yearling coho salmon released at similar sizes and different times. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 6:204-208.

40. Hemmingsen, A. R., R. H. Holt, J. D. McIntyre, and R. D. Ewing. 1986. Susceptibility of progeny from crosses among three stocks of coho salmon to infection by <u>Ceratomyxa shasta</u>. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 115:492-495.

41. Birks, E. K. and R. D. Ewing. 1986. Seasonal changes in pineal melatonin content and hydroxyindole-O-methyltransferase activity in juvenile chinook salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus tshawytcha</u>. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 64:91-98.

42. Rodgers, J. D., R. D. Ewing, and J. D. Hall. 1987. Physiological changes during seaward migration of wild juvenile coho salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 44:452-457.

43. Ewing, R. D., B. P. McPherson, and T. D. Satterthwaite. 1990. Effects of varied rearing temperatures and feeding regimes on retention of Bismark brown Y stain in alevins of chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>). Prog. Fish-Cult., 52:231-236.

44. Staley, K. B. and R. D. Ewing. 1992. Purine levels in the skin of juvenile coho salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>) during parr-smolt transformation and adaptation to seawater. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., 101B: 447-452.

45. Evenson, M. D. and R. D. Ewing. 1992. Migration characteristics and survival of winter steelhead undergoing volitional release from Cole Rivers Hatchery, Oregon. N. Am. J. Fish. Manag. 12:736-473.

46. Evenson, M. D. and R. D. Ewing. 1992. The effect of exercise of juvenile winter steelhead (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>) on the return of adults to Cole Rivers Hatchery, Oregon. Prog. Fish-Cult. 55:180-183.

47. Ewing, R. D., D. Barratt, and D. Garlock. 1994. Physiological changes related to migration tendency in rainbow trout (<u>Oncorhynchus mykiss</u>). Aquaculture 121:277-287.

48. Ewing, R. D., T. R. Walters, M. A. Lewis, and J. E. Sheahan. 1994. Evaluation of inventory procedures for hatchery fish. I. Estimating weights of fish in raceways and transport trucks. Prog. Fish-Cult. 56:153-159.

49. Lewis, M. A., T. R. Walters, and R. D. Ewing. 1994. Evaluation of inventory procedures for hatchery fish. II. Variation in specific gravity of Pacific salmonids during rearing. Prog. Fish-Cult. 56:160-168.

50. Ewing, R. D., D. V. Buchanan, and M. Wade. 1995. Effect of hatchery lighting on smolting, migration, and survival of steelhead. Prog. Fish-Cult. 57:70-77.

51. Ewing, R. D. and S. K. Ewing. 1995. Review of the effects of rearing density on survival to adulthood for Pacific salmon. Prog. Fish-Cult. 57:1-25.

52. Ewing, R. D. and J. E. Sheahan. 1996. Airlift debris removal system for water intake structures. Prog. Fish-Cult. 58:284-285.

53. Ewing, R. D., M. A. Lewis, J. E. Sheahan and S. K. Ewing. 1998. Evaluation of inventory procedures for hatchery fish. III. Nonrandom distributions of chinook salmon in raceways. Prog. Fish-Cult. 60:159-166.

54. Ewing, R. D., J. E. Sheahan, M. A. Lewis, and A. N. Palmisano. 1998. Effects of rearing density and raceway conformation on growth, food conversion, and survival of juvenile spring chinook salmon. Prog. Fish-Cult. 60:167-178.

55. Ewing, R. D. and J. D. Rodgers. 1998. Changes in physiological indices of smolting during seaward migration of wild coho salmon, <u>Oncorhynchus kisutch</u>. Aquaculture 168:69-83.

56. Beckman, B. R., W. W. Dickhoff, W. S. Zaugg, C. Sharpe, S. Hirtzel, R. Schrock, D. A. Larsen, R. D. Ewing, A. Palmisano, C. B. Schreck, and C. V. W. Mahnken. 1999. Growth, smoltification, and smolt-to-adult return of spring chinook salmon from hatcheries on the Deschutes River, Oregon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 128:1125-1150.

57. Ewing, R. D. 1999. Diminishing Returns. Salmon decline and pesticides. Oregon Pesticide Education Network, Portland and Eugene, Oregon. 52 pp.

58. Ewing, R. D., G. S. Ewing, and T. D. Satterthwaite. 2001. Changes in gill Na+, K+ - ATPase specific activity during seaward migration of wild juvenile chinook salmon. J. Fish Biol. 58:1414-1426.

59. Ewing, R. D. and G. S. Ewing. 2002. Bimodal length distributions of cultured chinook salmon and the relationship of length modes to adult survival. Aquaculture, 209:139-155.

60. Ewing, R. D. and H. W. Lorz. 2003 Adult spring chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus tshawytscha</u>) contribution from juveniles reared in different raceway conformations at Willamette Hatchery, Oregon. Aquaculture, being revised for publication.

61. Hemmingsen, A. R., C. W. Hopley, Jr., R. D. Ewing, and M. A. Lewis. Survival of coho salmon released at monthly intervals from four hatcheries. Submitted to North American Journal of Aquaculture.

62. Ewing, R. D. and H. W. Lorz. Adult spring chinook salmon (<u>Oncorhynchus</u> <u>tshawytscha</u>) contribution from juveniles reared at different densities at Willamette Hatchery, Oregon. Aquaculture, submitted for publication.

#### Reports

Birks, E. K., A. R. Hemmingsen, J. L. Dentler, and R. D. Ewing. 1981. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 98 pp.

Crawford, D. L., C. B. Schreck, S. Bradford, H. Lorz, R. D. Ewing, J. Westgate, J. P. Lagasse. 1987, April. Development of Rations for the Enhanced Survival of Salmon. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 50 pp.

Crawford, D. L., C. B. Schreck, S. Bradford, H. Lorz, R. D. Ewing, J. Westgate, J. P. Lagasse. 1987, December. Development of Rations for the Enhanced Survival of Salmon. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 42 pp.

Evenson, M. D., R. D. Ewing, and W. T. Noll. 1978. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 23 pp.

Evenson, M. D., R. D. Ewing, C. A. Fustish, and W. T. Noll. 1979. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation Study. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 40 pp.

Evenson, M. D., R. D. Ewing, W. T. Noll. and E. K. Birks. 1980. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation Study. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 42 pp.

Evenson, M. D., R. D. Ewing, E. K. Birks, A. R. Hemmingsen, and J. Dentler. 1981. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 56 pp.

Evenson, M. D., R. D. Ewing, E. K. Birks and A. R. Hemmingsen. 1982. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 40 pp.

Evenson, M. D., and R. D. Ewing. 1984. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 58 pp.

Evenson, M. D., and R. D. Ewing. 1985. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 18 pp.

Evenson, M. D., and R. D. Ewing. 1986. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 21 pp.

Evenson, M. D., and R. D. Ewing. 1987. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 21 pp. Evenson, M. D., and R. D. Ewing. 1988. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Evenson, M. D., and R. D. Ewing. 1989. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Evenson, M. D., and R. D. Ewing. 1990. Cole Rivers Hatchery Evaluation. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D., 1989. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D., 1995. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Ammonia Analysis and Adult Returns. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 206 pp.

Ewing, R. D., 1996. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Analysis of Growth, Feeding and Mortalities. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D., 1997. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Oxgen Consumption Rates and Adult Returns. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D. 1998. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Intake Water Quality and Carbon Dioxide Excretion. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 172 pp.

Ewing, R. D., 1999. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Scale Analysis, Dexter Water Quality Parameters and Adult Recoveries. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. .

Ewing, R. D. 2000. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Analysis of Adult Returns. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 188 pp.

Ewing, R. D. 2000. Herbicide Concentrations in Stream Runoff after Rain Events in the Alsea River Basin. Report to Alsea Citizens Monitoring Committee, Alsea, OR. 72 pp.

Ewing, R. D., E. K. Birks, C. A. Fustish, A. R. Hemmingsen, and N. M. Peterson. 1980. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 49 pp. Ewing, R. D., and A. R. Hemmingsen. 1983. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 11 pp.

Ewing, R. D., A. R. Hemmingsen, and J. A. Laski. 1984. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 10 pp.

Ewing, R. D., and A. R. Hemmingsen. 1985. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 14 pp.

Ewing, R. D., and A. R. Hemmingsen. 1986. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D., and A. R. Hemmingsen. 1987. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Ewing, D., S. Johnson, J. Pribble, and J. Lichatowich. 1977. Rogue Basin Evaluation Program Smolt Study. Final Report. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland, OR. 7 pp.

Ewing, R. D., and J. P. Lagasse. 1988. Development of Rations for the Enhanced Survival of Salmon. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 32 pp.

Ewing, R. D., and J. P. Lagasse. 1990. Development of Rations for the Enhanced Survival of Salmon. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 22 pp.

Ewing, R. D., and J. P. Lagasse. 1990. Development of Rations for the Enhanced Survival of Salmon. Final Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 18 pp.

Ewing, R. D.and J. E. Sheahan. 1990. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D.and J. E. Sheahan. 1991. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D.and J. E. Sheahan. 1992. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D., S. K. Ewing, and J. E. Sheahan. 1993. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. Ewing, R. D., S. K. Ewing, and J. E. Sheahan. 1994. Willamette Oxygen Supplementation Studies. Annual Report to Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Ewing, R. D., and T. R. Walters. 1988. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Hemmingsen, A. R., E. K. Birks, J. L. Dentler, and R. D. Ewing. 1982. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR. 27 pp.

Lagasse, J. P. and R. D. Ewing. 1988. Evaluation and development of techniques for salmon and steelhead culture. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Lagasse, J. P. and R. D. Ewing. 1989. Evaluation and development of techniques for salmon and steelhead culture. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Lagasse, J. P., L. A. Schaeffer, R. D. Ewing, and D. B. Rolph. 1990. Evaluation and development of techniques for salmon and steelhead culture. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Lewis, M. A., T. R. Walters, and R. D. Ewing. 1992. Hatchery practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Lewis, M. A., R. D. Ewing, and T. R. Walters. 1990. Stock assessment of anadromous salmonids. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Lewis, M. A., and R. D. Ewing. 1992. Stock assessment of anadromous salmonids. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Li, H. and R. D. Ewing. 2000. Development of an Ornithine Decarboxylase Assay as a Measure of Recent Growth in Pacific Salmonids. Final Report to SeaGrant, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR. 54 pp.

Schreck, C. B., C. S. Bradford, and R. D. Ewing. 1987. Assessment of physiological condition of fall chinook salmon reared on control and test rations. Annual Report, Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 13 pp.

Schreck, C. B., J. C. Snelling, R. D. Ewing, C. S. Bradford, L. E. Davis, and C. H. Slater. 1994. Migratory Behavior of Adult Spring Chinook Salmon in the Willamette River and its Tributaries. Completion Report. Oregon Cooperative

Fisheries Research Unit for Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 50 pp.

Schreck, C. B., J. C. Snelling, R. D. Ewing, C. S. Bradford, L. E. Davis, and C. H. Slater. 1994. Migratory Behavior of Juvenile Spring Chinook Salmon in the Willamette River. Completion Report. Oregon Cooperative Fisheries Research Unit for Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 110 pp

Walters, T. R. and R. D. Ewing. 1989. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Walters, T. R., R. D. Ewing, and M. A. Lewis. 1990. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Walters, T. R., R. D. Ewing, and M. A. Lewis. 1991. Hatchery Practices. Annual Report. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Portland, OR.

Walters, T. R., R. D. Ewing, M. A. Lewis, R. W. Carmichael, and M. Keefe. 1992. Evaluation of effects of transporting salmonids on the Umatilla River at high temperatures. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR.

Zaugg, W. S., W. W. Dickhoff, B. R. Beckman, C. V. W. Mahnken, G. A. Winans, T. W. Newcomb, C. B. Schreck, A. N. Palmisano, R. M. Schrock, G. A. Wedemeyer, R. D. Ewing, and C. W. Hopley. 1991. Smolt Quality Assessment of Spring Chinook Salmon. Annual Report. Bonneville Power Administration, Portland, OR. 109 pp.

Appendix B.

Ecology NPDES Permitted Facilities In the Puget Sound Region

#### Table of Permits. Number & Types of NPDES Permits Authorized by Ecology To Discharge Toxic Pollutants into the Puget Sound Region Identified in this Study

Facility Permits				
Major Individual Industrial		16		
Additional Individual Industrial		56		
Individual Sewage Treatment Plant		86		
General Industrial		98		
General Stormwater		1593		
North & East PS - This is in Ecology's NW Region				
South & West PS - This is in Ecology's SW Region				
SW PS excluding Juan de Fuca				
Additional Individual Industrial				
North & East DS	24			
South & Wost PS	22			
Soull & West FS	22	56		
Total Additional Individual Industrial		50		
Individual Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant				
Total Individual STP		86		
Individual Industrial Dischargers to STP (Pretreated)				
North & East PS	79			
South & West PS	10	89		
General Industrial				
North & East PS	85			
78	General-E	Boatyard		
7	General-L	Drinking Water Tr	reatment	
South & West PS	13			
12	General-E	Boat Yard		
1	General-L	Drinking vvater i r	reatment	
Total General-Boat Yard	90			
Total General-Drinking Water Treatment	8			
, and the second s				
Total General Industrial		98		
0				
General Stormwater	1502			
	1095	naludina landfilla		
700	Constructi	nciuuling lanulliis	, 1611 o	
/94	Construction	on including land	IIIIS	
11 Stormwater Dermite Accessioned with Landfills				
		oborgo voina Or	anaral Industrial Starmustar Dam	t
12		scharge using Ge	eneral moustrial Stormwater Perm	IIL Dormit
Ζ		scharge using Ge	eneral construction stormwater P	ennit

Appendix C.

Summary Waterbody Assessment Criteria

#### Table I - Marine Sediment Quality Criteria WAC 173-204-320

Marine Sediment Quality Standards

CHEMICAL	MG/KG DRY WEIGHT
PARAMETER	PPM DRY
ARSENIC	57.00
CADMIUM	5.10
CHROMIUM	260.00
COPPER	390.00
LEAD	450.00
MERCURY	0.41
SILVER	6.10
ZINC	410.00

CHEMICAL	MG/KG ORGANIC CARBON			
PARAMETER	(PPM CARBON)			
LPAH		370.00		
NAPHTHALENE		99.00		
ACENAPHTHYLENE		66.00		
ACENAPHTHENE		16.00		
FLUORENE		23.00		
PHENANTHRENE		100.00		
ANTHRACENE		220.00		
2-METHYLNAPHTHA	LENE	38.00		
HPAH		960.00		
FLUORANTHENE		160.00		
PYRENE		1000.00		
BENZ(A)ANTHRACE	NE	110.00		
CHRYSENE		110.00		
TOTAL BENZOFLUO	RANTHENES	230.00		
BENZO(A)PYRENE		99.00		
INDENO (1,2,3,-C,D)	PYRENE	34.00		
DIBENZO (A,H) ANTH	HRACENE	12.00		
BENZO(G,H,I)PERYL	ENE	31.00		
1,2-DICHLOROBENZ	ENE	2.30		
1,4-DICHLOROBENZ	ENE	3.10		
1,2,4-TRICHLOROBE	NZENE	0.81		
HEXACHLOROBENZ	ENE	0.38		
DIMETHYL PHTHALA	ATE	53.00		
DIETHYL PHTHALAT	E	61.00		
DI-N-BUTYL PHTHAL	ATE	220.00		
BUTYL BENZYL PHT	HALATE	4.90		
BIS (2-ETHYLHEXYL)	) PHTHALATE	47.00		
DI-N-OCTYL PHTHAL	_ATE	58.00		
DIBENZOFURAN		15.00		
HEXACHLOROBUTA	DIENE	3.90		
N-NITROSODIPHEN	YLAMINE	11.00		
TOTAL PCBs		12.00		

CHEMICAL	UG/KG DRY WEIGHT
PARAMETER	(PARTS PER BILLION (PPB) DRY)
PHENOL	420
2-METHYLPHENOL	63
4-METHYLPHENOL	670
2,4-DIMETHYL PHENO	DL 29
PENTACHLOROPHEN	IOL 360
BENZYL ALCOHOL	57
BENZOIC ACID	650

#### from WAC 173-204-412

TABLE 1 - Puget Sound Reference Total Organic Carbon Values

Silt-Clay* %	TOC** %
0-20	0.50
20-50	1.70
50-80	3.20
80-100	2.60

\*Silt-Clay Particles (percent Dry Weight)

\*\*Total Organic Carbon (percent Dry Weight)

#### §131.36

South Nanamkin Creek	Class III
Spring Creek	Class III
Stapaloop Creek	Class III
Stepstone Creek	Class III
Stranger Creek	Class II
Strawberry Creek	Class III
Swimptkin Creek	Class III
Three Forks Creek	Class I
Three Mile Creek	Class III
Thirteen Mile Creek	Class II
Thirty Mile Creek	Class II
Trail Creek	Class III
Twentyfive Mile Creek	Class III
Twentyone Mile Creek	Class III
Twentythree Mile Creek	Class III
Wannacot Creek	Class III
Wells Creek	Class I
Whitelaw Creek	Class III
Wilmont Creek	Class II
(2) Lakes:	
Apex Lake	LC
Big Goose Lake	LC
Bourgeau Lake	LC
Buffalo Lake	LC
Cody Lake	LC
Crawfish Lakes	LC
Camille Lake	LC
Elbow Lake	LC
Fish Lake	LC
Gold Lake	LC
Great Western Lake	LC
Johnson Lake	LC

#### 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-00 Edition)

LaFleur Lake	LC
Little Goose Lake	LC
Little Owhi Lake	LC
McGinnis Lake	LC
Nicholas Lake	LC
Omak Lake	SRW
Owhi Lake	SRW
Penley Lake	SRW
Rebecca Lake	LC
Round Lake	LC
Simpson Lake	LC
Soap Lake	LC
Sugar Lake	LC
Summit Lake	LC
Twin Lakes	SRW

[54 FR 28625, July 6, 1989]

#### §131.36 Toxics criteria for those states not complying with Clean Water Act section 303(c)(2)(B).

(a) *Scope.* This section is not a general promulgation of the section 304(a) criteria for priority toxic pollutants but is restricted to specific pollutants in specific States.

(b)(1) EPA's Section 304(a) criteria for Priority Toxic Pollutants.

Α		B Freshwater		( Saltv	C vater	D Human Health		
			Criterion	Criterion	Criterion	Criterion	For consur	nption of:
	(#) Compound	CAS Number	Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µg/L)	Continuous Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µg/L)	Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µg/L)	Continuous Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µ <sup>g/L)</sup>	Water & Organisms (µg/L)	Organisms Only (μg/L)
			B1	B2	C1	C2	D1	D2
1	Antimony	7440360					14 a	4300 a
2	Arsenic	7440382	360 m	190 m	69 m	36 m	0.018 abc	0.14 abc
3 4	Cadmium	7440417 7440439	37 0	100			n	n n
- 5a	Chromium (III)	16065831	550 e	180 e	72 111	0.0 m	n	n
b	Chromium (VI)	18540299	15 m	10 m	1100 m	50 m	n	n
6	Copper	7440508	17 e	11 e	2.4 m	2.4 m		
7	Lead	7439921	65 e	2.5 e	210 m	8.1 m	n	n
8	Mercury	7439976	2.1 m	0.012 ip	1.8 m	0.025 ip	0.14	0.15
9	Nickel	7440020	1400 e	160 e	74 m	8.2 m	610 a	4600 a
10	Selenium	7782492	20 p	5 p	290 m	71 m	n	n
11	Silver	7440224	3.4 e	· · · · · ·	1.9 m			
12	Thallium	7440280					1.7 a	6.3 a
13	Zinc	7440666	110 e	100 e	90 m	81 m		
14	Cyanide	57125	22	5.2	1	1	700 a	220000 aj
15	Asbestos	1332214					7,000,000	
							fibers/L k	
16	2,3,7,8-TCDD (Dioxin)	1746016					0.000000013 c	0.000000014 c
17	Acrolein	107028					320	780
18	Acrylonitrile	107131					0.059 ac	0.66 ac
19	Benzene	71432					1.2 ac	71 ac
20	Bromoform	75252					4.3 ac	360 ac
21	Carbon Tetrachloride	56235					0.25 ac	4.4 ac
22	Chlorobenzene	108907					680 a	21000 aj
23	Chlorodibromomethane	124481					0.41 ac	34 ac
24	Chloroethane	75003						
25	2-Chloroethylvinyl Ether	110758						
26	Chloroform	67663					5.7 ac	470 ac
27	Dichlorobromomethane	75274					0.27 ac	22 ac
28	1,1-Dichloroethane	/5343						
29	1,2-Dichloroethane	107062					0.38 ac	99 ac
30	1,1-Dichloroethylene	/5354					0.057 ac	3.2 ac
31	1,2-Dichloropropane	108/5					10 -	1700 -
3Z	energoropropriona-e.	54∠/50	·				i iuai	1700 a

§131.36

A		B Freshwater		( Saltv	C vater	D Human Health		
			Criterion	Criterion	Criterion	Criterion	(10 <sup>-6</sup> risk for carcinogens) For consumption of:	
	(#) Compound	CAS Number	Maximum Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µ <sup>g/L)</sup>	Continuous Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µ <sup>g/L)</sup>	Maximum Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µ <sup>g/L)</sup>	Continuous Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µ <sup>g/L)</sup>	Water & Organisms (µg/L)	Organisms Only (μg/L)
			B1	B2	C1	C2	D1	D2
33	Ethylbenzene	100414					3100 a	29000 a
34	Methyl Bromide	74839					48 a	4000 a
35	Methyl Chloride	74873					n	n
36	Methylene Chloride	75092					4.7 ac	1600 ac
37	1,1,2,2-							
Tet	rachloroethane	79345					0.17 ac	11 ac
38	Tetrachloroethylene	127184					0.8 c	8.85 c
39	Toluene	108883					6800 a	200000 a
40	1.2-Trans-Dichloro-							
ethy	vlene	156605						
41	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	71556					n	n
42	1 1 2-Trichloroethane	79005					0.60 ac	42 ac
43	Trichloroethylene	79016					27 c	81 c
44	Vinyl Chloride	75014					2 0	525 c
15	2-Chlorophenol	05578					20	020 0
45	2 4-Dichlorophenol	120832					03 2	
40	2.4 Dimethylphonel	105670					35 a	750 aj
47	2,4-Dimetryphenor	105079						
40 Din	2-IVIEUTy1-4,0-	E24E24					12.4	765
		534521					13.4	700
49	2,4-Dinitrophenoi	51285		•••••			70 a	14000 a
50	2-INITrophenol	88755						
51	4-INITrophenol	100027		••••••				
52	3-Methyl-4-Chlorophenol	59507						
53	Pentachlorophenol	87865	20 f	13 f	13	7.9	0.28 ac	8.2 acj
54	Phenol	108952					21000 a	4600000 aj
55	2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	88062					2.1 ac	6.5 ac
56	Acenaphthene	83329						
57	Acenaphthylene	208968						
58	Anthracene	120127					9600 a	110000 a
59	Benzidine	92875					0.00012 ac	0.00054 ac
60	Benzo(a)Anthracene	56553					0.0028 c	0.031 c
61	Benzo(a)Pyrene	50328					0.0028 c	0.031 c
62	Benzo(b)Fluoranthene	205992					0.0028 c	0.031 c

# 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-00 Edition)

63	Benzo(ahi)Pervlene	191242						
64	Benzo(k)Fluoranthene	207089					0.0028 c	0 031 c
65	Bis(2-Chloro-	201000					0.0020 0	0.001.0
eth	xy)Methane	111911						
66	Bis(2-Chloroethyl)Ether	111444					0.031.ac	1 4 ac
67	Bis(2-Chloroiso-						0.001 40	1.1 40
nro	ovl)Ether	108601					1400 a	170000 a
68	Bis(2-Ethyl-	100001					1400 u	170000 a
hev	vl)Phthalate	117817					18 20	50 ac
60	A-Bromonbenyl Phenyl	11/01/					1.0 ac	0.9 ac
Eth	ar	101553						
70	Butylbonzyl Phthalato	95697						
70	2 Chloropophtholopo	01597						
70	4 Chlorophonyl Dhonyl	91567						
12		7005700						
		7005723					0.0000 -	0.004 -
73	Chrysene	218019					0.0028 C	0.031 C
74	Dibenzo(an)Anthracene	53703					0.0028 C	0.031 C
75	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	95501					2700 a	17000 a
76	1,3-Dichlorobenzene	541731					400	2600
77	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	106467					400	2600
78	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	91941					0.04 ac	0.077 ac
79	Diethyl Phthalate	84662					23000 a	120000 a
80	Dimethyl Phthalate	131113					313000	2900000
81	Di-n-Butyl Phthalate	84742					2700 a	12000 a
82	2,4-Dinitrotoluene	121142					0.11 c	9.1 c
83	2,6-Dinitrotoluene	606202						
84	Di-n-Octyl Phthalate	117840						
85	1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	122667					0.040 ac	0.54 ac
86	Fluoranthene	206440					300 a	370 a
87	Fluorene	86737					1300 a	14000 a
88	Hexachlorobenzene	118741					0.00075 ac	0.00077 ac
89	Hexachlorobutadiene	87683					0.44 ac	50 ac
90	Hexachlorocyclopenta-							
dier	ne	77474					240 a	17000 ai
91	Hexachloroethane	67721					1.9 ac	8.9 ac
92	Indeno(1 2 3-cd)Pyrene	193395					0 0028 c	0.031 c
93	Isophorone	78591					8.4 ac	600 ac
94	Nanhthalene	91203					0.1.40	000 40
95	Nitrobenzene	98953					17 a	1900 ai
96	N-Nitrosodimethylamine	62750					0 00069 20	8 1 ac
92	N-Nitrosodi-n-Propyl-	02139					0.00003 dC	0.1 dC
31 0mi	n-nitrosour-n-riopyl-	621647						
00	NI Nitrogodinhonylamino	02104/						16.00
30	Departhrono	00300					5.0 aC	TO ac
99		00018				۱ I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

1123

§131.36

A		B - Freshwater		( Saltv	C water	D Human Health		
		0.0.0.1	Criterion	Criterion	Criterion	Criterion	For consumption of:	
	(#) Compound	CAS Number	Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µ <sup>g/L)</sup>	Conc. <sup>d</sup> (µ <sup>g/L)</sup>			Water & Organisms (µg/L)	Organisms Only (μg/L)
			B1	B2	C1	C2	D1	D2
100	Pyrene	129000					960 a	11000 a
101	Aldrin	300002	3 0	•••••	13 0		0.00013.ac	0.00014.ac
102	alpha-BHC	319846	59	•••••	1.5 g		0.00013 ac	0.00014 ac
104	beta-BHC	319857					0.014 ac	0.046 ac
105	gamma-BHC	58899	2 q	0.08 g	0.16 g		0.019 c	0.063 c
106	delta-BHC	319868						
107	Chlordane	57749	2.4 g	0.0043 g	0.09 g	0.004 g	0.00057 ac	0.00059 ac
108	4,4'-DDT	50293	1.1 g	0.001 g	0.13 g	0.001 g	0.00059 ac	0.00059 ac
109	4,4'-DDE	72559					0.00059 ac	0.00059 ac
110	4,4'-DDD	72548					0.00083 ac	0.00084 ac
111	Dieldrin	60571	2.5 g	0.0019 g	0.71 g	0.0019 g	0.00014 ac	0.00014 ac
112	alpha-Endosulfan	959988	0.22 g	0.056 g	0.034 g	0.0087 g	0.93 a	2.0 a
113	beta-Endosulfan	33213659	0.22 g	0.056 g	0.034 g	0.0087 g	0.93 a	2.0 a
114	Endosulfan Sulfate	1031078					0.93 a	2.0 a
115	Endrin	72208	0.18 g	0.0023 g	0.037 g	0.0023 g	0.76 a	0.81 aj
116	Endrin Aldehyde	7421934	0.50 -	0.0000 -	0.050 -	0.0000 -	0.76 a	0.81 aj
117	Heptachior	76448	0.52 g	0.0038 g	0.053 g	0.0036 g	0.00021 ac	0.00021 ac
118	Reptachior Epoxide	1024573	0.52 g	0.0038 g	0.053 g	0.0036 g	0.00010 ac	0.00011 ac
120	PCB 1254	11007601		0.014 g		0.03 g		
120	PCB-1234	1110/282		0.014 g		0.03 g	•••••	
122	PCB-1232	11141165		0.014 g		0.03 g		
123	PCB-1248	12672296		0.014 g		0.03 g		
124	PCB-1260	11096825		0.014 g		0.03 g		
125a	PCB-1016	12674112		0.014 g		0.03 g		
125b	Polychlorinated	-						
bip	henyls							
	(PCBs)						0.00017 q	0.00017 q
126	Toxaphene	8001352	0.73	0.0002	0.21	0.0002	0.00073 ac	0.00075 ac
Т	otal Number of Criteria (h)							
	=		24	29	23	27	85	84

1124

# 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-00 Edition)

#### **Environmental Protection Agency**

#### FOOTNOTES

a. Criteria revised to reflect current agency  $q_{\rm l}*$  or RfD, as contained in the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS). The fish tissue bioconcentration factor (BCF) from the 1980 criteria documents was retained in all cases.

b. The criteria refers to the inorganic form only.

c. Criteria in the matrix based on carcinogenicity ( $10^{-6}$  risk). For a risk level of  $10^{-5}$ , move the decimal point in the matrix value one place to the right.

d. Criteria Maximum Concentration (CMC) = the highest concentration of a pollutant to which aquatic life can be exposed for a short period of time (1-hour average) without deleterious effects. Criteria Continuous Concentration (CCC) = the highest concentration of a pollutant to which aquatic life can be exposed for an extended period of time (4 days) without deleterious effects.  $\mu g/L$  = micrograms per liter.

e. Freshwater aquatic life criteria for these metals are expressed as a function of total hardness (mg/L as  $CaCO_3$ ), the pollutant's water effect ratio (WER) as defined in \$131.36(c) and multiplied by an appropriate dissolved conversion factor as defined in \$131.36(b)(2). For comparative purposes, the values displayed in this matrix are shown as dissolved metal and correspond to a total hardness of 100 mg/L and a water effect ratio of 1.0.

f. Freshwater aquatic life criteria for pentachlorophenol are expressed as a function of pH, and are calculated as follows. Values displayed above in the matrix correspond to a pH of 7.8.

CMC = exp(1.005(pH) - 4.830)

 $CCC = \exp(1.005(pH) - 5.290)$ 

g. Aquatic life criteria for these compounds were issued in 1980 utilizing the 1980 Guidelines for criteria development. The acute values shown are final acute values (FAV) which by the 1980 Guidelines are instantaneous values as contrasted with a CMC which is a one-hour average.

h. These totals simply sum the criteria in each column. For aquatic life, there are 31 priority toxic pollutants with some type of freshwater or saltwater, acute or chronic criteria. For human health, there are 85 priority toxic pollutants with either "water + fish" or "fish only" criteria. Note that these totals count chromium as one pollutant even though EPA has developed criteria based on two valence states. In the matrix, EPA has assigned numbers 5a and 5b to the criteria for chromium to reflect the fact that the list of 126 priority toxic pollutants includes only a single listing for chromium. i. If the CCC for total mercury exceeds

i. If the CČC for total mercury exceeds  $0.012 \mu g/l$  more than once in a 3-year period in the ambient water, the edible portion of aquatic species of concern must be analyzed

to determine whether the concentration of methyl mercury exceeds the FDA action level (1.0 mg/kg). If the FDA action level is exceeded, the State must notify the appropriate EPA Regional Administrator, initiate a revision of its mercury criterion in its water quality standards so as to protect designated uses, and take other appropriate action such as issuance of a fish consumption advisory for the affected area.

j. No criteria for protection of human health from consumption of aquatic organisms (excluding water) was presented in the 1980 criteria document or in the 1986 Quality Criteria for Water. Nevertheless, sufficient information was presented in the 1980 document to allow a calculation of a criterion, even though the results of such a calculation were not shown in the document.

k. The criterion for asbestos is the MCL (56 FR 3526, January 30, 1991).

l. [Reserved: This letter not used as a footnote.]

m. Criteria for these metals are expressed as a function of the water effect ratio, WER, as defined in 40 CFR 131.36(c).

CMC = column B1 or C1 value × WER

CCC = column B2 or C2 value × WER n. EPA is not promulgating human health criteria for this contaminant. However, permit authorities should address this contaminant in NPDES permit actions using the State's existing narrative criteria for toxics. o. [Reserved: This letter not used as a footnote.]

p. Criterion expressed as total recoverable. q. This criterion applies to total PCBs (*e.g.*, the sum of all congener or isomer or homolog or Aroclor analyses).

#### GENERAL NOTES

1. This chart lists all of EPA's priority toxic pollutants whether or not criteria recommendations are available. Blank spaces indicate the absence of criteria recommendations. Because of variations in chemical nomenclature systems, this listing of toxic pollutants does not duplicate the listing in Appendix A of 40 CFR Part 423. EPA has added the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) registry numbers, which provide a unique identification for each chemical.

following chemicals 2 The have organoleptic based criteria recommendations that are not included on this chart (for reasons which are discussed in the preamble): copper, zinc, chlorobenzene, 2-chlorophenol, acenaphthene, 2,4-dichlorophenol, 2.4 dimethylphenol, 3-methyl-4-chlorophenol, hexachlorocyclopentadiene, pentachlorophenol, phenol.

3. For purposes of this rulemaking, freshwater criteria and saltwater criteria apply as specified in 40 CFR 131.36(c).

NOTE TO PARAGRAPH (B)(1): On April 14, 1995, the Environmental Protection Agency issued

#### §131.36

a stay of certain criteria in paragraph (b)(1) of this section as follows: the criteria in columns B and C for arsenic, cadmium, chromium (VI), copper, lead, nickel, silver, and zinc; the criteria in B1 and C1 for mercury; the criteria in column B for chromium (III). and the criteria in column C for selenium. The stay remains in effect until further notice.

#### 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-00 Edition)

(2) Factors for Calculating Hardness-Dependent, Freshwater Metals Criteria

- CMC=WER exp {  $m_A[ln(hardness)]+b_A$ } x Acute Conversion Factor
- CCC=WER exp {  $m_C[ln(hardness)]+b_C$  } x Chronic Conversion Factor
- Final CMC and CCC values should be rounded to two significant figures.

Metal	m <sub>A</sub>	b <sub>A</sub>	mc	bc	Freshwater conversion factors	
					Acute	Chronic
Cadmium	1.128	-3.828	0.7852	-3.490	a 0.944	a 0.909
Chromium (III)	0.8190	3.688	0.8190	1.561	0.316	0.860
Copper	0.9422	-1.464	0.8545	-1.465	0.960	0.960
Lead	1.273	-1.460	1.273	-4.705	a 0.791	a 0.791
Nickel	0.8460	3.3612	0.8460	1.1645	0.998	0.997
Silver	1.72	-6.52	<sup>b</sup> N/A	<sup>b</sup> N/A	0.85	♭ N/A
Zinc	0.8473	0.8604	0.8473	0.7614	0.978	0.986

Note to table: The term "exp" represents the base e exponential function. Footnotes to table:

The freshwater conversion factors (CF) for cadmium and lead are hardness-dependent and can be calculated for any hardness [see limitations in § 131.36(c)(4)] using the following equations:

Cadmium

Cacimium Acute: CF=1.136672—[(In hardness)(0.041838)] Chronic: CF=1.101672—[(In hardness)(0.041838)] Lead (Acute and Chronic): CF = 1.46203—[(In hardness)(0.145712)]

<sup>b</sup>No chronic criteria are available for silver.

(c) Applicability. (1) The criteria in paragraph (b) of this section apply to the States' designated uses cited in paragraph (d) of this section and supersede any criteria adopted by the State, except when State regulations contain criteria which are more stringent for a particular use in which case the State's criteria will continue to apply.

(2) The criteria established in this section are subject to the State's general rules of applicability in the same way and to the same extent as are the other numeric toxics criteria when applied to the same use classifications including mixing zones, and low flow values below which numeric standards can be exceeded in flowing fresh waters.

(i) For all waters with mixing zone regulations or implementation procedures, the criteria apply at the appropriate locations within or at the boundary of the mixing zones; otherwise the criteria apply throughout the waterbody including at the end of any discharge pipe, canal or other discharge point.

(ii) A State shall not use a low flow value below which numeric standards can be exceeded that is less stringent than the following for waters suitable for the establishment of low flow return frequencies (i.e., streams and rivers):

AOUATTO	LIDD
AQUAIL	LIFE

Acute criteria (CMC)	1 Q 10 or 1 B 3
Chronic criteria	7 Q 10 or 4 B 3
(CCC)	

HUMAN HEALTH

Non-carcinogens 30 Q 5

Carcinogens Harmonic mean flow

Where:

- CMC-criteria maximum concentration-the water quality criteria to protect against acute effects in aquatic life and is the highest instream concentration of a priority toxic pollutant consisting of a onehour average not to be exceeded more than once every three years on the average;
- CCC-criteria continuous concentration-the water quality criteria to protect against chronic effects in aquatic life is the highest instream concentration of a priority toxic pollutant consisting of a 4-day average not to be exceeded more than once every three years on the average;
- 1 Q 10 is the lowest one day flow with an average recurrence frequency of once in 10 years determined hydrologically;
- 1 B 3 is biologically based and indicates an allowable exceedence of once every 3 years. It is determined by EPA's computerized method (DFLOW model):
- $7 \; Q \; 10$  is the lowest average 7 consecutive day low flow with an average recurrence frequency of once in 10 years determined hydrologically;

#### **Environmental Protection Agency**

- 4 B 3 is biologically based and indicates an allowable exceedence for 4 consecutive days once every 3 years. It is determined by EPA's computerized method (DFLOW model);
- 30 Q 5 is the lowest average 30 consecutive day low flow with an average recurrence frequency of once in 5 years determined hydrologically; and the harmonic mean flow is a long term mean flow value calculated by dividing the number of daily flows analyzed by the sum of the reciprocals of those daily flows.

(iii) If a State does not have such a low flow value for numeric standards compliance, then none shall apply and the criteria included in paragraph (d) of this section herein apply at all flows.

(3) The aquatic life criteria in the matrix in paragraph (b) of this section apply as follows:

(i) For waters in which the salinity is equal to or less than 1 part per thousand 95% or more of the time, the applicable criteria are the freshwater criteria in Column B;

(ii) For waters in which the salinity is equal to or greater than 10 parts per thousand 95% or more of the time, the applicable criteria are the saltwater criteria in Column C; and

(iii) For waters in which the salinity is between 1 and 10 parts per thousand as defined in paragraphs (c)(3) (i) and (ii) of this section, the applicable criteria are the more stringent of the freshwater or saltwater criteria. However, the Regional Administrator may approve the use of the alternative freshwater or saltwater criteria if scientifically defensible information and data demonstrate that on a site-specific basis the biology of the waterbody is dominated by freshwater aquatic life and that freshwater criteria are more appropriate; or conversely, the biology of the waterbody is dominated by saltwater aquatic life and that saltwater criteria are more appropriate.

(4) Application of metals criteria. (i) For purposes of calculating freshwater aquatic life criteria for metals from the equations in paragraph (b)(2) of this section, the minimum hardness allowed for use in those equations shall not be less than 25 mg/l, as calcium carbonate, even if the actual ambient hardness is less than 25 mg/l as calcium carbonate. The maximum hardness

value for use in those equations shall not exceed 400 mg/l as calcium carbonate, even if the actual ambient hardness is greater than 400 mg/l as calcium carbonate. The same provisions apply for calculating the metals criteria for the comparisons provided for in paragraph (c)(3)(iii) of this section.

(ii) The hardness values used shall be consistent with the design discharge conditions established in paragraph (c)(2) of this section for flows and mixing zones.

(iii) Except where otherwise noted, the criteria for metals (compounds #2, #4-# 11, and #13, in paragraph (b) of this section) are expressed as dissolved metal. For purposes of calculating aquatic life criteria for metals from the equations in footnote m. in the criteria matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section and the equations in paragraphs (b)(2) of this section, the watereffect ratio is computed as a specific pollutant's acute or chronic toxicity values measured in water from the site covered by the standard, divided by the respective acute or chronic toxicity value in laboratory dilution water.

(d) Criteria for Specific Jurisdictions— (1) Rhode Island, EPA Region 1. (i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in the Water Quality Regulations for Water Pollution Control adopted under Chapters 46–12, 42–17.1, and 42–35 of the General Laws of Rhode Island are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(1)(ii) of this section, without exception:

6.21 Freshwater	6.22 Saltwater:
Class A	Class SA
Class B	Class SB
Class C	Class SC

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(1)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria
Class A	These classifications are as-
Class B waters where water	signed the criteria in Col-
supply use is designated	umn D1—#2, 68

#### §131.36

Use classification	Applicable criteria
Class B waters where water supply use is not des- ignated. Class C; Class SA; Class SB; Class SC	Each of these classifications is assigned the criteria in: Column D2—#2, 68

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the  $10^{-5}$  risk level, consistent with the State policy. To determine appropriate value for carcinogens, see footnote c in the criteria matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(2) Vermont, ÉPA Region 1. (i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in the Vermont Water Quality Standards adopted under the authority of the Vermont Water Pollution Control Act (10 V.S.A., Chapter 47) are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this section, without exception:

Class A Class B Class C

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria
Class A Class B waters where water supply use is designated Class B waters where water supply use is not des- ignated Class C.	This classification is assigned criteria in: Column B2—#105 These classifications are as- signed all the criteria in: Column B2—#105 Column D2—#2

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-proposed  $10^{-6}$  risk level.

(3) *New Jersey, EPA Region 2.* (i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in the New Jersey Administrative Code (N.J.A.C.) 7:9-4.1 et seq., Surface Water Quality Standards, are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(3)(ii) of this section, without exception.

N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.12(b): Class PL N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.12(c): Class FW2 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.12(d): Class SE1 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.12(e): Class SE2 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.12(f): Class SE3 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.12(g): Class SC N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.13(a): Delaware River Zones 1C, 1D, and 1E

#### 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-00 Edition)

N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.13(b): Delaware River Zone 2 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.13(c): Delaware River Zone 3 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.13(d): Delaware River Zone 4 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.13(e): Delaware River Zone 5 N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.13(f): Delaware River Zone 6

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria
PL (Freshwater Pinelands), FW2	These classifications are assigned the cri- teria in: Column B1— all except #102, 105, 107, 108, 111, 112, 113, 115, 117, and 118. Column B2—all except #105, 107, 108, 111, 112, 113, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, and 125a. Column D1—all at a $10^{-6}$ risk level except #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105; #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105, at a $10^{-5}$ risk level. Column D2—all at a $10^{-6}$ risk level except #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68,
PL (Saline Water Pinelands), SE1, SE2, SE3, SC	89, 91, 93, 104, 105; $\#23$ , 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105, at a $10^{-5}$ risk level. These classifications are each assigned the criteria in: Column C1—all ex- cept #102, 105, 107, 108, 111, 112, 113, 115, 117, and 118. Column C2—all except #105, 107, 108, 111, 112, 113, 115, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, and 125a. Column D2—all at a $10^{-6}$ risk level ex- cept #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105; #23, 30, 37,
Delaware River zones 1C, 1D, 1E, 2, 3, 4, 5 and Delaware Bay zone 6	38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105, at a $10^{-5}$ risk level. These classifications are each assigned the criteria in: Column B1—all. Column B2—all.
#### Use classification Applicable criteria Column D1-all at a 10<sup>-6</sup> risk level except #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105; #23, 30, 37, 38 42 68 89 91 93, 104, 105, at a 10<sup>-5</sup> risk level. Column D2-all at a 10<sup>-6</sup> risk level except #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105; #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104, 105, at a 10<sup>-5</sup> risk level. Delaware River These classifications zones 3, 4, and 5, are each assigned the and Delaware criteria in: Bay zone 6 Column C1—all Column C2-all. Column D2—all at a 10<sup>-6</sup> risk level except #23, 30, 37, 38, 42, 68, 89, 91, 93, 104. 105: #23. 30. 37. 38, 42, 68, 89, 91,

113, 115, 117, and 126. Column B2-all. except: 105, 107, 108, 112, 113, 115, and 117 Column D1-all, except: 6, 14, 105, 112, 113, and 115. Column D2-all. except: 14, 105, 112, 113, and 115. Class SB, Class SC These Classifications are assigned criteria in: Column C1-all, except: 4, 5b, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 102, 105, 107, 108, 111, 112, 113, 115, 117, and 126. Column C2-all, except: 4, 5b, 10, 13, 108. 112. 113. 115. and 117. Column D2-all, except: 14, 105, 112, 113, and 115.

Use classification

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-proposed  $10^{-6}$  risk level for EPA rated Class A, B<sub>1</sub>, and B<sub>2</sub> carcinogens; EPA rated Class C carcinogens shall be applied at  $10^{-5}$  risk level. To determine appropriate value for carcinogens, see footnote c. in the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

93, 104, 105, at a

10<sup>-5</sup> risk level.

(4) Puerto Rico, EPA Region 2. (i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in the Puerto Rico Water Quality Standards (promulgated by Resolution Number R-83-5-2) are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section, without exception.

Article 2.2.2—Class SB Article 2.2.3—Class SC Article 2.2.4—Class SD

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(4)(i) of this section:

Use classification Applicable criteria

Class SD This Classification is assigned criteria in: (iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-proposed  $10^{-5}$ risk level. To determine appropriate value for carcinogens, see footnote c, in the criteria matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(5) District of Columbia, EPA Region 3.(i) All waters assigned to the fol-

(i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in chapter 11 Title 21 DCMR, Water Quality Standards of the District of Columbia are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(5)(ii) of this section, without exception:

1101.2 Class C waters

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classification identified in paragraph (d)(5)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria
Class C	This classification is assigned the additional criteria in: Column B2—#10, 118, 126 Column D1—#15, 16, 44, 67, 68, 79, 80, 81, 88, 114, 116, 118.

Applicable criteria

Column B1-all, ex-

cept: 10, 102, 105,

107, 108, 111, 112,

## §131.36

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-adopted  $10^{-6}$  risk level.

(6) Florida, EPA Region 4.

(i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in Chapter 17-301 of the Florida Administrative Code (i.e., identified in Section 17-302.600) are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(6)(ii) of this section, without exception:

Class I Class II

Class II

Class III

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(6)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria
Class I	This classification is assigned the cri- teria in:
	Column D1—#16
Class II	This classification is
Class III (marine)	assigned the cri- teria in:
	Column D2—#16
Class III (freshwater)	This classification is assigned the cri- teria in: Column D2—#16

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-adopted  $10^{-6}$  risk level.

(7) Michigan, EPA Region 5.

(i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in the Michigan Department of Natural Resources Commission General Rules, R 323.1100 designated uses, as defined at R 323.1043. Definitions; A to N, (i.e., identified in Section (g) "Designated use") are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(7)(ii) of this section, without exception:

Agriculture

Navigation

Industrial Water Supply

Public Water Supply at the Point of Water Intake

Warmwater Fish

Other Indigenous Aquatic Life and Wildlife Partial Body Contact Recreation

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications

## 40 CFR Ch. I (7–1–00 Edition)

identified in paragraph (d)(7)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria
Public Water sup- ply	This classification is assigned the criteria in:
	Column B1—all,
	Column B2—all,
	Column D1—all.
All other designa-	These classifications
tions	are assigned the cri-
	teria in:
	Column B1—all,
	Column B2—all,
	and
	Column D2—all.

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-adopted  $10^{-5}$  risk level. To determine appropriate value for carcinogens, see footnote c in the criteria matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(8) Arkansas, EPA Region 6.

(i) All waters assigned to the following use classification in section 4C (Waterbody uses) identified in Arkansas Department of Pollution Control and Ecology's Regulation No. 2 as amended and entitled, "Regulation Establishing Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Arkansas" are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(8)(ii) of this section, without exception:

Extraordinary Resource Waters Ecologically Sensitive Waterbody Natural and Scenic Waterways

Fisheries:

(1) Trout

(2) Lakes and Reservoirs

- (3) Streams
  - (a) Ozark Highlands Ecoregion
  - (b) Boston Mountains Ecoregion
  - (c) Arkansas River Valley Ecoregion
  - (d) Ouachita Mountains Ecoregion
  - (e) Typical Gulf Coastal Ecoregion
  - (f) Spring Water-influenced Gulf Coastal Ecoregion
  - (g) Least-altered Delta Ecoregion
  - (h) Channel-altered Delta Ecoregion

Domestic Water Supply

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classification identified in paragraph (d)(8)(i) of this section:

Use classification

Applicable criteria

#### Extraordinary Resource Waters Ecologically Sensitive Waterbody Natural and Scenic Waterways Fisheries: (1) Trout (2) Lakes and Reservoirs (3) Streams (a) Ozark Highlands Ecoregion (b) Boston Mountains Ecoregion (c) Arkansas River Valley Ecoregion (d) Ouachita Mountains Ecoregion (e) Typical Gulf Coastal Ecoregion (f) Spring Water-influenced Gulf Coastal Ecoregion (g) Least-altered Delta Ecoregion (h) Channel-altered Delta Ecoregion

These uses are each assigned the criteria in— Column B1—#4, 5a, 5b, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 Column B2—#4, 5a, 5b, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14

(9) Kansas, EPA Region 7.

(i) All waters assigned to the following use classification in the Kansas Department of Health and Environment regulations, K.A.R. 28-16-28bthrough K.A.R. 28-16-28f, are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(9)(ii) of this section, without exception.

Section (2)(A)—Special Aquatic Life Use Waters

Section (2)(B)—Expected Aquatic Life Use Waters

Section (2)(C)—Restricted Aquatic Life Use Waters

Section (3)—Domestic Water Supply.

Section (4)—Food Procurement Use.

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(9)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria	
Sections (2)(A), (2)(B), (2)(C), (4).	These classifications are each assigned criteria as follows: Column B1, #2, 4 Column B2, #4 Column D2, #2, 12, 21, 29, 39, 46, 68, 79, 81, 86, 93, 104, 114, 118	
Section (3)	This classification is assigned all criteria in: Column D1, all except #1, 9, 12, 14, 15, 17, 22, 33, 36, 39, 44, 75, 77, 79, 90, 112, 113, and 115.	

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-adopted  $10^{-6}$  risk level.

(10) California, EPA Region 9.

(i) All waters assigned any aquatic life or human health use classifications in the Water Quality Control Plans for the various Basins of the State ("Basin Plans"), as amended, adopted by the California State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB"), except for ocean waters covered by the Water Quality Control Plan for Ocean Waters of California ("Ocean Plan") adopted by the SWRCB with resolution Number 90-27 on March 22, 1990, are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(10)(ii) of this section, without exception. These criteria amend the portions of the existing State standards contained in the Basin Plans. More particularly these criteria amend water quality criteria contained in the Basin Plan Chapters specifying water quality objectives (the State equivalent of federal water quality criteria) for the toxic pollutants identified in paragraph (d)(10)(ii) of this section. Although the State has adopted several use designations for each of these waters, for purposes of this action, the specific standards to be applied in paragraph (d)(10)(ii) of this section are based on the presence in all waters of some aquatic life designation and the presence or absence of the MUN use designation (Municipal and domestic supply). (See Basin Plans for more detailed use definitions.)

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the water and use classifications defined in paragraph (d)(10)(i) of this section and identified below:

## §131.36

## §131.36

## 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-00 Edition)

54, 59, 66, 67, 68, 78-82, 85, 89, 90, 91, 93, 95, 96, 98

#### Water and use classification Applicable criteria Waters of the State defined as bays or estuaries except the These waters are assigned the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and San Francisco Bay criteria in: Column B1-pollutants 5a and 14 Column B2-pollutants 5a and 14 Column C1-pollutant 14 Column C2-pollutant 14 Column D2-pollutants 1, 12, 17, 18, 21, 22, 29, 30, 32, 33, 37, 38, 42-44, 46, 48, 49, 54, 59, 66, 67, 68, 78-82, 85, 89, 90, 91, 93, 95, 96, 98 Waters of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and waters of These waters are assigned the the State defined as inland (i.e., all surface waters of the criteria in: State not bays or estuaries or ocean) that include a MUN Column B1-pollutants 5a use designation and 14 Column B2-pollutants 5a and 14 Column D1-pollutants 1, 12, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22, 29, 30, 32, 33, 37, 38, 42-48, 49, 59, 66, 67, 68, 78-82, 85, 89, 90, 91, 93, 95, 96, 98 Waters of the State defined as inland without an MUN use These waters are assigned the designation criteria in: Column B1-pollutants 5a and 14 Column B2-pollutants 5a and 14 Column D2-pollutants 1, 12, 17, 18, 21, 22, 29, 30, 32, 33, 37, 38, 42-44, 46, 48, 49, 54, 59, 66, 67, 68, 78-82, 85, 89, 90, 91, 93, 95, 96, 98 Waters of the San Joaquin River from the mouth of the In addition to the criteria as-Merced River to Vernalis signed to these waters elsewhere in this rule, these waters are assigned the criteria in: Column B2-pollutant 10 Waters of Salt Slough, Mud Slough (north) and the San Joa-In addition to the criteria asquin River, Sack Dam to the mouth of the Merced River signed to these waters elsewhere in this rule, these waters are assigned the criteria in: Column B1-pollutant 10 Column B2-pollutant 10 Waters of San Francisco Bay upstream to and including These waters are assigned the Suisun Bay and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta criteria in: Column B1-pollutants 5a, 10\* and 14 Column B2-pollutants 5a, 10\* and 14 Column C1-pollutant 14 Column C2-pollutant 14 Column D2-pollutants 1, 12, 17, 18, 21, 22, 29, 30, 32, 33, 37, 38, 42–44, 46, 48, 49,

#### Water and use classification

- All inland waters of the United States or enclosed bays and estuaries that are waters of the United States that include an MUN use designation and that the State has either excluded or partially excluded from coverage under its Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California, Tables 1 and 2, or its Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California, Tables 1 and 2, or has deferred applicability of those tables. (Category (a), (b), and (c) waters described on page 6 of Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California or page 6 of its Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California.)
- All inland waters of the United States that do not include an MUN use designation and that the State has either excluded or partially excluded from coverage under its Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California, Tables 1 and 2, or has deferred applicability of these tables. (Category (a), (b), and (c) waters described on page 6 of Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California.)
- All enclosed bays and estuaries that are waters of the United States that do not include an MUN designation and that the State has either excluded or partially excluded from coverage under its Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California, Tables 1 and 2, or its Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California, Tables 1 and 2, or has deferred applicability of those tables. (Category (a), (b), and (c) waters described on page 6 of Water Quality Control Plan for Inland Surface Waters of California or page 6 of its Water Quality Control Plan for Enclosed Bays and Estuaries of California.)

\*The fresh water selenium criteria are included for the San Francisco Bay estuary because high levels of bioaccumulation of selenium in the estuary indicate that the salt water criteria are underprotective for San Francisco Bay.

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-adopted  $10^{-6}$  risk level.

(11) Nevada, EPA Region 9. (i) All waters assigned the use classifications in Chapter 445 of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC), Nevada Water Pollution Control Regulations, which are referred to in paragraph (d)(11)(ii) of this section, are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(11)(ii) of this section, without exception. These criteria amend the existing State standards

#### Applicable criteria

- These waters are assigned the criteria for pollutants for which the State does not apply Table 1 or 2 standards. These criteria are: Column B1—all pollutants
  - Column B2—all pollutants Column D1—all pollutants except #2
- These waters are assigned the criteria for pollutants for which the State does not apply Table 1 or 2 standards. These criteria are:
  - Column B1—all pollutants Column B2—all pollutants Column D2—all pollutants except #2
- These waters are assigned the criteria for pollutants for which the State does not apply Table 1 or 2 standards. These criteria are:
  - Column B1—all pollutants Column B2—all pollutants Column C1—all pollutants Column C2—all pollutants Column D2—all pollutants except #2

contained in the Nevada Water Pollution Control Regulations. More particularly, these criteria amend or supplement the table of numeric standards in NAC 445.1339 for the toxic pollutants identified in paragraph (d)(11)(ii) of this section.

(ii) The following criteria from matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the waters defined in paragraph (d)(11)(i) of this section and identified below:

## §131.36

Water and use classification

- Waters that the State has included in NAC These waters are assigned the criteria in: 445.1339 where Municipal or domestic supply is a designated use
- Waters that the State has included in NAC These waters are assigned the criteria in: 445.1339 where Municipal or domestic supply is not a designated use

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the 10<sup>-5</sup> risk level, consistent with State policy. To determine appropriate value for carcinogens, see footnote c in the criteria matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(12) Alaska, EPA Region 10.

(i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in the Alaska Administrative Code (AAC), Chapter 18 (i.e., identified in 18 AAC 70.020) are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(12)(ii) of this section, without exception:

- 70.020.(1) (A) Fresh Water
- 70.020.(1) (A) Water Supply
- (i) Drinking, culinary, and food processing, (iii) Aquaculture;
- 70.020.(1) (B) Water Recreation

(i) Contact recreation,

- (ii) Secondary recreation;
- 70.020.(1) (C) Growth and propagation of fish, shellfish, other aquatic life, and wildlife
- 70.020.(2) (A) Marine Water
- 70.020.(2) (A) Water Supply

(i) Aquaculture,

(1)(

- 70.020.(2) (B) Water Recreation
  - (i) contact recreation,
- (ii) secondary recreation;
- 70.020.(2) (C) Growth and propagation of fish, shellfish, other aquatic life, and wildlife;
- 70.020.(2) (D) Harvesting for consumption of raw mollusks or other raw aquatic life.

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(12)(i) of this section:

Use classification Applicable criteria

A) i	Column B1—#9, 10, 13,
	53, and 126
	Column B2—#10
	Column D1

## 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-00 Edition)

#### Applicable criteria

Column B1—pollutant #118 Column B2—pollutant #118 Column D1—pollutant #118 Column D1—pollutants #15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 34, 37, 38, 42, 43, 55, 58–62, 64, 66, 73, 74, 78, 82, 85, 87–89, 91, 92, 96, 98, 100, 103, 104, 105, 114, 116, 117, 118 Column B1—pollutant #118 Column B2—pollutant #118 Column D2—all pollutants except #2.

Use classification	Applicable criteria
(1)(A) iii	#'s 16, 18–21, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 37, 38, 42–44, 53, 55, 59–62, 64, 66, 68, 73, 74, 78, 82, 85, 88, 89 91–93, 96, 98, 102–105, 107–111, 117–126 Column B1—#9, 10, 13, 53, and 126 Column D2 #'s 14, 16, 18–21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 37, 38
(1)(B)i, (1)(B) ii, (1)(C)	42-44, 46, 53, 54, 55, 59 62, 64, 66, 68, 73, 74, 78 82, 85, 88-93, 95, 96, 98, 102-105, 107-111, 115- 126 Column B1—#9, 10, 13, 53, and 126 Column B2—#10
	Column D2 #'s 14, 16, 18–21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 37, 38 42–44, 46, 53, 54, 55, 59- 62, 64, 66, 68, 73, 74, 78 82, 85, 88–93, 95, 96, 98, 102–105, 107–111, 115– 126
(2)(A) i, (2)(B)i, and (2)(B)ii, (2)(C), (2)(D)	Column C1—#9, 10, 13, and 53 Column C2—#10 Column D2 #'s 14, 16, 18–21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 37, 38 42–44, 46, 53, 54, 55, 59 62, 64, 66, 68, 73, 74, 78 82, 85, 88–93, 95, 96, 98, 102–105, 107–111, 115– 126

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State-proposed risk level of 10<sup>-5</sup>. To determine appropriate value for carcinogens, see footnote c in the criteria matrix in paragraph (b)(1)of this section.

- (13) [Reserved]
- (14) Washington, EPA Region 10.

(i) All waters assigned to the following use classifications in the Washington Administrative Code (WAC), Chapter 173–201 (i.e., identified in WAC 173–201–045) are subject to the criteria in paragraph (d)(14)(ii) of this section, without exception:

173-201-045

Fish and Shellfish Fish Water Supply (domestic) Recreation

(ii) The following criteria from the matrix in paragraph (b)(1) of this section apply to the use classifications identified in paragraph (d)(14)(i) of this section:

Use classification	Applicable criteria
Fish and Shellfish; Fish	These classifications are assigned the cri- teria in: Column C2—6, 14 Column D2—all
Water Supply (do- mestic)	These classifications are assigned the cri- teria in: Column D1—all
Recreation	This classification is assigned the criteria in: Column D2—Marine waters and freshwaters not protected for do- mestic water sup- ply

(iii) The human health criteria shall be applied at the State proposed risk level of  $10^{-6}$ .

[57 FR 60910, Dec. 22, 1992; 58 FR 31177, June 1, 1993, as amended at 58 FR 34499, June 25, 1993; 58 FR 36142, July 6, 1993; 60 FR 22229, 22235, May 4, 1995; 60 FR 44120, Aug. 24, 1995; 61 FR 60617, Nov. 29, 1996; 62 FR 52927, Oct. 9, 1997; 62 FR 53214, Oct. 10, 1997; 63 FR 10144, Mar. 2, 1998; 64 FR 61193, Nov. 9, 1999; 65 FR 19661, Apr. 12, 2000]

## §131.37 California.

(a) Additional criteria. The following criteria are applicable to waters specified in the Water Quality Control Plan for Salinity for the San Francisco Bay/ Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary, adopted by the California State Water Resources Control Board in State Board Resolution No. 91-34 on May 1, 1991:

(1) Estuarine habitat criteria. (i) General rule. (A) Salinity (measured at the surface) shall not exceed 2640 specific micromhos/centimeter conductance at 25 °C (measured as a 14-day moving average) at the Confluence of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers throughout the period each year from February 1 through June 30, and shall not exceed 2640 micromhos/centimeter specific conductance at 25 °C (measured as a 14-day moving average) at the specific locations noted in Table 1 near Roe Island and Chipps Island for the number of days each month in the February 1 to June 30 period computed by reference to the following formula:

Number of days required in Month X = Total number of days in Month X \* (1  $- 1/(1+e^{K})$ 

where

- K = A + (B\*natural logarithm of the previous month's 8-River Index);
- A and B are determined by reference to Table 1 for the Roe Island and Chipps Island locations;
- x is the calendar month in the February 1 to June 30 period;
- and e is the base of the natural (or Napierian) logarithm.

Where the number of days computed in this equation in paragraph (a)(1)(i)(A) of this section shall be rounded to the nearest whole number of days. When the previous month's 8-River Index is less than 500,000 acre-feet, the number of days required for the current month shall be zero.

TABLE 1. CONSTANTS APPLICABLE TO EACH OF THE MONTHLY EQUATIONS TO DETERMINE MONTHLY REQUIREMENTS DESCRIBED.

Month Y	Chipps	Island	Roe Island (if triggered)	
WORT X	А	В	А	В
Feb	_ 1	_ 1	- 14.36	+2.068
Mar	- 105.16	+15.943	- 20.79	+2.741
Apr May	-47.17 -94.93	+6.441 +13.662	- 28.73 - 54.22	+3.783 +6.571

Appendix D.

Puget Sound Waterbody Assessment

Washington State Water Quality Assessment List for 2002/2004 Simple Query

	er quality Assessment for Wash	Ington - Simple Query Fo	m
Water Body Name:		On 96 List	t ?: 🔽
Parameter:	<u>×</u>	On 98 List	t ?: •
Medium: [		Listing	ID:
Township:	Range: Section:	• WRIA: 99 •	
	Basis		
	Execute Quer	у	

# 2004 Water Quality Assessment (Final) - Category 5 Sediment Listings

		Grid Cell Number			
<b>WRIA</b>	Waterbody Name	or Twp-Rg-Sec	Combined Parameters	Basis	
1	SQUALICUM CREEK	38N-02E-43	Sediment Bioassay	Blakely, 2004, shows significant Chironomus mortality in sediments collected at stations LSC02 and LSC03 in 2003. Blakely, 2004, shows significant Hyalella mortality in sediments collected at station LSC03 and LSC04 in 2003.	
1	STRAIT OF GEORGIA	48122I7D1	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=INTLCO93!SIZ 012; M=INTLCO93!SIZ 014; L=INTLCO93!SIZ 013) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/13/1993.	
1	STRAIT OF GEORGIA	48122I7E2	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=INTLCO93!SIZ 016; M=INTLCO93!SIZ 004; L=INTLCO93!SIZ 002) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/13/1993.	
3	PADILLA BAY, FIDALGO BAY, AND GUEMES CHANNEL	48122F5A6	Hexachlorobutadiene; 4-Methylphenol; 2-Methylphenol; Phenol; 4- Methylphenol; Pentachlorophenol; Benzyl alcohol; Benzoic acid; 2,4- Dimethylphenol; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BIOEFF97!16-2; M=SHELL95!SDOWN; L=SHELL92!AShI3-03) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 6/4/1997.	
3	PADILLA BAY, FIDALGO BAY, AND GUEMES CHANNEL	48122F5B7	1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BIOEFF97!16-1; M=BIOEFF97!19-2; L=BIOEFF97!19-3) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 6/4/1997.	
3	SAMISH BAY	48122F5I3	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene; 2,4-Dimethylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=PSAMP93!5; M=TXNPDS92!TX92REF3; L=PSAMP92!5) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/1/1993.	
6	HOLMES HARBOR	48122A5D1	Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobutadiene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; Benzyl alcohol; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SCLAIR94!449; M=SCLAIR94!476; L=EVTWE494!HM-05) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 5/24/1994.	
6	SARATOGA PASSAGE	48122C6I3	2-Methylphenol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; - Methylphenol; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Benzoic acid;Pentachlorophenol; Benzyl alcohol; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BIOEFF97!23-3; M=BIOEFF97!23-1; L=BIOEFF97!23-3) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 7/3/1997.	

WRIA	Waterbody Name	Grid Cell Number or Twp-Rg-Sec	Combined Parameters	Basis
7	EVERETT HARBOR	48122A2A1	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations EVRT12TH*EV1205MC*EV12TH92C005*2/6/1992; EVRT12TH*EV1204MC*EV12TH92C004*2/4/1992; EVRT12TH*EV1202MC*EV12TH92C002*2/3/1992) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1992.
7	PORT GARDNER AND INNER EVERETT HARBOR	47122J2H2	Phenol; Benzyl alcohol; 4- Methylphenol; 2-Methylphenol; Arsenic; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; Benzoic acid; Pentachlorophenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BIOEFF97!31-3; M=BIOEFF97!31-3; L=BIOEFF97!31-2) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 6/25/1997.
7	PORT GARDNER AND INNER EVERETT HARBOR	47122J2l1	2-Methylphenol; Benzoic acid; Pentachlorophenol; 2,4- Dimethylphenol; Benzyl alcohol; 4- Methylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BIOEFF97!29-1; M=BIOEFF97!29-1; L=BIOEFF97!29-2) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 6/26/1997.
7	PORT GARDNER AND INNER EVERETT HARBOR	47122J2I2	2-Methylphenol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate; Phenol; Pentachlorophenol; 4-Methylphenol; Benzoic acid; Benzyl alcohol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BIOEFF97!30-2; M=BIOEFF97!30-2; L=BIOEFF97!29-3) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 6/27/1997.
7	SNOHOMISH RIVER	29N-05E-08	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=EVTWE494!W4- 03; M=EVTWE494!SR-01; L=EVTWE494!SR-02) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 3/31/1994.
8	ELLIOTT BAY	47122G3A3	2-Methylphenol; Acenaphthene; 2,4- Dimethylphenol; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE; 2- Methylnaphthalene; Phenanthrene; Fluorene; Sediment Bioassay; Hexachlorobenzene; Dibenzofuran; Naphthalene; Benzoic acid; Mercury; Benzyl alcohol; LPAH; Silver; Hexachlorobutadiene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=P53MON93!P53VG10; M=P53MON93!P53VG11; L=P53MON93!P53VG5) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 5/21/1993.
8	LAKE UNION	25N-04E-99	Sediment Bioassay	This segment will remain in Category 5 with a corrected Listing Basis field: Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations TAMU02_E*LU-H-1*29-8107*3/13/2002; TAMU02_E*LU-H-2*29-8108*3/13/2002; TAMU02_E*LU-L-10*29-8116*3/13/2002) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 2002.
8	LAKE UNION	47122G3G9	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations SALIII97*5A2*97218294*5/21/1997; SALIII97*8A2*97218305*5/21/1997; SALIII97*8C3*97218307*5/21/1997) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1997.

		Grid Cell Number		
WRIA	Waterbody Name	or Twp-Rg-Sec	<b>Combined Parameters</b>	Basis
8	PUGET SOUND (N-CENTRAL) AND USELESS BAY	47122 3A9	2,4-Dimethylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; N- nitrosodiphenylamine	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=EDMDUNOC!SD01; M=EDMDUNOC!SD07; L=EDMDUNOC!SD10) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 9/12/2000.
8	PUGET SOUND (N-CENTRAL) AND USELESS BAY	47122I3B8	2,4-Dimethylphenol; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene; Bis(2- ethylhexyl)phthalate; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=EDMOND95!E01; M=EDMOND95!E02; L=EDMOND95!E03) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 8/22/1995.
8	PUGET SOUND (N-CENTRAL) AND USELESS BAY	47122I3E4	Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=LYNNWD95!LO3; M=LYNNWD95!LO1; L=LYNNWD95!LO4) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 8/22/1995.
9	DUWAMISH WATERWAY AND RIVER	23N-04E-04	N-nitrosodiphenylamine; 1,4- Dichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; Total PCBs; Dibenz(a,h)anthracene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; Butylbenzyl phthalate; Sediment Bioassay; Hexachlorobutadiene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=LODRIV98!DR257; M=LODRIV98!DR294; L=LODRIV98!DR295) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 9/15/1998.
9	DUWAMISH WATERWAY AND RIVER	24N-04E-18	4-Methylphenol; Total PCBs	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=HIRIPH2!K-10; M=HIRIPH2!K-03; L=HIRIPH2!K-04) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 10/14/1991. DR31 - Duwamish/Diagonal CSO. CERCLA-NRDA. Dredged.
9	DUWAMISH WATERWAY AND RIVER	24N-04E-29	1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 1,4- Dichlorobenzene; Total PCBs; Hexachlorobenzene; Butylbenzyl phthalate; Hexachlorobutadiene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; Phenol; N- nitrosodiphenylamine; Fluoranthene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=LODRIV98IDR171; M=LODRIV98!DR115; L=LODRIV98IDR140) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 9/23/1998.
9	DUWAMISH WATERWAY AND RIVER	24N-04E-33	4-Methylphenol; Total PCBs	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=HIRIPH2!K-05; M=HIRIPH2!K-05; L=HIRIPH2!K-05) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 10/14/1991. Boeing Plant 2. RCRA. Remedial Investigation.
9	ELLIOTT BAY	47122F3I4	N-nitrosodiphenylamine; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobutadiene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; Butylbenzyl phthalate; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; Bis(2- ethylhexyl)phthalate; 2-Methylphenol; Total PCBs; Pentachlorophenol; 2,4- Dimethylphenol; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene; Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=HIRIPH2!E-16; M=HIRIPH2!E-17; L=HIRIPH2!E-15) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 10/2/1991.

		Grid Cell Number		
WRIA	Waterbody Name	or Twp-Rg-Sec	Combined Parameters	Basis
9	ELLIOTT BAY	47122F3I6	2,4-Dimethylphenol;N- nitrosodiphenylamine; Pentachlorophenol; 1,4- Dichlorobenzene; 4-Methylphenol; 2- Methylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; Dibenz(a,h)anthracene; Dimethyl phthalate; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene, Hexachlorobutadiene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=HIRIPH2!N-11; M=HIRIPH2!N-11; L=HIRIPH2!N-20) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 10/15/1991.
9	ELLIOTT BAY	47122F3I7	Hexachlorobenzene; 2,4- Dimethylphenol; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SEACRE97!10537-2; M=SEACRE97!10537-1; L=SEACRE97!10537-4) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 3/21/1997.
9	PUGET SOUND (S-CENTRAL) AND EAST PASSAGE	47122E3A3	Benzyl alcohol; 2-Methylphenol; 2,4- Dimethylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=MIDWAY95!1- 106; M=MIDWAY95!1-108; L=MIDWAY95!1-105) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/6/1995.
9	PUGET SOUND (S-CENTRAL) AND EAST PASSAGE	47122E3A4	Benzyl alcohol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; 2- Methylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=MIDWAY95!1- 102; M=MIDWAY95!1-101; L=MIDWAY95!1-102) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/6/1995.
9	PUGET SOUND (S-CENTRAL) AND EAST PASSAGE	47122E3E6	2,4-Dimethylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SWSSD96!SEDM5a; M=SWSSD96!SEDM7a; L=SWSSD96!SEDM4) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 8/29/1996.
9	PUGET SOUND (S-CENTRAL) AND EAST PASSAGE	47122E3I7	2,4-Dimethylphenol; Benzyl alcohol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SWSSD96!SEDS8; M=SWSSD96!SEDS1; L=SWSSD96!SEDS3) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 8/28/1996.
9	SPRINGBROOK (MILL) CREEK	22N-04E-01	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations MILLCRP2*MS139*9201146*9/28/1992*none; MILLCRP2*MS140*9201147*9/28/1992*none; MILLCRP2*MS141*9201148*9/28/1992*none) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1992. Era-Miller, B., (2004), sediment samples from stations UPPER MILL, MILL-1, MILL-2, MILL-3 showed a significant toxicity determined by the 20-day Chironomus tentans test.
9	SPRINGBROOK (MILL) CREEK	23N-04E-36	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations MILLCRP2*MS104*9201136*9/23/1992*none; MILLCRP2*MS102*9201134*9/23/1992*none; MILLCRP2*MS103*9201135*9/23/1992*none) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1992.

		Grid Cell Number		
<b>WRIA</b>	Waterbody Name	or Twp-Rg-Sec	<b>Combined Parameters</b>	Basis
10	COMMENCEMENT BAY (INNER)	21N-03E-99	Copper	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=HYLE9496!5215; M=HYLE9496!HC-HY-04; L=HYLE9496!HC-HY-06) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 12/20/1995.
10	COMMENCEMENT BAY (INNER)	47122C4G2	N-nitrosodiphenylamine; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobutadiene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SITCUMHA!04296-02; M=STPAUL93!SS-1; L=STPAUL93!SS-2) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/6/1994.
10	COMMENCEMENT BAY (INNER)	47122C4H2	1,2-Dichlorobenzene; Benzoic acid; 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SITCUMHA!04296-05; M=SITCUMHA!04296-07; L=SITCUMHA!04296-03) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/6/1994.
10	HYLEBOS WATERWAY	21N-03E-36	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations HYLE9496*1135*1135 S*4/9/1996; HYLE9496*1122*1122 S*4/8/1996; HYLE9496*1212*1212 I*4/5/1996) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1997.
10	HYLEBOS WATERWAY	21N-03E-99	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations HYLE9496*5116*5116 S*7/15/1996; HYLE9496*5113*5113 S*7/13/1996; HYLE9496*4104*4104 S*7/12/1996) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1997.Data from the Dept.
12	PUGET SOUND (SOUTH)	47122B5I8	Hexachlorobenzene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BCWTAC95!Outfl_Md; M=BCWTAC95!Outfl_No; L=BCWTAC95!Outfl_No) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 9/28/1995.
12	PUGET SOUND (SOUTH)	47122B5J8	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=CHAMBR95!STN+30; M=CHAMBR95!STN-310; L=CHAMBR95!STN+310) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 11/6/1995.
15	DYES INLET AND PORT WASHINGTON NARROWS	47122F6I8	2,4-Dimethylphenol; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; 2-Methylphenol; Hexachlorobutadiene; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene; Hexachlorobenzene; N-nitrosodiphenylamine; Pentachlorophenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=JCKSON94!340; M=JCKSON94!341; L=JCKSON94!321) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 7/15/1994. USN Jackson Park Ostrich Bay. CERCLA-MTCA. Feasibility study.

<b>WRIA</b>	Waterbody Name	Grid Cell Number or Twp-Rg-Sec	Combined Parameters	Basis
15	DYES INLET AND PORT WASHINGTON NARROWS	47122F6J8	2,4-Dimethylphenol; 1,4- Dichlorobenzene; 2-Methylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; Dibenz(a,h)anthracene; Hexachlorobutadiene; N- nitrosodiphenylamine; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=JCKSON94!336; M=JCKSON94!336; L=JCKSON94!311) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 7/14/1994. USN Jackson Park Ostrich Bay. CERCLA-MTCA. Feasibility study.
15	SINCLAIR INLET	47122F6E6	2,4-Dimethylphenol; Mercury	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BREMTP98!W- 000; M=BREMTP98!E-000; L=BREMTP98!E-200) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/28/1998. USN PSNS - Sinclair West. CERCLA-MTCA. Cleanup and monitoring. Has ROD that only partially addresses contaminated sediments in this area.
15	SINCLAIR INLET	47122F6E7	Mercury	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=BREMTP98!W-400; M=BREMTP98!W-600; L=BREMTP98!W-200) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 4/29/1998. USN PSNS - Sinclair West. CERCLA-MTCA. Cleanup and monitoring. Has ROD that only partially addresses contaminated sediments in this area.
15	SINCLAIR INLET	47122F6F1	Benzyl alcohol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; Hexachlorobutadiene; 1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene; 2-Methylphenol; 1,2- Dichlorobenzene; 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SCLAIR94!487; M=SCLAIR94!493; L=SCLAIR94!486) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 5/2/1994. USN PSNS Sinclair East. CERCLA-MTCA Cleanup and monitoring. Has ROD that only partially addresses contaminated sediments in this area.
15	SINCLAIR INLET	47122F6F3	1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene; Benzyl alcohol; 1,2-Dichlorobenzene; 2,4- Dimethylphenol; Hexachlorobenzene; 2-Methylphenol; Hexachlorobutadiene; Mercury	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SCLAIR94!473; M=SCLAIR94!472; L=SCLAIR94!474) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 5/19/1994. USN PSNS - Sinclair East. CERCLA-MTCA. Cleanup and monitoring. Has ROD that only partially addresses contaminated sediments in this area.
15	SINCLAIR INLET	47122F6F4	Mercury	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=PIER_D95!E-3- 95; M=PIER_D95!E-1-95; L=PIER_D95!E-5-95) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 12/17/1994. USN PSNS - Sinclair West. CERCLA-MTCA. Cleanup and monitoring. Has ROD that only partially addresses contaminated sediments in this area.
15	SINCLAIR INLET	47122F6F5	Benzoic acid; Mercury; Benzyl alcohol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=PIER_D95!W-1- 95; M=SCLAIR94!468; L=SCLAIR94!451) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 3/7/1995. USN PSNS - Sinclair West. CERCLA-MTCA. Cleanup and monitoring. Has ROD that only partially addresses contaminated sediments in this area.

		Grid Cell Number		
WRIA	Waterbody Name	or Twp-Rg-Sec	<b>Combined Parameters</b>	Basis
18	ADMIRALTY INLET (OUTER)	48123A0I3	1,2,4- Trichlorobenzene;Hexachlorobenzene;1 ,2-Dichlorobenzene	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=SEQUIM97!ST- 103; M=SEQUIM97!ST-101; L=SEQUIM97!ST-102) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 8/14/1997.
22	GRAYS HARBOR (INNER)	46123J8G5	2,4-Dimethylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=GRAYH_99!9S; M=PGHT294!1; L=PGHT294!6) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 3/30/1998.
22	GRAYS HARBOR (INNER)	46123J8G7	Benzyl alcohol; 2,4-Dimethylphenol; 4- Methylphenol	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations H=GRAYH_99!2C- 2; M=GRAYH_99!2C-1; L=GRAYH_99!2C-3) show the average of 3 samples exceeds the Sediment Management Standards CSL chemical criterion on 3/31/1998.
28	COLUMBIA RIVER	45122G7E4	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations VALCOA93*C*VALCHC*9/21/1993*Columbia River; VALCOA93*E*VALCHE*9/21/1993*Columbia River; VALCOA93*D*VALCHD*9/21/1993*Columbia River) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1993.
31	COLUMBIA RIVER	45120H6C8	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations COLALU94*B*CA-CH-B*11/4/1993*Columbia River; COLALU94*B*CA-CH-B*11/4/1993*Columbia River; COLALU94*B*CA-CH-B*11/4/1993*Columbia River) show a significant response to sediment bioassay from samples tested in 1993. This segment is listed as Category 5, the listing criteria requires 3 points per segment to be listed in Category 5.
53	FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LAKE	47118J8E4	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations LKROOS01*SWAWILLA*1198049*5/9/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*SWAWILLA*1198049*5/9/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*SWAWILLA*1198049*5/9/2001*Lake Roosevelt) show a significant response to sediment biossays tested in 2001. This segment is listed as Category 5, the listing criteria requires 3 points per segment to be listed in Category 5.
61	FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LAKE	48117J6H4	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations LKROOS01*AUXGAGE*1198042*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*AUXGAGE*1198042*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*AUXGAGE*1198042*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt) show a significant response to sediment bioassay. This segment is listed as Category 5, the listing criteria requires 3 points per segment to be listed in Category 5.
61	FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LAKE	48117J6J3	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations LKROOS01*BOUNDARY*1198043*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*BOUNDARY*1198043*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*BOUNDARY*1198043*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt) show a significant response to sediment bioassay. This segment is listed as Category 5, the listing criteria requires 3 points per segment to be listed in Category 5.

<b>WRIA</b>	Waterbody Name	Grid Cell Number or Twp-Rg-Sec	Combined Parameters	Basis
61	FRANKLIN D. ROOSEVELT LAKE	48117J7E3	Sediment Bioassay	Data from the Dept. of Ecology SEDQUAL database (stations LKROOS01*GOODEVCK*1198041*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*GOODEVCK*1198041*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt; LKROOS01*GOODEVCK*1198041*5/8/2001*Lake Roosevelt) show a significant response to sediment bioassay. This segment is listed as Category 5, the listing criteria requires 3 points per segment to be listed in Category 5.

Wednesday, November 2, 2005

Appendix E.

**EIM Sediment Monitoring Data - Examples** 

## --Downloads - EIM Database Search--

## Page 1 of 1

- Department of	FIM nownloads					En la manada (a)	Information Mana	nument Sustam		
	ETT Dominolar		Marrie	George Database	Submit Data	Downlinets	Hein About	Contact Us		
	Ecology > EIH > Downloads		Provine:	pearch contacting	autorine croca	Deminister	100			
Downloads	EIM Ready-Made Data Downloads									
Study Parameter	Below are links to ready-made EIM datasets, available immediately for download without the need to conduct a search. They are offered in the following categories:									
County Reference Tables	Study Downloads - Complete detasets for each of the 350-plus environmental studies in EIM. Parameter Downloads - Complete detasets for each of the 3400-plus parameters in EIM, such as mercury, E. coll, or water temperature. WILA Downloads - Monitoring results for entire Washington State counties. County Downloads - Monitoring results for entire Washington State counties. EIM Reference Tables - Select parameter, method, unit of measure, lab, taxon, tissue type, or result data qualifier.									
	Clicking "Download" under any of the cab (comma separated variable) file or files s data definitions is also included. To acces	agories below will export the ready-made dataset of choice ultable for importing into a spreadsheet (like MS Excel) or r s the EIM ready-made downloads below, you will need a Zi	to a Zip (compressed a a database (like MS Acco p file extracting tool suc	chive) file containing a ss). A PDF file containin h as WinZip.	CSV Ig EIM					
	Downloads last updated: Saturday, April	21, 2006.								
	Study Downloads									
	Each Study Download Includes a Zip file containing study-specific details, monitoring location information, and monitoring results. EIM data definitions are also included. If applicable, study-specific well location, pump, log, and interval information is included.									
	Select 1985 Elliott Bay sediment survey									
	Download	format: Comma Delimited								
	Parameter Downloads									
	Each Parameter Download includ parameter, as well as EIM data d	es a Zip file containing state-wide monitoring rest efinitions.	uits for the chosen							
	Select Parameter Bis(2-E	thylhexyl) Phthalate								
	Down	Format: Comma Delimited								
	WRIA Downloads									
	Each WRIA (Water Resource Inventory Area) Download includes a Zip file containing complete, WRIA-wide monitoring results, study and monitoring location information, and EIM data definitions.									
	Select WRIA 9 - Duv	vamish-Green								
	Down	Format: Comma Delimited								
	County Downloads									
	Each County Download includes a Zip file containing complete, county-wide monitoring results, study and monitoring location information, and EIM data definitions.									
	Select County King									
	Down	Format: Comma Delimited								
	EIM Reference Tables									
	Each reference table includes a comma delimited file. Select parameter, method, unit of measure, lab, taxon, tissue type or result data qualifier.									
	Select Table Param	ster -								
	Down	Format: Comma Delimited								
	- Top   Ecology   E3N   Search Database   Submit Data   Downloads   Help   About   Contact Uz									
	EIM Distaloaan Search 2006 - Dala Dischammer Privacy Noton. Copyright & 2006 Weakivigton (bale Department of Ecology, Ad Rights Reserved.									
http://apps.ecy	.wa.gov/eimreporti	ng/Downloads.htm						4/7/2006		