APPENDIX A

ANNUAL SURVEY PROGRAM FY 2005

FY 2005			
Number Mailed	1,805		
Number Returned	287		
Response Rate	16%		

What was the nature of your correspondence to OSC? (Please choose only one)		
Response Options	FY 2005	
You filed a complaint concerning a Prohibited Personnel Practice	229	
You requested a written advisory opinion from OSC concerning a possible violation of the Hatch Act (unlawful political activity)	42	
Your case involved a USERRA complaint	16	

APPENDIX B

FY 2005 PROHIBITED PERSONNEL PRACTICE SURVEY RESPONSES

1. Did the agency against which you filed the complaint inform you about your rights and responsibilities with regard to prohibited personnel practices?			
Response options	FY 2005		
Yes	41		
No	165		
Do not recall	20		
Never employed by a federal agency	3		

2. Did you obtain the result that you wanted from OSC?				
Response options	FY 2005			
Yes	9			
No	220			

3. Did your complaint include any allegation of reprisal for whistleblowing?				
Response options	FY 2005			
Yes	118			
No	102			

4. What reason did OSC give for closing any reprisal for whistleblowing a complaint without obtaining the result that you desired? (Check all that ap	
Response Options	FY 2005
No OSC jurisdiction over your position, the agency, or agency official involved in the complaint	14
No personnel action taken by the agency involved	15
Information that you disclosed did not appear to be a legally protected disclosure	22
Your disclosure occurred after the personnel action involved in your complaint	3
Insufficient proof that the agency official (who took the personnel action against you) knew about your disclosure.	11
Insufficient proof of connection between your disclosure and the personnel action involved in your complaint	31
OSC could not disprove the reason given by the agency involved for the personnel action taken, as described in your complaint.	15
Insufficient evidence that the personnel action involved in your complaint violated a law or regulation	33
You or OSC settled the matter with the agency involved	4
You declined corrective action offered by the agency involved	0
You notified OSC that you had filed or would file an Individual Right of Action (IRA) or other appeal with the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB)	7
You withdrew your complaint	0
Other State of the	45
Do not recall	14

5. Did you file an Individual Right of Action or other appeal with the MSPB in connection with the same events that you reported in your complaint to OSC?			
Response Options	FY 2005		
Yes	55		
No ·	135		
Have not decided whether to file	29		

Response Options	FY 2005
Yes	48
No	6

7. Were you successful at the MSPB in obtaining the same result that you sought from OSC?			
Response Options	FY 2005		
Yes	4		
Partially	3		
No	27		
Appeal pending	14		

8. If the answer [to the previous question] was "yes" or "partially," how did you obtain that result?			
Response Options	FY 2005		
Settlement	4		
Decision after hearing			
Other	3		

9. What reason did OSC give for closing your complaint without obtain result that you Desired? (Check all that apply)	ing the
Response Options:	FY 2005
No OSC jurisdiction over your position, the agency, or agency official involved in the complaint	13
No personnel action taken by the agency involved	4
OSC could not disprove the reason given by the agency involved for the personnel action taken, as described in your complaint	15
Insufficient evidence that the personnel action involved in your complaint violated a law or regulation	39
You or OSC settled the matter with the agency involved	0
You declined corrective action offered by the agency involved	0
You withdrew your complaint	1
OSC filed a petition with the Merit Systems Protection Board (MSPB) for corrective action	0
OSC obtained a decision in the corrective action proceeding filed with the MSPB	0
Closed for further action on discrimination allegations through EEO processes	6
Resolved through OSC's Mediation Program	0
Other	38
Do not recall	10

10. How would you rate the service provided by OSC in each of the following areas?						
		Very satisfied	Satisfied	No opinion, or N/A	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied
Courtesy	FY 2005	22	47	45	36	79
Oral communicat ions	FY 2005	15	29	52	36	97
Written communicat ions	FY 2005	14	35	17	56	107
Timeliness	FY 2005	20	44	42	41	82
Results	FY 2005	5	8	12	33	171

APPENDIX C

FY 2005 HATCH ACT UNIT SURVEY RESPONSES

1. As a result of our written advisory opinion given to you concerning the proposed political activity, what was the impact?		
Response Options	FY 2005	
The OSC opinion advised that the person in question was free to carry out his or her planned political activity.	22	
The OSC opinion advised that the person in question should not continue his or her planned political activity.	20	

2. How would yo	u rate the se	rvice provi	ded by OSC	in the followin	g areas? OSC	in the
Response Options	Fiscal Year	Very satisfied	Satisfied	No opinion / inapplicable	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied
Courtesy	FY 2005	21	9	5	1	6
Clarity Written Communications	FY 2005	21	9	2	3	7
Timeliness	FY 2005	13	15	1	4	9
Results	FY 2005	17	8	2	3	12

APPENDIX D

FY 2005 USERRA UNIT SURVEY RESPONSES

1. Did the agency against which you filed the complaint inform you about your rights ar remedies with regard to USERRA?			
Response Options	FY 2005		
Yes	0		
No	14		
Do not recall			
Never employed by a federal agency			

Response options	FY 2005
Ves	3

3. What reason did OSC give for closing your USERRA case? (Check all that apply.)			
Response options	FY 2005		
No OSC jurisdiction over your position, the agency, or agency official involved in the complaint	0		
You declined corrective action offered by the agency involved	· 沙洲整1种型影響系统		
Insufficient evidence that the personnel action involved in your complaint violated USERRA	5		
You or OSC settled the matter with the agency involved	0 1 1		
You withdrew your complaint	1		
Other	8 1 1 2 2 2 2		
Do not recall	0 3 3 3 3 3 3		

4. Did you file a USERRA appeal with the MSPB in connection with the same events that you reported in your complaint to OSC?			
Response options	FY 2005		
Yes	4		
No	5		
Do not recall	4		

Response options		2. 新世纪第二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十二十	FY 2005
Yes	OF THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON O	(基础)	4
No	The same was a substitute of the same of t	In a second	0
No not recall		阿拉特氏的复数	0

6. Were you successful at the MSPB in obtaining the same result that you sought from OS			
Response options		FY 2005	
Yes	SEE STATE OF THE SECOND	0	
Partially		(Fig. 122 0)	
No		102	
Appeal pending		2	

7. If the answer to previous question was "Yes" or "Partially," how did you obtain that result				
Response options	FY 2005			
Settlement	0			
Decision after hearing	0			
other				

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	建	Very satisfied	Satisfied	No opinion, or N/A	Dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied
Courtesy	FY 2005	6	0	5	0	5
Oral communicat ions	FY 2005	4.	2	2	2	6
Written communicat ions	FY 2005	4	0	2	2	8
Timeliness	FY 2005	3	1.	3	2	7
Results	FY 2005	4	1	1	2	8