



FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE

Columbus Fraud Resident Agency
US Army Criminal Investigation Command
200 N. High St., Room 112, PO Box 151076
Columbus, Ohio 43215

0049-05-CID532-10613

Page 1 of 2 Pages

AGENT'S INVESTIGATION REPORT

INTERVIEW OF MR. [REDACTED] 25 APRIL 2007

About 1040 hrs, 25 April 2007, the reporting agent and SA [REDACTED] DCIS, Dayton Resident Agency interviewed Mr. [REDACTED] at the Headquarters Building, Number 219, Blue Grass Army Depot (BGAD) Mr. [REDACTED] was interviewed regarding igloo water sample collection and analysis processes.

Mr. [REDACTED] is further identified as:

[REDACTED]
DOB: [REDACTED]
POB: [REDACTED]

Mr. [REDACTED] stated he has worked in the Toxic Chemical Munitions (TCM) area since 1984 to the present as an Inspector.

Mr. [REDACTED] explained why water might collect in storage igloos and that a list of igloos to be inspected was received daily from the CMA Operations Center. He said inspections of igloos was to note matters out of the ordinary and determining if water needed to be collected from the igloo. He said every igloo was monitored weekly, but that monitoring did not involve entering the igloo.

He described the water collection process. The process was the same as described by Mr. [REDACTED] except Mr. [REDACTED] stated that a sample tube of water was collected from each igloo. Upon examination of BGAD Form L16, exhibits, attached at enclosure 1 through 10, he said that the number of tubes of water samples collected was consistent with the number of igloos noted in the comments section of the form. He stated he did not collect water samples from the collection tank.

He noted that in his 23 years in the TCM, he had never been told that a water sample tested 'positive', nor had there been a chemical munitions leak. He had never seen or heard of the results of an analysis of the collected water samples.

He said igloos were always inspected with 2 man teams. He described in detail the process of gaining access to an igloo to conduct an inspection. The person conducting the RTAP examination was always the first guy on the scene when an igloo was to be entered

He said water samples were put into small vials and the vial was marked with the igloo number and date. A gage was used to determine the amount of water removed from the igloo and added to the collection tank.

Water samples were released to someone in the lab, and if no one was there they would be left in the 'hood' with a BGAD Form L16

He said when a collection tank was full; it was poured into the sewer. He was instructed to do this by his supervisor, Mr. [REDACTED]

////////////////////////////////////CONTINUED ON PAGE 2////////////////////////////////////

Preparing Special Agent:

[REDACTED]

25 April 2007

Exhibit 41

128