

Impacts of Domestic Livestock Grazing on Rangeland Health
Analysis of
The Bureau of Land Management's Land Health Standards Data
by
Public Employees for Environmental Responsibility

| | 2001 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| From the BLM's Allotment Categorization data* | | |
| Rangeland in grazing allotments | 160,603,927 | 156,543,328 |
| Rangeland in "improve" category (on which BLM has the objective "Improve the current resource condition") | 93,145,581 | 93,943,080 |
| Percentage of rangelands in "improve" category | 58% | 60% |
| | | |
| From the BLM's cumulative Land Health Standards assessment data, spanning 1998-2011 | | |
| Total rangelands assessed | 53,438,991 | 111,345,538 |
| Rangelands not meeting standards, but action taken to correct (livestock is significant factor) | 15,961,620 | 29,665,810 |
| Rangelands not meeting standards, no action taken (livestock is significant factor) | 2,046,628 | 3,619,367 |
| Total rangelands not meeting standards (livestock is significant factor) | 18,008,248 | 33,285,177 |
| Rangelands not meeting standards due to causes other than livestock | 3,422,529 | 8,795,325 |
| Rangelands not meeting standards due to all factors | 21,430,777 | 42,080,502 |
| Rangelands meeting standards or making significant progress toward meeting standards** | 32,008,214 | 69,265,036 |
| Percentage of assessed lands not meeting standards | 40% | 38% |
| Percentage of assessed lands not meeting standards due to livestock | 34% | 30% |
| Percentage of lands not meeting standards on which condition is due to livestock | 84% | 79% |

* figures in acres

**BLM does not indicate what percentage of these lands are meeting standards, or what metric is used to measure progress toward standards