U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Lead Safety Partnership (LSP) Voluntary Initiative

Briefing for the Deputy Administrator May 19, 2004



Purpose

· To inform you and receive direction on our recommend approach to addressing the risks from renovation and remodeling activities.

Background

- Problem
 - Renovation and Remodeling (R&R) activiti large amounts of lead contaminated dust.
- Traditional cleaning methods are likely to leave hazardous quantities of lead dust - Associated with an increased risk of an elevated blood lead levels in children.
- The use of lead safe work practices can reduce or eliminate these hazards. Lead safe work practices (LSWPs) control hazards by...
 - containing the work space
 - minimizing dust generation
 - cleaning the work space
- · Statutory obligations

Background

- As a follow-up to our last meeting on the regulatory options for R&R, we regulatory, voluntary and combined approaches.
- Regulatory approach is costly
- · Voluntary approach the most cost-effective
- Anticipate significant results
- Benefits of voluntary approach
 - Results A highly decentralized industry is difficult to regulate
- Time Quicker implementation than a regulatory program
- Resources Implementation and enforcement of regulations is very re
- Flexibility Regulatory programs have difficulty responding quickly to market changes and technology advances

Background -- Voluntary Program **Fundamentals**

- · The program is collaborative and market based
 - Collaborative
 - · Partner with national organizations to leverage support
 - · Enroll and train contractors (LSWPs)
 - Conduct education & outreach to generate demand for LSWPs
 - · Continually evaluate the program
 - Market based
 - · Offer incentives and marketing aids
 - · Allow members to use program logo



Discussions to Date with Stakeholde

- · December 2002 scoping study
 - Interviewed 20+ individuals representing a range of stakeholders
 - Stakeholder perspective
 - · Few contractors use lead-safe work practices
 - · Little public demand
 - · Need to maintain capacity
- Bottom line: A voluntary R&R program is feasible, valuable, and timely
- · Stakeholders suggested a number of activities that EPA could talk
- · Challenges: insurance liability, differing state requirements, etc.
- Timeframe: 1-2 years to get the program started, and 4-5 years before it takes on its own momentum

Discussions to Date with Stakeholde

- March 2003 PDCA Focus Group
- Conducted 1-hour focus group with 5 participants on variables that could in LSWP marketing
- · May-June 2003 Stakeholder Discussions
 - Industry overwhelmingly in favor of a voluntary approach over regulator framework changes
 - State & local reps. cautiously optimistic about a voluntary approach
 - Both groups raise insurance liability as an issue

Characteristics of Successful Voluntary Programs

- · Win-win situation
- · Solid business plan that presents goals, strategles and tactics
 - Clear & measurable goals, w/ quantitative targets
 - Participation of key, visible partners
 - Use of pilots to get up & running and show early success
 - Flexibility
- · Building on the success and best practices from other Agency initiatives



5/19/04, Prom

Win-win for the R&R Voluntary Program

- Provide independent, unbiased information to contractors and consumers
- Be financially feasible in a very price-competitive field (e.g., over or offset financial barriers to choosing LSWPs, "level the playing financial"
- · Help connect trained contractors to interested consumers
- Motivate a change in behavior for contractors and consumers

Overview of 4-Prong Strategy

- · Technical support
- · Communications & outreach
 - Brand differentiation
 - Increase demand
 - Leverage partners
- Recruiting
- Account mañagement



51804 Page 1

Program Costs

- Program Design Costs (\$120,000)
- Business plan and documents (\$66,000)
- Communications plan and materials (\$39,000)
- Evaluation plan (\$16,000)
- Pilot Costs (2 cities, \$420,000)
 - Set-Up (\$26,000)
 - Launch (\$58,000)
 - Operations (\$308,000)
 - Evaluation (\$24,000)

Annual Program Costs

- · Annual Program Costs (\$1 million)
 - Ongoing program design/evaluation (\$50,000)
 - Training (\$125,000)
 - Communication (\$375,000)
 - Recruiting (\$325,000)
 - Account management (\$150,000)
 - Beginning in FY 06, depending on progress of pilots



Attachment A—

Statutory Obligations

- Statutory obligations (TSCA 402(C))
 - Conduct a study of the extent to which persons engaged in various types of R&R activities are exposed to lead, or disturb lead and create a LBP hazard.
 Finding: R&R activities produce hazardous quantities of lead dust, traditional cle methods do not eliminate the hazard, and there is an association between R&R exposed. increase risk of elevated blood lead levels in children.
 - Use the results of the study and consultation with stake contractors are engaged in activities which produce LEP hazards.
 Finding: All contractors who disturb lead painted surfaces during the or will likely produce lead hazards using standard work practices.
 - Revise the LBP training and certification regulations to apply them to R&R activities that create LBP hazards.
 - If we determine that any category of contractor does not require certification, publish an explanation for the basis of that determination.

Attachment B-Data Trends

· New housing data indicate that fewer homes contain leaded

- 2001 HUD Housing Survey vs. 1995 Housing Data

Homes w/ LPB All homes before 1979	1995 Data 67 % or	2001 Data 40% or
All homes belote 1979	64 million	38 million
1960-1979	62 %	24 %
1940-1959	80 %	69 %
Before 1940	90 %	87.%

Attachment B-

Data Trends

· Children's blood lead levels continue to decline

Geometric mean BLL (ages 1-5) 18 µg/dL Year 1976 9.3 μg/dL 1980 1988-91 3.6 µg/dL 1991-1994 2.7 µg/dL 1999 2.0 μg/dL

Attachment C-

Key Stakeholders Interviewed for Scoping Study

- · Contractors
- · Training firms
- Retailers
- · National housing industry organizations
- · Realtors, home inspectors & insurers
- · Property owners
- · Community-based organizations
- · State & local agencies

Attachment D—

Proposed Considerations for Pilot Sites

- · R&R voluntary program not prohibited by state or local law
- · Good supply of target housing across a range of income levels
- · Presence of potential local partners
- · Moderate to high housing density
- · EPA Regional office interest
- · Geographic diversity (between the two pilot cities)
- · Availability of data about EBLs and causes of cases
- · Climate (particularly for first pilot which will start in the fall)
- · Cost (e.g. cost of getting out the message is higher in bigger media market than in a smaller town)

Attachment E-Renovation Statistics

- · Business statistics

 - Number of employees 1.7 million
 - Average size 7 emptoyees/business
- · Renovation events
 - 20 million professional renovation events annually in pre-1978 homes
 - About 1/3 of the pre-1978 housing stock affected annually
 - Average median cost per renovation \$1,200



Attachment F--

Cost of Voluntary Approach

- Approximate Unit Costs for Lead Safety Partnership Mental Contractors
 (Note: It is expected that Members will pass 100% of costs to consumers)

 Table:

 Table:

 - (Note: It is expected with incompany of the incompan

 - Average total unit cost/event \$76

Attachment G-

Cost of Regulatory Approach

- Approximate Annual costs for an R&R Regulatory Program (in III) Approximate Annual costs for an R&R Re of dollars)

 Limited Regulatory

 Training cost - \$50

 Work practice complinace cost - \$1,340

 Clearance testing - \$135

 Accreditation, Certification & Start-up -\$30

 Inspection and Enforcement \$29

 Total annual cost - \$1,584

 Full Regulatory

 Training cost - \$90

 Work practice complinace cost - \$1,910

 Clearance testing - \$320

 Accreditation, Certification & Start-up - \$55

 Inspection and Enforcement - \$29

 Total annual cost - \$3,004